

Mr. MacNEIL: Yes; but my plea is for the man for whom dental treatment has been recommended as likely to improve his general condition. He may be in receipt of a pension for tuberculosis, let us say—

Mr. POWER: But he did not get it at all before; so he is that much better off now.

Mr. MacNEIL: I am glad to hear that; but is it not possible to make satisfactory arrangements so that a man may either receive his transportation expenses to a departmental institution where he can receive the necessary treatment, or secure that treatment at the point where he resides?

Mr. POWER: I am studying that matter, and I hope to arrive at a fairly satisfactory solution.

Mr. GREEN: Perhaps the minister would let this item stand. I think there are more matters to be brought up.

Mr. POWER: Is there much more on it?

Mr. GREEN: I do not know.

Mr. POWER: I should like to go into health to-morrow. A number of hon. members have been asking me about that. We have spent a considerable time and quite a number of sittings of the house discussing pensions, which we have debated in all its phases. Of course I am in the hands of the committee, but the doctors must have their day some time. The soldiers have had many days.

Mr. GREEN: There is only one point I have in mind, and it might take only a short time, but I suggest that the minister let the item stand.

Mr. POWER: Has my hon. friend anything to say about it, or does he just want to make another speech? I do not want to have the item carry if there is to be any further discussion; I do not want to be discourteous to my hon. friend.

Mr. GREEN: We had two or three points we wished to have discussed; it is not a question of making a speech, or anything like that. I think the minister should let the item stand.

Mr. POWER: It is eleven o'clock anyway.

Item stands.

Progress reported.

At eleven o'clock the house adjourned, without question put, pursuant to standing order.

[Mr. Power.]

Friday, May 27, 1938

The house met at three o'clock.

CENTRAL FINANCE CORPORATION

MOTION TO RELIEVE COMPANY OF REPAYMENT OF PARLIAMENTARY FEES

Mr. W. R. MACDONALD (Brantford City): Mr. Speaker, with the leave of the house I move, seconded by the hon. member for Halton (Mr. Cleaver):

Whereas the Central Finance Corporation applied for change of its name and increase of capitalization at the last session of parliament, and paid a fee of \$1,400, which is chargeable on the increase in capital, and also paid in addition thereto all other parliamentary fees;

And whereas on account of the shortness of the session of parliament, 1937, the bill was not presented to the House of Commons for third reading;

And whereas the said corporation is applying at the present session of parliament for the same increase in capital;

And whereas the said corporation is required this year to pay again all parliamentary fees in connection with its present application, and should be relieved only of payment of fees chargeable on increase of capital;

Therefore be it resolved that the Central Finance Corporation be not required to pay the fees chargeable on increase of capital, on its application now before parliament for increase of capital, notwithstanding standing order 93, paragraphs (3) and (4) of the standing orders of the House of Commons.

Mr. BENNETT: Should this not have gone to the committee?

Hon. H. H. STEVENS (Kootenay East): Mr. Speaker, this resolution came up before the banking and commerce committee and a discussion of the subject took place a day or two ago. My understanding was that the whole matter should stand until the committee had completed its work and was prepared to make a report which would affect the whole subject. I must respectfully protest against the resolution passing to-day. In any case there should be notice and, in the second place, I suggest it should not be considered until the committee has completed its work or completed consideration of the matter affecting this resolution.

Hon. CHARLES A. DUNNING (Minister of Finance): I was not present before the banking and commerce committee when the matter was discussed, but I am advised there was a general consensus of view that while the company should be called upon to pay its full parliamentary fees this session for the bill, it should not again be charged the \$1,400 which it paid last year with respect to the application of last year for an increase in capital, which it did not secure.