

Public Accounts Committee.

By Mr. Fitzpatrick:

Q. The 31st of January, isn't it?—A. That is the police court attendance.

Q. Is there not another item, attendance at the library?—A. That is on 1st February.

Q. Attendance at the library?—A. "Attendance at library, looking up authorities, &c., \$10."

Q. And there is a subsequent attendance at police court?—A. A subsequent attendance at police court, \$30.

Q. Allowed at \$15?—A. Which was allowed at \$15.

By Mr. Powell:

Q. What about the attendance at the library? Did you allow that?—A. Yes.

By Mr. Fitzpatrick:

Q. On the 21st of February, perusing evidence, \$20?—A. "Perusing evidence and making notes for preparation of factum, \$20."

Q. And for further perusal on the 22nd?—A. \$20.

Q. A further perusal on the 22nd, of \$20?—A. Yes.

Q. And a further perusal on the 25th?—A. Yes. That is two items of \$20 and one of \$10 for perusing evidence and collating same for the purposes of factum.

Q. That is \$50 in all?—A. Yes, on the 21st, 22nd and 25th.

Q. So that the sum of \$10 was taken off, and the three items were allowed at \$40?—A. It was allowed at \$40.

Q. On the 12th of March, preparing factum, \$20?—A. Yes.

Q. And on the 13th, the same item again, preparing factum?—A. Yes, \$30.

Q. And on the 14th, another item, preparing factum, \$25?—A. Yes.

Q. There were three items, \$20 the first, \$30 the second, and \$35 the third. There was \$25 taken off that?—A. I think there was \$35 taken off, but I am not sure which it was, \$45 or \$25.

Q. On 28th February he attended police court, for which the charge is \$5?—A.

\$3. On the 28th?

Q. Yes?—A. It is \$3.

Q. No, it is \$5?—A. No, \$3.

Q. Isn't there another on the 25th of February?—A. I have the wrong date. I am speaking of the 28th.

Q. Therefore, he went on the 28th, and attending the police court adjournment, I have it marked here at \$5?—A. That one of the 25th was charged at \$10 and allowed at \$5, apparently, and the next one was charged at \$3 and allowed at \$1.

Q. Now, on the 21st of March there is an item of \$20 for "reading factum of the other side and preparing the reply"?—A. On the 21st of March?

Q. Yes?—A. Yes.

Q. Then on the 2nd April, "reading and examining reply filed by counsel for St. Louis to my factum in this matter, \$10"?—A. Yes.

Q. The result, anyway, in the police office was that the charge was dismissed, was it not?—A. The case was dismissed; yes.

Q. Dismissed in the police office?—A. Yes.

Q. Then it was decided to take it before the grand jury?—A. Yes.

Q. On the 1st of June is there a charge for a retainer of \$500?—A. There is.

By Mr. Powell:

Q. For whom?—A. For Mr. Hall.

Q. Well, now, then, how did you arrange that, that charge of \$500; did you allow it?—A. It is deducted in the margin here.