

*Family Allowances Act*

reasons I have given, I believe we should not accede to it. I believe that the family allowances measure, our old age security measure, the provision for veterans under the veterans charter, the significant work—as the hon. member for Edmonton East (Mr. Macdonald) pointed out—of the national health program and other measures, together with the social welfare measures introduced and carried on by all our provinces, represent a contribution toward responsible social reform of which no Canadian need to be ashamed. I feel that the program for which I am responsible as Minister of National Health and Welfare, along with my colleagues of the government, is one which commends itself to reasonably-minded people in this country, and most of our people I think are reasonably minded.

The people know that if we stop at one particular measure, it is not because we do not want to do anything further in that particular field, but rather because we take into account the commitments for national defence and the desirability of expenditures in other fields as well. I do not know how long I shall be in this particular post, although I am sure a Liberal will be in this post for a long time; but I know that with Liberals recognizing the need of maintaining a balance between security and freedom the result will be more and more measures of a humanitarian kind.

This will demonstrate to those who would challenge our way of life that the free world is capable of giving, in a more lasting way, succour and assistance to those in our nation who need it. We must never lose sight of the importance of that objective. In speaking to this particular motion, Mr. Speaker, I want to say if there is any argument I can adduce to show that this government will never be unmindful of its social welfare obligations, it is the record in the field of health and welfare of the Liberal party and of the present government of Canada.

I move the adjournment of the debate.

**Mr. Knowles:** No.

**Mr. Deputy Speaker:** Mr. Martin moves, seconded by Mr. Fournier (Hull), that this debate be now adjourned.

**Mr. Knowles:** No.

**Mr. Deputy Speaker:** All those in favour of the motion will please say yea.

**Some hon. Members:** Yea.

**Mr. Deputy Speaker:** All those opposed to the motion will please say nay.

**Some hon. Members:** Nay.

**Mr. Deputy Speaker:** In my opinion the yeas have it.

*And more than five members having risen:*

**Mr. Deputy Speaker:** Call in the members.

The house divided on the motion (Mr. Martin) which was agreed to on the following division:

**YEAS**

Messrs:

Anderson	Kirk (Antigonish-Guysborough)
Applewhaite	Kirk (Digby-Yarmouth)
Ashbourne	Lafontaine
Bater	Laing
Beaudoin	Langlois (Gaspé)
Benidickson	Larson
Blue	Leger
Boucher	Lesage
Bourget	Macdonald (Edmonton East)
Bradette	MacDougall
Bradley	MacLean (Cape Breton North and Victoria)
Brown (Essex West)	MacNaught
Campney	McCann
Cardin	McCusker
Carroll	McIlraith
Carter	McIvor
Cavers	McLean (Huron-Perth)
Chevrier	McWilliam
Cloutier	Major
Croll	Martin
Dechene	Murray (Cariboo)
Decore	Parent
Dewar	Pearson
Dickey	Pouliot
Dupuis	Proudford
Eyre	Riley
Ferrie	Rooney
Fournier (Hull)	Ross (Hamilton East)
Fulford	Rousseau
Gardiner	St. Laurent
Garson	Schneider
Gauthier (Lake St. John)	Simmons
Gauthier (Lapointe)	Smith (Moose Mountain)
George	Stick
Gibson	Stuart (Charlotte)
Gingras	Studer
Gour (Russell)	Tremblay
Gregg	Ward
Harris	Warren
Harrison	Weir
Hellyer	Whiteside
Henderson	Whitman
Henry	Winkler
Hetland	Wood—91.
Huffman	
Jutras	
Kickham	

**NAYS**

Messrs:

Argue	Graydon
Aylesworth	Harkness
Beyerstein	Hees
Blackmore	Herridge
Blair	Hodgson
Brooks	Johnston
Browne (St. John's West)	Jones
Bryce	Knight
Catherwood	Knowles
Churchill	Lenard
Fair	Low
Fraser	Macdonnell (Greenwood)
Fulton	MacLean (Queens)
Gagnon	McLure