

No. 2,371—*Mr. McKinnon*

By province, for each year 1968 to 1972, what were the expenditures for (a) contributions to support innovative projects dealing with the non-medical use of drugs (b) contributions to support research sociological studies dealing with the non-medical use of drugs?—Sessional Paper No. 291-2/2,371.

No. 2,610—*Mr. Forrestall*

1. In what countries does Canada maintain military forces?

2. How many Canadian Armed Forces personnel are in each of these countries in (a) military (b) civilian components?

3. For each of the above, what has been the cost of operating and maintenance for the fiscal years 1971-72, 1972-73 and 1973-74?—Sessional Paper No. 291-2/2,610.

No. 3,014—*Mr. Wise*

1. What was the total amount of money expended under the Agricultural Stabilization Act during each year 1969, 1970, 1971 and 1972?

2. By province, what was the total amount of money received by producers during each of these years?

3. By province, what was the total amount of money expended for each commodity covered under the Act during each of these years?—Sessional Paper No. 291-2/3,014.

No. 3,070—*Mr. Howard*

During the month of October, 1973, did any aircraft under the authority or ownership of the Department of National Defence depart from the DOT airport at Sandspit, British Columbia and, if so, with respect to each such aircraft what was (a) the type of aircraft (b) the date of each departure (c) the nature and purpose of each flight (d) the name, rank held by, if applicable, and country of attachment of each person aboard each such flight and was each such person (i) a member of the crew of the aircraft (ii) a passenger?—Sessional Paper No. 291-2/3,070.

No. 3,071—*Mr. Howard*

During the month of October, 1973, did any aircraft under the authority or ownership of the Department of National Defence land at the DOT airport at Sandspit, British Columbia and, if so, with respect to each such aircraft what was (a) the type of aircraft (b) the date of each landing (c) the nature and purpose of each flight (d) the name, rank held by, if applicable, and country of attachment of each person aboard each such flight and was each such person (i) a member of the crew of the aircraft (ii) a passenger?—Sessional Paper No. 291-2/3,071.

No. 3,120—*Mr. Forrestall*

1. How many persons wrote the Administrative Trainee exams recently held for entrance to the Public Service of

Canada (a) by city in which they were held (b) by official language selected?

2. How many positions are to be filled from the successful applicants?

3. What funds were allocated and spent to ensure that these were fully known in advance to various university students in Canada?

4. What is the policy of the government with respect to making these exams public through use of university newspapers and posters on various campuses in Canada?—Sessional Paper No. 291-2/3,120.

Mr. Reid, Parliamentary Secretary to the President of the Privy Council, presented,—Returns to the foregoing Orders.

A point of order having been raised by the honourable Member for Winnipeg North Centre (Mr. Knowles), relating to the business of supply;

STATEMENT BY MR. SPEAKER

MR. SPEAKER: The honourable Member for Winnipeg North Centre (Mr. Knowles) has raised two points, the first relating to the possible order of precedence for consideration of motions which are on the Order Paper in relation to the business of supply. I am sure all honourable Members will agree that priority should be given to the motion in the name of the Honourable the Leader of the Opposition (Mr. Stanfield), which is a non-confidence motion.

The honourable Member for Winnipeg North Centre has asked a question which may be of interest but which is not entirely relevant at this particular moment, and that is the question as to when the other two motions standing in the name of the honourable Member for Oshawa-Whitby (Mr. Broadbent) and in the name of the honourable Member for Calgary North (Mr. Woolliams) might be discussed. Assuming these motions were in order they would have to be discussed, debated or considered as supply business on a supply day, but not on this day. That is obvious enough.

I appreciate the other point of the honourable Member for Winnipeg North Centre in respect of the motion of the honourable Member for Yukon (Mr. Nielsen) in the form of an amendment to the notice of opposition to Vote 20a, but perhaps it would be fair to allow the Honourable the Leader of the Opposition to proceed with his motion, a *caveat* having been entered by the honourable Member for Winnipeg North Centre, with which I associate myself. I am sure the honourable Member for Yukon has some reservations about the procedural acceptability of either or both of these matters, and perhaps this matter can be looked at later this day.

If for any reason the motion in the name of the Honourable the Leader of the Opposition came to a vote before 9.45 this evening, this might give us an opportunity to look at the procedural question, otherwise it would be dealt with sometime after 10 o'clock this evening.