

“ to govern the Administration of this Community, before I take any
 “ step respecting the Clergy of my Diocese or the Canadians collectively.
 “ The project of an University in general does not meet my sentiments.
 “ I should like a more minute detail. How many different Sciences
 “ are intended to be taught there? This question is important; a great-
 “ er number, requiring, of necessity, a greater number of Professors, and
 “ consequently, greater revenues. Is it intended that it should be govern-
 “ ed by one Rector, or by a Society of Directors? If by a Rector, is the
 “ appointment to be for life, or is he to be removable at the end of a given
 “ number of years? Who are to be the persons to nominate either him,
 “ or the Directors, if that mode of administration were to take place?
 “ Would it be the King, the Governor, the Citizens of Quebec, or the Pro-
 “ vince at large? What rank or character would be given to the Bishop,
 “ and what to his Coadjutor in the establishment? Would it not be pro-
 “ per that both, or one at least of them should hold a distinguished station?
 “ This is not all. *An Union protesting the Catholic and Protestant Subjects*
 “ has been previously announced. These terms are very vague. What are
 “ the measures to be taken to procure so necessary a Junction? Will it be
 “ answered by proposing for the University, *persons unprejudiced in their*
 “ *opinions*? This, far from resolving the difficulty, seems only to encrease
 “ it. For what is meant by persons unprejudiced? The true sense of the ex-
 “ pression relates to persons who are neither unwisely prepossessed in their
 “ notions in favour of their own nation, nor unadvisedly zealous to in-
 “ spire into youth, not instructed therein, the principles of their commu-
 “ nion. Further, they ought to be virtuous and moral persons, who govern
 “ themselves by Gospel-principles, and Christianity: Whereas in the stile
 “ of modern writers, a *person unprejudiced in his opinions*, is one who op-
 “ poses