

of the American Delegation. His covering speech will appear in full in the printed Minutes. Mr Tang Kuo-an, supporting the Resolution, wished to endorse every word uttered by Dr. Tenney. In the course of the discussion which followed Dr. Tenney stated that the Resolution as it stood was intended to take the place of one that had been previously circulated. The Chief Commissioner for Great Britain considered that the effect of the resolution would be an entire abrogation of Treaties and he trusted that the Commission would not agree to any form of words which would imply that nations might break Agreements solemnly entered into by them. Mr T'ang Kuo-an stated that it was not the intention of the Chinese Government to repudiate any Agreement entered into by them, but they would appreciate any further concession which the British Government might see fit to make. After further discussion, H.E. Monsiuer Miyaoka expressed the opinion that the Commission was drifting into an examination of a diplomatic question between China and Great Britain, and that any discussion with reference to the 10 years arrangement was out of order. This was also the view of the Chief Commissioner for France. His Excellency Mons. Miyaoka then moved the following as a previous question:

"Whether the consideration of the resolution submitted by
"Dr Tenney for the American Delegation is within the scope
"of discussion by this International Commission."

It was decided by the House that the further discussion of Dr. Tenney's Resolution was not in order. (Details of votes will appear in the printed Minutes).

The British resolutions then came on for discussion. The first of these was adopted unanimously. The second was withdrawn after the Chief Commissioner for Japan had eulogised the manner in which the Chinese Delegation had grappled with the task of preparing a memorandum on the Opium question, and Mr T'ang had promised to urge his Government to take steps to initiate more systematic methods of dealing with the question of Opium production.

The discussion of Resolution No. 4 was deferred. With regard to the next resolution, The Rt. Hon. Sir Cecell Clementi Smith explained that it was the same resolution as the one