tiffs against defendant to recover over for breach of warranty, held, that the judgment against plaintiffs was not evidence of a breach, though defendant had notice of the action in which that judgment was rendered, and was requested to defend it, and testified as a witness in it.—Smith v. Moore, 7 S. C. 209.

7. Action by a city against a land-owner, to recover the expense of abating a nuisance on his land. Held, that the decision of the city board of health, made without notice to the owner, that a nuisance existed on the land, was not conclusive evidence (and, semble, that it was not evidence at all) that such nuisance in fact existed.—Hutton v. Camden, 10 Vroom, 122.

8.—Action on a policy of fire insurance. Plea, that the assured wilfully burned the property. Held, that defendants were not bound to prove the plea beyond a reasonable doubt.—Kane v. Hibernia Insurance Co., 10 Vroom, 697 (Court of Errors, reversing judgment of Supreme Court).

Execution.—After an execution had been levied on slaves, but before they were sold under it, they were emancipated. Held, that the judgment was satisfied.— McElwee v. Jeffreys, 7 S. C. 228.

Executor and Administrator. — 1. Bill in equity by residuary legatees, against the sureties on the executor's bond, to recover for a devastavit committed by the executor. Held, not sustainable, the remedy being at law on the bond.— Edes v. Garey, 46 Md. 24.

2. Assumpsit against administrators. Plea, puis darrein continuance, that they had been removed from office and a new administrator appointed. Replication, that before removal they were guilty of a devastavit. Held, bad.—McDonald v. O'Connell, 10 Vroom, 317.

Foreign Attachment. — 1. One summoned as garnishee disclosed that he had given to the defendant a certificate of indebtedness, not negotiable, but which the defendant had sold to a third person. Held, that he was not chargeable. Cairo & St Louis R. R. Co. v. Killenberg, 82 Ill. 295.

2. A railroad company mortgaged all its property now possessed or hereafter to be acquired; and afterwards, while remaining in possession of the road, made a contract to carry freight for an express company. Held, that the express company was chargeable, as garnishee of the railroad company, for all moneys earned by the latter under the contract before the mortgagees

took possession.— Emerson v. European & North American Ry. Co., 67 Me. 387.

3. The State treasurer cannot be held as garnishee, in respect of moneys in his hands due from the State to the debtor.—Lodor v. Baker, 10 Vroom, 49.

Fraudulent Conveyance. — By statute, a judgment is a lien for seven years on the judgment debtor's land. A creditor having suffered seven years to elapse after recovering judgment, held, that equity would not afterwards aid him to set aside a fraudulent conveyance of the debtor's land.—Fleming v. Grafton, 54 Miss. 79.

Gaming.—Persons who play together at an unlawful game are several and not joint offenders; and therefore they are not accomplices of each other, and one may be convicted on the uncorroborated evidence of another. — Stone v. The State, 3 Tex. Ct. App. 675.

Homicide.—By the law of Massachusetts, suicide is criminal as malum in se, though neither the act nor the attempt to commit it is punishable; and therefore where a person in attempting to commit it, accidentally killed another who was trying to prevent its accomplishment, held, that he was guilty of manslaughter at the least; whether of murder, quære.—Commonwealth v. Mink, 123 Mass. 422.

Husband and Wife.—1. Action against husband and wife for the tort of the wife. Verdict, that the wife is guilty. Held, that judgment should be rendered against both.— Ferguson v. Brooks, 67 Me. 251.

- 2. A wife cannot, after a divorce, maintain an action against her husband for assaulting and falsely imprisoning her as a lunatic, during coverture; nor against third persons who conspired with him and assisted him therein.—Abbott v. Abbott, 67 Me. 304.
- 3. An execution was levied on land of which the debtor and his wife were seized by entireties Held, that the levy was valid, and passed to the creditor the debtor's estate during his life; but did not divest the wife's right of survivorship. Hall v. Stephens, 65 Mo. 670.

Insanity.—On an issue of the sanity of a testator, the jury were instructed that illusions or hallucinations, though evidence of insanity, would not avoid the will, unless such delusion or insanity had entered into or affected the will itself. Held, error.—Eggers v. Eggers, 57 Ind. 461.