

*Order Paper Questions*

## CANADIAN AND FOREIGN WORKERS IN THE FILM INDUSTRY

Question No. 4,587—**Mr. MacDonald (Egmont):**

1. For films designated as Canadian, is it permissible for production companies to employ workers and, in particular, actors without furnishing prior proof that there are no Canadians suitable for and capable of filling such positions?

2. Have any negotiations been held with the responsible authorities in the United States with a view to permitting reciprocity of employment between the two countries for workers in the film industry and, if so (a) between whom, (b) on what dates, (c) what were the specific points raised by Canadian representatives, (d) with what results?

**Hon. Robert K. Andras (Minister of Manpower and Immigration):** 1. Such an arrangement would normally only apply to actors, except in the case of coproduction agreements.

2. No.

## COMPANY TAKEOVERS

Question No. 4,602—**Mr. Nystrom:**

1. In the takeover of Cornwall, Ontario welding apparatus assembly facility of Liquid Carbonic Canada Ltd/Ltée of Montreal by Victor Equipment Company of San Francisco what percentage of control will Victor Equipment Company of San Francisco, California which is wholly owned by the Pacific Lumber Company of San Francisco acquire?

2. What is the total and/or per share price of the transaction, including the value of share transfers or other considerations?

3. Who were the principal shareholders of Liquid Carbonic Canada Ltd/Ltée of Montreal and what control, if any, will they retain in the company?

4. Who are the principal shareholders of Pacific Lumber Company of San Francisco and what are their holdings in the company?

5. Does Pacific Lumber Company of San Francisco control and/or partially own, directly or indirectly, any other businesses in Canada and, if so, in each case, what are the holdings by per cent of control and value?

6. What will be the effect of the takeover on (a) the level and nature of economic activity in Canada, (b) resource processing in Canada, (c) utilization of parts components, materials and services produced in Canada (d) exports from Canada, (e) productivity, industrial efficiency, technological development, product innovation and product variety in Canada, (f) competition within any industry or industries in Canada, (g) employment within Cornwall, Ontario welding apparatus assembly facility of Liquid Carbonic Canada Ltd. and within the industry?

7. (a) How many persons did Cornwall, Ontario welding apparatus assembly employ before the takeover, (b) how many are presently employed?

8. (a) What are the unions, if any, who represented the employees, (b) did they approve or disapprove of the takeover, (c) was their opinion sought?

9. What is the degree and significance of participation by Canadians in the business enterprise or new business and in any industry or industries in Canada of which the business enterprise or new business forms or will form?

10. How is the takeover (a) compatible (b) incompatible with national industrial and economic policies, taking into consideration industrial and economic policy objectives of any province likely to be affected by the takeover?

11. What is the significant benefit to Canada of the takeover?

**Mr. Marcel Roy (Parliamentary Secretary to Minister of Industry, Trade and Commerce):** See reply to question No. 3,954 answered on March 2, 1976.

[Mr. Fleming.]

## COMPANY TAKEOVERS

Question No. 4,604—**Mr. Nystrom:**

1. In the takeover of Ingersoll turkey processing facility of Checkerboard Foods Limited of Rexdale, Ontario controlled by Ralston Purina Ltd. of Canada which in turn is a wholly owned subsidiary of Ralston Purina Company of St. Louis, Missouri by Swift Canadian Co. Limited, of Etobicoke, Ontario, wholly owned by Swift & Co. of Chicago, Illinois, which is owned by Esmark Corp., what percentage of control will Swift Canadian Co. Limited acquire?

2. What is the total and/or per share price of the transaction, including the value of share transfers or other considerations?

3. Who were the principal shareholders of Ralston Purina Company of St. Louis, Missouri and what control, if any, will they retain in the company?

4. Who are the principal shareholders of Esmark Corporation and what are their holdings in the company?

5. Do Esmark Corporation, of Chicago or Ralston Purina of St. Louis control and/or partially own, directly or indirectly, any other businesses in Canada and, if so, in each case, what are the holdings by per cent of control and value?

6. What will be the effect of the takeover on (a) the level and nature of economic activity in Canada, (b) resource processing in Canada, (c) utilization of parts components, materials and services produced in Canada, (d) exports from Canada, (e) productivity, industrial efficiency, technological development, product innovation and product variety in Canada, (f) competition within any industry or industries in Canada, (g) employment within Ingersoll turkey processing of Checkerboard Foods Limited and within the industry?

7. (a) How many persons did Ingersoll turkey processing of Checkerboard employ before the takeover, (b) how many are presently employed?

8. (a) What are the unions, if any, who represented the employees, (b) did they approve or disapprove of the takeover, (c) was their opinion sought?

9. What is the degree and significance of participation by Canadians in the business enterprise or new business and in any industry or industries in Canada of which the business enterprise or new business forms or will form?

10. How is the takeover (a) compatible, (b) incompatible with national industrial and economic policies, taking into consideration industrial and economic policy objectives of any province likely to be affected by the takeover?

11. What is the significant benefit to Canada of the takeover?

**Mr. Marcel Roy (Parliamentary Secretary to Minister of Industry, Trade and Commerce):** See reply to question No. 3,954 answered on March 2, 1976.

## OFFER FROM JAMAICA TO SELL SUGAR TO CANADA

Question No. 4,609—**Mr. Herbert:**

Has an offer been received from Jamaica to sell sugar to Canada and, if so (a) from whom was it received, (b) what was the response?

**Mr. Marcel Roy (Parliamentary Secretary to Minister of Industry, Trade and Commerce):** Industry, Trade and Commerce has not received any communication from Jamaica offering to sell sugar to Canada. Of course, Canadian sugar refiners consider Jamaica as a source from which raw sugar can be purchased for refining in Canada. In 1974, imports of raw sugar from Jamaica were valued at \$4.5 million. There were no imports of raw sugar from Jamaica in 1975.