

Mr. DUNN wanted to know how much more power it would take to recreate an intelligence than to create it the first time? God can preserve the identity and recreate it. He summed up the argument. Man is a unity not duplex. There is but one man, my opponent claims two men, a body man and a spirit. He had proved that man was mortal, not part mortal and part spiritual. He denied having said that man is nowhere. The spirit is not man's but God's. Job says, "I shall not be," my opponent says God cannot raise the dead. "The wicked shall not be." If the rational soul be immortal it could not become insane, the mind is therefore not immortal. The dead know not anything. The mind is subject to the laws of matter. The intermediate state is entirely ignored. All rewards are referred to the resurrection. The opposite doctrine punishes and rewards man before the Assize, according to Josephus, but not according to the scriptures. Eternal life is the gift of God. The gift does not belong to the old Adam.

Souls could be torn in pieces, eaten by lions, &c. If the intermediate state be a finality, how could Jesus speak to the spirits in prison? My opponent has not yet chosen which of the fifty-four spirits I gave him he will invest with immortality. I challenge him to make choice. Had cited numerous instances of spirit coming into persons after being refreshed with water, &c. He denied being a Materialist, believed that those who put on Christ will receive immortality when Christ returns to the earth. He then enlarged upon Christ's second coming, when he would reign as a personal monarch upon the earth, and expounded at some length the views of the Christadelphians upon this point.

Dr. WASHINGTON disputed that *analuō* means to return, it means to depart or separate from. But on the hypothesis of the essential unity of man there is nothing to separate. Referred to Greek Lexicon for proof. If man is a unity and anything is superadded there is something more than unity. If there is no entity in man, how does the spirit know the things of man. In recapitulating, the Dr. referred to the following texts which he had adduced and applied during the discussion, most of which are noticed in our report. Gen. 1, 26, 27, Gen. 2, 7, "breath of *lives*" (Heb.) 1 Kings 17, 21, 22; 2 Kings, 2, 11; 1st Sam.

Deut. xxxiv, 5,—Death of Moses and his appearance on Mt. Tabor 1483 years after; Matt. xvii, 1 to 9 inclusive, also Mark ix, 1 to 8, Luke ix, 27, 38.

1 Samuel, xxviii, 15,—Bringing up Samuel after being dead 6 years, by the art of necromancy. Job xiv, 22, xix, 26, Psalms xxx, 3, 31, 3, 23, 4, lxxiii, 24, 25, f26, cxvii, 16, xlix, 8, 15. David on the loss of his child, 2 Samuel xii, 23. I will go to him but he will not return to me. Then the child must be somewhere, not annihilated. Prov. xii, 28, xvi, 18, xvi, 32, Eccles. viii, 8, iii, 21, xii, 7, Is. xii, 1,—"Formeth the spirit of man within him." Is. xiii, 5, Matt. x, 28, Luke xx, 29 to 58 inclusive, Abraham, Isaac and Jacob were dead, Abraham 330, Isaac 225, Jacob 198 years, still God was their God, as will be found in Exodus ii, 6, where God spoke to Moses out of the burning bush. Luke xvi, 19, to the end of the chapter. Luke xxiii, 43, xxiii, 46, viii, 54, 55—"And her spirit came again." Luke xxiv, 36, 39, Acts vii, 59, read the context. Dr. demanded that his opponent should illustrate his signification of death which is a state of total unconsciousness, with the following passages, 1 Cor. ii, 10, 11, John xii, 24, 2 Cor. iv, 16, ii, 1 to 9 inclusive, 2 Cor. xii, 1 to 4 inclusive, Phil. i, 21 to 25 inclusive, 2 Tim. iv, 6 to 8 inclusive, Heb. xi, 26, xii, 22, 23, James ii, 26, Peter i, 13, 14, 1 Peter, iii, 18, 19, 20, Jude 14, Rev. vi, 9, 10, 11, Rev. vii, 9 to 17, Rev. xiv, 13.

This closed the discussion. During the latter two evenings especially, the Dr. was repeatedly and enthusiastically applauded by the greater part of the audience, who seemed unable to restrain their satisfaction at the clear and complete exposure with which he met the plausible sophistries of his adversary.

Votes of thanks to the speakers and Chairman were moved by members of the committee of management, who represented both sides in the discussion, and this closed the proceedings.

Was
Com
Mr.
cal
walk
for
gen
ing
that
made
man
other