

THE NEW YORK MURDER.

Our city was disgraced yesterday by the murder of a young girl, named Ellen Jones, who had been residing at No. 41, Thomas street, in the house kept by Rosa Townsend.

Richard P. Robinson, the alleged perpetrator of this most heinous deed, had a long time been in the habit of keeping a list of letters which were in his possession. He refused to give them up, and then drew from beneath his cloak the list, and inflicted upon her head three blows, either of which might have proved fatal, as the body was not to the extent of three inches in each place.

She died without a struggle, and the cold blooded villain immediately threw the body into the water, and then returned to the house, and proceeded in that manner.

In a minute, Miss Townsend was surrounded by a mob of sailors, who were shouting and singing, and then the mob was broken up, and the body was recovered.

Robinson's clerk was in the room, and as soon as they suspected the murderer, Mr. Robinson, the assistant captain of the ship, instantly went and arrested Mr. Robinson.

On Sunday morning, at 7 o'clock, Robinson was arrested in bed at his boarding house, No. 12, Day street, and brought up to the house where had been committed the deed.

On seeing the body exhibited no signs of emotion, but gazed at it on his victim coolly and calmly.

The Coroner was summoned, a jury formed, and on a patient examination of the testimony, they returned a verdict, that "he came to her death by blows upon the head, inflicted with a hatchet, by Richard P. Robinson."

Robinson is a native of one of the Eastern States, aged 19, and remarkably handsome and intelligent, and has been for some time past, in the employ of Messrs. Howe, 101 Maiden Lane.

On the examination before the Coroner's Jury, Robinson denied himself and his name, and asserted that he had not been in the house that night, but a woman was brought to him by his boarding house, who was residing in his room.

On the examination before the Coroner's Jury, Robinson denied himself and his name, and asserted that he had not been in the house that night, but a woman was brought to him by his boarding house, who was residing in his room.

On the examination before the Coroner's Jury, Robinson denied himself and his name, and asserted that he had not been in the house that night, but a woman was brought to him by his boarding house, who was residing in his room.

On the examination before the Coroner's Jury, Robinson denied himself and his name, and asserted that he had not been in the house that night, but a woman was brought to him by his boarding house, who was residing in his room.

On the examination before the Coroner's Jury, Robinson denied himself and his name, and asserted that he had not been in the house that night, but a woman was brought to him by his boarding house, who was residing in his room.

On the examination before the Coroner's Jury, Robinson denied himself and his name, and asserted that he had not been in the house that night, but a woman was brought to him by his boarding house, who was residing in his room.

On the examination before the Coroner's Jury, Robinson denied himself and his name, and asserted that he had not been in the house that night, but a woman was brought to him by his boarding house, who was residing in his room.

On the examination before the Coroner's Jury, Robinson denied himself and his name, and asserted that he had not been in the house that night, but a woman was brought to him by his boarding house, who was residing in his room.

On the examination before the Coroner's Jury, Robinson denied himself and his name, and asserted that he had not been in the house that night, but a woman was brought to him by his boarding house, who was residing in his room.

On the examination before the Coroner's Jury, Robinson denied himself and his name, and asserted that he had not been in the house that night, but a woman was brought to him by his boarding house, who was residing in his room.

On the examination before the Coroner's Jury, Robinson denied himself and his name, and asserted that he had not been in the house that night, but a woman was brought to him by his boarding house, who was residing in his room.

REPORT OF THE COMMITTEE OF ACCOUNTS.

April Session, 1856.

The Committee appointed by the General Session of the Council of the County of Charlotte, in April 1856 to examine the accounts, submit the following Report.

No. 1. Account of the Commissioners of the Marine Hospital, is correct and satisfactory.

No. 2. The return of the collector of rates for St. David's, is approved.

No. 3. All the vouchers are complete, and the account satisfactory.

No. 4. Account of overseer of the Poor for Campo Bello, incomplete for want of vouchers, the committee recommend the assessment for the current year only, viz. Twenty pounds, as recommended by the overseer.

No. 5. Account of the collector of rates for Grand Manan, satisfactory.

No. 6. Return of rates for St. George, shows a sum not collected of £20 10, your committee request that the collector be called on to show that he has taken proper means for the recovery of the same in due season.

No. 7. Account of the Commissioner of Highways for St. George, correct.

No. 8. Overseers of the Poor of St. George, correct.

No. 9. Account of overseers of the Poor for West Isles, all the vouchers wanting.

No. 10. St. George's parish accounts all correct.

No. 11. James Price collector of dog tax, this account correct.

No. 12. James Price collector of poor rates correct.

No. 13. Account of J. Driscoll, was satisfactory, correct.

No. 14. Mr. Ker's account for horse collection, correct.

No. 15. Account for carrying pri- vileges, correct.

No. 16. Account for carrying pri- vileges, correct.

No. 17. Account for carrying pri- vileges, correct.

No. 18. Account for carrying pri- vileges, correct.

REPORT OF THE COMMITTEE OF ACCOUNTS.

April Session, 1856.

The Committee appointed by the General Session of the Council of the County of Charlotte, in April 1856 to examine the accounts, submit the following Report.

No. 1. Account of the Commissioners of the Marine Hospital, is correct and satisfactory.

No. 2. The return of the collector of rates for St. David's, is approved.

No. 3. All the vouchers are complete, and the account satisfactory.

No. 4. Account of overseer of the Poor for Campo Bello, incomplete for want of vouchers, the committee recommend the assessment for the current year only, viz. Twenty pounds, as recommended by the overseer.

No. 5. Account of the collector of rates for Grand Manan, satisfactory.

No. 6. Return of rates for St. George, shows a sum not collected of £20 10, your committee request that the collector be called on to show that he has taken proper means for the recovery of the same in due season.

No. 7. Account of the Commissioner of Highways for St. George, correct.

No. 8. Overseers of the Poor of St. George, correct.

No. 9. Account of overseers of the Poor for West Isles, all the vouchers wanting.

No. 10. St. George's parish accounts all correct.

No. 11. James Price collector of dog tax, this account correct.

No. 12. James Price collector of poor rates correct.

No. 13. Account of J. Driscoll, was satisfactory, correct.

No. 14. Mr. Ker's account for horse collection, correct.

No. 15. Account for carrying pri- vileges, correct.

No. 16. Account for carrying pri- vileges, correct.

No. 17. Account for carrying pri- vileges, correct.

No. 18. Account for carrying pri- vileges, correct.

REPORT OF THE COMMITTEE OF ACCOUNTS.

April Session, 1856.

The Committee appointed by the General Session of the Council of the County of Charlotte, in April 1856 to examine the accounts, submit the following Report.

No. 1. Account of the Commissioners of the Marine Hospital, is correct and satisfactory.

No. 2. The return of the collector of rates for St. David's, is approved.

No. 3. All the vouchers are complete, and the account satisfactory.

No. 4. Account of overseer of the Poor for Campo Bello, incomplete for want of vouchers, the committee recommend the assessment for the current year only, viz. Twenty pounds, as recommended by the overseer.

No. 5. Account of the collector of rates for Grand Manan, satisfactory.

No. 6. Return of rates for St. George, shows a sum not collected of £20 10, your committee request that the collector be called on to show that he has taken proper means for the recovery of the same in due season.

No. 7. Account of the Commissioner of Highways for St. George, correct.

No. 8. Overseers of the Poor of St. George, correct.

No. 9. Account of overseers of the Poor for West Isles, all the vouchers wanting.

No. 10. St. George's parish accounts all correct.

No. 11. James Price collector of dog tax, this account correct.

No. 12. James Price collector of poor rates correct.

No. 13. Account of J. Driscoll, was satisfactory, correct.

No. 14. Mr. Ker's account for horse collection, correct.

No. 15. Account for carrying pri- vileges, correct.

No. 16. Account for carrying pri- vileges, correct.

No. 17. Account for carrying pri- vileges, correct.

No. 18. Account for carrying pri- vileges, correct.

REPORT OF THE COMMITTEE OF ACCOUNTS.

April Session, 1856.

The Committee appointed by the General Session of the Council of the County of Charlotte, in April 1856 to examine the accounts, submit the following Report.

No. 1. Account of the Commissioners of the Marine Hospital, is correct and satisfactory.

No. 2. The return of the collector of rates for St. David's, is approved.

No. 3. All the vouchers are complete, and the account satisfactory.

No. 4. Account of overseer of the Poor for Campo Bello, incomplete for want of vouchers, the committee recommend the assessment for the current year only, viz. Twenty pounds, as recommended by the overseer.

No. 5. Account of the collector of rates for Grand Manan, satisfactory.

No. 6. Return of rates for St. George, shows a sum not collected of £20 10, your committee request that the collector be called on to show that he has taken proper means for the recovery of the same in due season.

No. 7. Account of the Commissioner of Highways for St. George, correct.

No. 8. Overseers of the Poor of St. George, correct.

No. 9. Account of overseers of the Poor for West Isles, all the vouchers wanting.

No. 10. St. George's parish accounts all correct.

No. 11. James Price collector of dog tax, this account correct.

No. 12. James Price collector of poor rates correct.

No. 13. Account of J. Driscoll, was satisfactory, correct.

No. 14. Mr. Ker's account for horse collection, correct.

No. 15. Account for carrying pri- vileges, correct.

No. 16. Account for carrying pri- vileges, correct.

No. 17. Account for carrying pri- vileges, correct.

No. 18. Account for carrying pri- vileges, correct.

REPORT OF THE COMMITTEE OF ACCOUNTS.

April Session, 1856.

The Committee appointed by the General Session of the Council of the County of Charlotte, in April 1856 to examine the accounts, submit the following Report.

No. 1. Account of the Commissioners of the Marine Hospital, is correct and satisfactory.

No. 2. The return of the collector of rates for St. David's, is approved.

No. 3. All the vouchers are complete, and the account satisfactory.

No. 4. Account of overseer of the Poor for Campo Bello, incomplete for want of vouchers, the committee recommend the assessment for the current year only, viz. Twenty pounds, as recommended by the overseer.

No. 5. Account of the collector of rates for Grand Manan, satisfactory.

No. 6. Return of rates for St. George, shows a sum not collected of £20 10, your committee request that the collector be called on to show that he has taken proper means for the recovery of the same in due season.

No. 7. Account of the Commissioner of Highways for St. George, correct.

No. 8. Overseers of the Poor of St. George, correct.

No. 9. Account of overseers of the Poor for West Isles, all the vouchers wanting.

No. 10. St. George's parish accounts all correct.

No. 11. James Price collector of dog tax, this account correct.

No. 12. James Price collector of poor rates correct.

No. 13. Account of J. Driscoll, was satisfactory, correct.

No. 14. Mr. Ker's account for horse collection, correct.

No. 15. Account for carrying pri- vileges, correct.

No. 16. Account for carrying pri- vileges, correct.

No. 17. Account for carrying pri- vileges, correct.

No. 18. Account for carrying pri- vileges, correct.