

|                      |  |
|----------------------|--|
| Xylina cinerosa Grt. | } Large, gray-sprinkled; orbicular whitish, contrasting. No brown in reniform.     |
| Grotei Riley         |  |
| laticinerea Grt.     | } Smaller, duller, orbicular scarcely contrasting, usually with brown in reniform. |
| Winnipeg Smith       |  |
| antennata, Walk.     |  |
| cinerea Riley        | } (No change.)   |

The characters given for the first two species were designated by Grote himself. Holland's figure of *laticinerea* happens to be correct, but in Prof. Smith's monograph, Pl. v, figs. 29 and 30, the names are reversed.

A species standing wrongly under *Winnipeg* in collections, and often mixed with the true species, is the Manitoba form of *animada*, which is rather more strongly marked than typical.

*X. hemina* Grt.—This name has long been applied to *disposita*, from which it has been thought questionably distinct. Nearly all the specimens I have seen standing in collections as *hemina*, I believe to be *disposita*. At any rate, none have been *hemina*, the two being really quite unlike, as my notes on, and Hampson's figure of the type of *hemina* show. Though I have known *disposita* for long, until I saw the type in the British Museum about a year ago, I had never seen *hemina*. My notes on the type tell me that it is a strigate species, and "so unlike *disposita* that comparison is superfluous." The type comes from Lewis Co., N. Y., and it appears to be a very rare species. Though I have seen several of the principal collections, I have not yet identified this with certainty in any of them. Grote in his description says that it is longer winged than *disposita*, with "spots and lines less distinctly limited, and more as in *petulca*." He adds that it has a peculiar general resemblance in ornamentation and colour to *Hadena vulgaris*. The type, a male, came from the Hill collection, which contained other specimens. Where that collection now is, I have not heard. Smith's Monograph, Pl. iii, fig. 2, called "*hemina*, melanic form," I rather suspect of being a pale *oriunda*. Fig. 4 I should call about normal *petulca*, and the same as fig. 13, called *riginosa*, a name of which I cannot at present arrive at the true status.

*Taniocampa* Gn. (*Monima* Hbn.; Hamps. Cat.)

It will come, I fear, as a shock to many, to learn that both the names *alia* Gn., and *pacifica* Harv., are everywhere wrongly used. The type of *Taniocampa alia* Gn., is a good specimen, a female, in the British