

THE SEMI-WEEKLY TELEGRAPH is issued every Wednesday and Saturday by The Telegraph Publishing Company, of St. John, N. B., a company incorporated by Act of the Legislature of New Brunswick.

ADVERTISING RATES Ordinary commercial advertisements taking the space of one column, per insertion, \$1.00 per line.

SUBSCRIPTION RATES Sent by Mail to any address in Canada at One Dollar per Annum in Advance.

IMPORTANT NOTICE All remittances must be sent by post office order or registered letter, and addressed to The Telegraph Publishing Company.

AUTHORIZED AGENT Following agent is authorized to canvass and collect for The Semi-Weekly Telegraph, viz.: Wm. Somerville

Semi-Weekly Telegraph ST. JOHN, N. B., JULY 20, 1907

A GRATIFYING RESULT In our Ottawa despatches of Tuesday there appeared the pleasing announcement that Mr. Butler, deputy minister of railways, had refused the application of the Atlantic, Quebec & Western Railway Company for approval of its route map.

As to the proposed route from Metapedia to the Victoria county line the deputy minister took time to consider, but as the chief engineer of the Atlantic, Quebec & Western Company has stated that it would be impracticable to build a line to Metapedia, it is probable that the company will now without doubt be obliged to revert to its original plan of building its line a long distance north of and outside the territory of the International, or come to an arrangement to utilize the International, in order to reach the St. John River.

The action of the New Brunswick government in vigorously protesting against the proposal to parallel the International Railway will meet with general approval on the part of the people of the province, which is deeply interested not only in the financial success of that railroad but in concentrating, as far as possible, the trade of the Gaspé peninsula at Campbellton. A charter has already been obtained for the building of a bridge at Campbellton and its early construction is looked forward to most anxiously, both by the people of that thriving and enterprising town and the inhabitants residing on the Quebec side of the Bay Chaleur. The government is to be congratulated on the outcome of its hearing. The gratifying result which was announced Tuesday was foreboded some weeks ago in an interview with Dr. Pugsley, published in The Telegraph.

WINNIPEG FACTORIES We have not been accustomed to think of Winnipeg as a manufacturing city, nor in proportion to population is it such in the same sense as some other Canadian cities; but a special 20-page edition of the Winnipeg Free Press presents the western capital in a new and very interesting light. It deals with Winnipeg as a manufacturing centre, but incidentally presents some other significant facts. Here are several of them:

Population Winnipeg—1902, 54,393; 1907, 111,717. Building permits—1905, \$10,846,200; 1906, \$12,760,450. Bank Clearings—1901, \$134,109,483; 1906, \$30,585,914. Manufactured Output—1901, \$8,616,248; 1905, \$18,983,290.

The edition is very profusely illustrated with views of the interior of industrial establishments and tells of their rise and steady development. Note this remarkable statement: "Winnipeg is fourth among the manufacturing centres of Canada, being surpassed only by Hamilton, Toronto and Montreal. Now the fourth, it will soon be the third manufacturing city. Winnipeg's output for 1905 exceeded the combined output of Vancouver, Victoria, Rossland, Revelstoke, New Westminster, Nelson, Nanaimo, Kamloops and Fernie by \$1,699,223. In 1901 the combined output of these nine British Columbia places was \$10,844,182, or \$2,247,934 in advance of Winnipeg's output for that year. The combined output of the ten New Brunswick cities and towns that figure in the returns was exceeded in 1905 by Winnipeg's output to the extent of \$3,562,601, though their combined output in 1901 exceeded Winnipeg's in that year by \$4,336,233. Winnipeg's output for 1905 is \$4,

but within \$100,000 of equalling the combined output of Halifax, St. John (N. B.), and Sydney (N. S.); it exceeds by \$1,111,587 the combined output of Ottawa and Brantford; by \$877,100 the combined output of Peterboro, Chatham and Galt; and by \$216,157 the combined output of Sault Ste. Marie, Sarnia, Walkerville, Woodstock, Dundas and Brockville. These comparisons might be extended, but the statistics are all of 1905, and Winnipeg is concerned more with the present and the future than with the past—even the past of only last year. This year Winnipeg's figure will unquestionably be well over the \$20,000,000 mark, to put it modestly (some estimate it at \$25,000,000), and when the next census is taken in 1911, all the indications are that Hamilton will have been passed in the race, and Winnipeg will have moved up to third place.

Compare these statements with the following and the wonder grows: "The census of the whole of Manitoba in 1901 showed 844 industrial establishments, big and small, with a total output of \$3,413,026. Ten years later there were 1,031 establishments with a total output of \$10,145,182, that is to say that there has been an increase of 200 per cent. in ten years. In 1906 the total was \$27,609,268."

Turning to the industries of Winnipeg, we find that the flour mills turn out 8,000 barrels per day, or 52 carloads of flour and feed. There are also the allied industries such as bakeries, biscuit factories, flour bag factories, etc. There are spice factories, tea blending and coffee roasting establishments, pickle factories, making confectionery, butter factories making 35,000 pounds per day, four large meat packing houses employing more than 500 men, ten breweries and allied plants employing 500 men, two large vinegar factories, two large saw mills and twenty planing and wood-finishing mills, cheap furniture factories employing a hundred men, carriage factories employing 150 men, cooperage works, large harness and saddlery works employing several hundred hands, trunk and leather bag works, C. P. R. shops employing 604 men, sheet metal works, iron works, rolling mills, brass works, factories for metal works and tire-making, plaster and concrete works, oil and paint and glass works, factories for making men and women's clothing, including caps, shirts, overalls and fur garments; cigar factories employing nearly 250 hands, soap factories employing nearly 200 persons, tent and awning factories employing about 100, two large paper box factories, jewelry workshops, three wholesale establishments, and various industries conducted on a small scale.

Nothing these facts, one cannot but be impressed by the following further statement made by the Free Press: "In the course of five years Winnipeg has moved up to fourth place among the manufacturing centres of Canada, and is continuing its advance with a steadily increasing rate of progress. Great as Winnipeg's industrial growth has been up to the present, it is only well begun. The growth of population and of agricultural development throughout Western Canada means a growth of business in Winnipeg, a growing demand for manufactures which Winnipeg will supply in a constantly increasing proportion. Study of the information presented makes it plain to a demonstration that with the development of cheaper power, the rapidly growing demands of Western Canada will produce an increase of manufacturing activity in Winnipeg that will before the present century has run very far in its course produce an industrial development in this city, in comparison with which the present industrial position will be as the wheat when it is just heading out to the wheat in the full head."

HOME TO ROOST President Roosevelt will perceive that certain chickens have come home to roost. The humorous Venezuelans have produced a situation embarrassing, to say the least. President Castro not only proposes to the Hague conference that in the future his national debts shall not be collected by the creditor nations by force, but he calmly repudiates an award which it was justly expected by the United States that he would endorse. It is up to the United States to produce the Big Stick. In the meantime The Hague method of arbitration stands discredited. The Telegraph's New York letter gives the comments of the London press, and discusses the matter in a very interesting way. Manifestly the condition of affairs has vastly changed since the United States interfered in Cleveland's time and assumed the role of protector of Venezuela. The Monroe doctrine has its disadvantages. Future developments will be awaited with amused interest.

A NOTABLE ADDRESS The address delivered by Dr. Atherton, president of the Maritime Medical Association, Wednesday, is certain to provoke a lively discussion. Dr. Atherton presents with striking clearness and force certain facts and suggestions which are of the greatest importance to the welfare of mankind. Whether all will agree with him that there seems to be a degeneracy taking place, the remarks he makes regarding modes of life and the effects of bad breeding must command the attention of all thoughtful persons. No more effective plea for playgrounds for children, or for the medical inspection of schools could be made, and few will care to deny the assertion that "every child has the right to be born healthy and fit to fight the battle of life." Dr. Atherton speaks with perfect candor regarding remedies for existing conditions. The very boldness of his method of discussion will command the more attention to which he says.

THE MONEY MARKET Premier Robinson in an interview in Montreal intimated that it was very difficult to secure money for provincial purposes, owing to the condition of the money market. This difficulty is universal. The city of Halifax was lately unable to secure funds on favorable terms. Throughout the west, municipalities are forced to abandon projected improvements for the same reason, and development is retarded. In the United States, the railroads are clamoring for money they cannot secure. Tempting offers of bonds bring no satisfactory response. Indeed, the condition is world-wide, and is due to the extraordinary expansion of industry, and it is conceded that there must be a recession before an era of cheap and easy money is ushered in. The demand on capital has outrun the supply. The situation is very well set forth by an eminent French economist in an article recently written: "Almost all the nations are finding hard at home for the full amount of their annual savings. Germany absorbs all its own capital, and runs short at that; the same is true of Austria, Russia, Italy, and Spain. So also of the Scandinavian States. Only four countries, the oldest reservoirs of capital in the modern world, are today in a position to export it—England, France, Belgium and Holland. For an immense part of the world, the only source of surplus capital has been greatly reduced since the war. Africa, war, and what still can be spared goes largely to the British colonies. In short, the growing industrial states, particularly the new countries, are at this moment demanding more capital than the whole world has accumulated recently, or is accumulating today. From this fact follow two consequences—first, that the users of this capital have to pay more for it, in the shape of a higher interest rate; second, that they will be compelled to postpone, or extend, for several years, many enterprises in which they had already made preparations. Under these conditions, there has been inevitable some check to the marvelous expansion of industry which has been going on for two years past. Markets, industrial and financial, must in a measure recede to their normal position, and in some proportion, the price of raw material must come down. This, at all events, the conclusion pointed out by the reflection, comparison and experience of all men at any rate, it may as well be taken for granted, according to all appearances, that such depression is under way in a number of favorite investment securities and in divers raw materials. This is true, even if, in certain of them, the process goes no further. On the other hand, there is ground for hope that, with time, market conditions will improve and good securities with fixed revenue, much neglected and depressed for eighteen months or two years past, will be advanced to their normal level, and individual causes of depression will recover."

A London writer has this to say on the subject: "A point which is urged in many quarters as indicating the weakness of the money market is the fact that signs are increasing of a slackening in trade activity in various parts of the world. Undoubtedly, these signs are not altogether new, and the setback continues the effect will no doubt ere long be seen upon the world's money markets, though I find in the best of my mind that the chief cause of the weakness of all European business is not due to any slackening of the country's industrial and commercial activity, or to any impoverishment of the world's resources, but is the consequence of a too feverish, too hurried expansion."

THE C. P. R. BUILDS PIERS The Canadian Pacific Railway Company will spend several million dollars on terminal facilities at Vancouver. Initial contracts have been awarded for work aggregating \$50,000 in value on the first of a series of new piers. A despatch from Vancouver says: "It is expected that this pier will occupy a year in construction. It will be 300 feet long, 100 feet wide, and will cost about \$300,000. The general and uniform plan for the additional pier to be built for the company will involve an expenditure of several million dollars. Four or five years will see the programme carried out. The proposed pier will be 670 feet long and 100 feet wide. Each side will be dredged to a depth of 30 feet in order to accommodate vessels of deep draught. The C. P. R. will supply the material. An order for surplus piles was recently placed in Australia. It is also intended to utilize a considerable quantity of torpedo-piling, the product of a local factory. On the proposed pier, which will be devoted exclusively to the Express liners will be erected two large freight sheds."

THEY DO NOT AGREE The experts acting for the National Civic Federation Municipal Ownership Commission have completed their reports, says a New York paper, and a critical review of the results of their examinations in the United States has been made public. This review is by a committee of four appointed by the commission. Two of the writers, Walton Clark, vice-president of the United Gas Improvement Company, of Philadelphia, and Charles L. Edgar, president of the Edison Electric & Illuminating Company, of Boston, criticized severely the municipal plans examined, while two other writers, Prof. Frank Parsons, of Boston, president of the National Public Ownership League, and Edward W. Bemis, superintendent of the Cleveland, Ohio, water works, find much in favor of municipal ownership in municipal plants which were investigated. The two members first mentioned assert that municipal ownership has "failed gloriously,"

EVIDENCE IN CASE OF ASSAULT AGAINST CHATHAM CHILD Chatham, N. B., July 16.—The case of Richard Yeo, charged with criminal assault upon the three-year-old daughter of Mr. Black, Wentworth street, was brought up for a second hearing yesterday afternoon before Magistrate Combs, before which the case was postponed until Thursday. Those present as witnesses were Mr. and Mrs. Isaac MacDonald, Major Ramsay, Mrs. Patricia Macdonald, Dr. Byrne. The evidence of Dr. Byrne substantiated the charge. The case was postponed until Thursday. Mr. Tilley's ridicule of the idea that the erection of a \$10,000 building would be any benefit to the working people of Rothesay, need not be seriously taken. In fact no part of his speech seemed more serious than the ordinary lackey's politeness, which is intended to divert the minds of the people from the real issue by bold assertion rather than calm fact. The consideration of school matters is usually free from such flippancy, and men who have had all the advantages that education can give them should put no obstacle in the way of any child obtaining the best possible instruction. It is a somewhat remarkable fact that apart from Messrs. John F. Taylor, Capt. Calhoun and L. J. Almon there are not many if any large taxpayers in Rothesay opposed to consolidation and its small additional cost. Last year Capt. Calhoun paid \$732 school tax, John F. Taylor \$187.50 and L. J. Almon \$112.22. This year they are called upon to pay more than

LETTERS TO THE EDITOR [The opinions of correspondents are not necessarily those of The Telegraph. This newspaper does not undertake to publish all or any of the letters received. Unpublished letters will not be returned. Communications must be plainly written; otherwise they will be rejected, and the names and addresses if returned of manuscript is desired in case it should be rejected, and the writer should be sent with every letter as evidence of good faith.—Ed. Telegraph.]

ROTHESAY SCHOOL CONSOLIDATION To the Editor of The Telegraph: Sir: At the Rothesay school meeting last Thursday evening, while, as a member of the committee appointed to inquire into the possibility of a consolidated school here, I was privileged to submit the report, yet as a ratepayer and voter only in the Gondola Point school district, I had no right to reply to the somewhat remarkable arguments presented against the principle of school consolidation. Will you permit me, therefore, Mr. Editor, to state a few facts in reply to some of the educational propositions which is of great importance to our community. Extravagant assertion, without a particle of truth, is the worst method of many people when opposing any project. The committee, of which I was one, had given certain estimates, obtained from very reliable sources, and the school for Kings county told us what we could secure a staff of good teachers for and we added \$100 to his estimate, making it \$1,102. Yet such extravagant ratepayers as took charge of the opposition tactics at this meeting bluntly asserted that our teachers would cost \$3,000 in addition to the government, and that the school for Kings county told us what we could secure a staff of good teachers for and we added \$100 to his estimate, making it \$1,102. Yet such extravagant ratepayers as took charge of the opposition tactics at this meeting bluntly asserted that our teachers would cost \$3,000 in addition to the government, and that the school for Kings county told us what we could secure a staff of good teachers for and we added \$100 to his estimate, making it \$1,102. Yet such extravagant ratepayers as took charge of the opposition tactics at this meeting bluntly asserted that our teachers would cost \$3,000 in addition to the government, and that the school for Kings county told us what we could secure a staff of good teachers for and we added \$100 to his estimate, making it \$1,102. Yet such extravagant ratepayers as took charge of the opposition tactics at this meeting bluntly asserted that our teachers would cost \$3,000 in addition to the government, and that the school for Kings county told us what we could secure a staff of good teachers for and we added \$100 to his estimate, making it \$1,102. Yet such extravagant ratepayers as took charge of the opposition tactics at this meeting bluntly asserted that our teachers would cost \$3,000 in addition to the government, and that the school for Kings county told us what we could secure a staff of good teachers for and we added \$100 to his estimate, making it \$1,102. Yet such extravagant ratepayers as took charge of the opposition tactics at this meeting bluntly asserted that our teachers would cost \$3,000 in addition to the government, and that the school for Kings county told us what we could secure a staff of good teachers for and we added \$100 to his estimate, making it \$1,102. Yet such extravagant ratepayers as took charge of the opposition tactics at this meeting bluntly asserted that our teachers would cost \$3,000 in addition to the government, and that the school for Kings county told us what we could secure a staff of good teachers for and we added \$100 to his estimate, making it \$1,102. Yet such extravagant ratepayers as took charge of the opposition tactics at this meeting bluntly asserted that our teachers would cost \$3,000 in addition to the government, and that the school for Kings county told us what we could secure a staff of good teachers for and we added \$100 to his estimate, making it \$1,102. Yet such extravagant ratepayers as took charge of the opposition tactics at this meeting bluntly asserted that our teachers would cost \$3,000 in addition to the government, and that the school for Kings county told us what we could secure a staff of good teachers for and we added \$100 to his estimate, making it \$1,102. Yet such extravagant ratepayers as took charge of the opposition tactics at this meeting bluntly asserted that our teachers would cost \$3,000 in addition to the government, and that the school for Kings county told us what we could secure a staff of good teachers for and we added \$100 to his estimate, making it \$1,102. Yet such extravagant ratepayers as took charge of the opposition tactics at this meeting bluntly asserted that our teachers would cost \$3,000 in addition to the government, and that the school for Kings county told us what we could secure a staff of good teachers for and we added \$100 to his estimate, making it \$1,102. Yet such extravagant ratepayers as took charge of the opposition tactics at this meeting bluntly asserted that our teachers would cost \$3,000 in addition to the government, and that the school for Kings county told us what we could secure a staff of good teachers for and we added \$100 to his estimate, making it \$1,102. Yet such extravagant ratepayers as took charge of the opposition tactics at this meeting bluntly asserted that our teachers would cost \$3,000 in addition to the government, and that the school for Kings county told us what we could secure a staff of good teachers for and we added \$100 to his estimate, making it \$1,102. Yet such extravagant ratepayers as took charge of the opposition tactics at this meeting bluntly asserted that our teachers would cost \$3,000 in addition to the government, and that the school for Kings county told us what we could secure a staff of good teachers for and we added \$100 to his estimate, making it \$1,102. Yet such extravagant ratepayers as took charge of the opposition tactics at this meeting bluntly asserted that our teachers would cost \$3,000 in addition to the government, and that the school for Kings county told us what we could secure a staff of good teachers for and we added \$100 to his estimate, making it \$1,102. Yet such extravagant ratepayers as took charge of the opposition tactics at this meeting bluntly asserted that our teachers would cost \$3,000 in addition to the government, and that the school for Kings county told us what we could secure a staff of good teachers for and we added \$100 to his estimate, making it \$1,102. Yet such extravagant ratepayers as took charge of the opposition tactics at this meeting bluntly asserted that our teachers would cost \$3,000 in addition to the government, and that the school for Kings county told us what we could secure a staff of good teachers for and we added \$100 to his estimate, making it \$1,102. Yet such extravagant ratepayers as took charge of the opposition tactics at this meeting bluntly asserted that our teachers would cost \$3,000 in addition to the government, and that the school for Kings county told us what we could secure a staff of good teachers for and we added \$100 to his estimate, making it \$1,102. Yet such extravagant ratepayers as took charge of the opposition tactics at this meeting bluntly asserted that our teachers would cost \$3,000 in addition to the government, and that the school for Kings county told us what we could secure a staff of good teachers for and we added \$100 to his estimate, making it \$1,102. Yet such extravagant ratepayers as took charge of the opposition tactics at this meeting bluntly asserted that our teachers would cost \$3,000 in addition to the government, and that the school for Kings county told us what we could secure a staff of good teachers for and we added \$100 to his estimate, making it \$1,102. Yet such extravagant ratepayers as took charge of the opposition tactics at this meeting bluntly asserted that our teachers would cost \$3,000 in addition to the government, and that the school for Kings county told us what we could secure a staff of good teachers for and we added \$100 to his estimate, making it \$1,102. Yet such extravagant ratepayers as took charge of the opposition tactics at this meeting bluntly asserted that our teachers would cost \$3,000 in addition to the government, and that the school for Kings county told us what we could secure a staff of good teachers for and we added \$100 to his estimate, making it \$1,102. Yet such extravagant ratepayers as took charge of the opposition tactics at this meeting bluntly asserted that our teachers would cost \$3,000 in addition to the government, and that the school for Kings county told us what we could secure a staff of good teachers for and we added \$100 to his estimate, making it \$1,102. Yet such extravagant ratepayers as took charge of the opposition tactics at this meeting bluntly asserted that our teachers would cost \$3,000 in addition to the government, and that the school for Kings county told us what we could secure a staff of good teachers for and we added \$100 to his estimate, making it \$1,102. Yet such extravagant ratepayers as took charge of the opposition tactics at this meeting bluntly asserted that our teachers would cost \$3,000 in addition to the government, and that the school for Kings county told us what we could secure a staff of good teachers for and we added \$100 to his estimate, making it \$1,102. Yet such extravagant ratepayers as took charge of the opposition tactics at this meeting bluntly asserted that our teachers would cost \$3,000 in addition to the government, and that the school for Kings county told us what we could secure a staff of good teachers for and we added \$100 to his estimate, making it \$1,102. Yet such extravagant ratepayers as took charge of the opposition tactics at this meeting bluntly asserted that our teachers would cost \$3,000 in addition to the government, and that the school for Kings county told us what we could secure a staff of good teachers for and we added \$100 to his estimate, making it \$1,102. Yet such extravagant ratepayers as took charge of the opposition tactics at this meeting bluntly asserted that our teachers would cost \$3,000 in addition to the government, and that the school for Kings county told us what we could secure a staff of good teachers for and we added \$100 to his estimate, making it \$1,102. Yet such extravagant ratepayers as took charge of the opposition tactics at this meeting bluntly asserted that our teachers would cost \$3,000 in addition to the government, and that the school for Kings county told us what we could secure a staff of good teachers for and we added \$100 to his estimate, making it \$1,102. Yet such extravagant ratepayers as took charge of the opposition tactics at this meeting bluntly asserted that our teachers would cost \$3,000 in addition to the government, and that the school for Kings county told us what we could secure a staff of good teachers for and we added \$100 to his estimate, making it \$1,102. Yet such extravagant ratepayers as took charge of the opposition tactics at this meeting bluntly asserted that our teachers would cost \$3,000 in addition to the government, and that the school for Kings county told us what we could secure a staff of good teachers for and we added \$100 to his estimate, making it \$1,102. Yet such extravagant ratepayers as took charge of the opposition tactics at this meeting bluntly asserted that our teachers would cost \$3,000 in addition to the government, and that the school for Kings county told us what we could secure a staff of good teachers for and we added \$100 to his estimate, making it \$1,102. Yet such extravagant ratepayers as took charge of the opposition tactics at this meeting bluntly asserted that our teachers would cost \$3,000 in addition to the government, and that the school for Kings county told us what we could secure a staff of good teachers for and we added \$100 to his estimate, making it \$1,102. Yet such extravagant ratepayers as took charge of the opposition tactics at this meeting bluntly asserted that our teachers would cost \$3,000 in addition to the government, and that the school for Kings county told us what we could secure a staff of good teachers for and we added \$100 to his estimate, making it \$1,102. Yet such extravagant ratepayers as took charge of the opposition tactics at this meeting bluntly asserted that our teachers would cost \$3,000 in addition to the government, and that the school for Kings county told us what we could secure a staff of good teachers for and we added \$100 to his estimate, making it \$1,102. Yet such extravagant ratepayers as took charge of the opposition tactics at this meeting bluntly asserted that our teachers would cost \$3,000 in addition to the government, and that the school for Kings county told us what we could secure a staff of good teachers for and we added \$100 to his estimate, making it \$1,102. Yet such extravagant ratepayers as took charge of the opposition tactics at this meeting bluntly asserted that our teachers would cost \$3,000 in addition to the government, and that the school for Kings county told us what we could secure a staff of good teachers for and we added \$100 to his estimate, making it \$1,102. Yet such extravagant ratepayers as took charge of the opposition tactics at this meeting bluntly asserted that our teachers would cost \$3,000 in addition to the government, and that the school for Kings county told us what we could secure a staff of good teachers for and we added \$100 to his estimate, making it \$1,102. Yet such extravagant ratepayers as took charge of the opposition tactics at this meeting bluntly asserted that our teachers would cost \$3,000 in addition to the government, and that the school for Kings county told us what we could secure a staff of good teachers for and we added \$100 to his estimate, making it \$1,102. Yet such extravagant ratepayers as took charge of the opposition tactics at this meeting bluntly asserted that our teachers would cost \$3,000 in addition to the government, and that the school for Kings county told us what we could secure a staff of good teachers for and we added \$100 to his estimate, making it \$1,102. Yet such extravagant ratepayers as took charge of the opposition tactics at this meeting bluntly asserted that our teachers would cost \$3,000 in addition to the government, and that the school for Kings county told us what we could secure a staff of good teachers for and we added \$100 to his estimate, making it \$1,102. Yet such extravagant ratepayers as took charge of the opposition tactics at this meeting bluntly asserted that our teachers would cost \$3,000 in addition to the government, and that the school for Kings county told us what we could secure a staff of good teachers for and we added \$100 to his estimate, making it \$1,102. Yet such extravagant ratepayers as took charge of the opposition tactics at this meeting bluntly asserted that our teachers would cost \$3,000 in addition to the government, and that the school for Kings county told us what we could secure a staff of good teachers for and we added \$100 to his estimate, making it \$1,102. Yet such extravagant ratepayers as took charge of the opposition tactics at this meeting bluntly asserted that our teachers would cost \$3,000 in addition to the government, and that the school for Kings county told us what we could secure a staff of good teachers for and we added \$100 to his estimate, making it \$1,102. Yet such extravagant ratepayers as took charge of the opposition tactics at this meeting bluntly asserted that our teachers would cost \$3,000 in addition to the government, and that the school for Kings county told us what we could secure a staff of good teachers for and we added \$100 to his estimate, making it \$1,102. Yet such extravagant ratepayers as took charge of the opposition tactics at this meeting bluntly asserted that our teachers would cost \$3,000 in addition to the government, and that the school for Kings county told us what we could secure a staff of good teachers for and we added \$100 to his estimate, making it \$1,102. Yet such extravagant ratepayers as took charge of the opposition tactics at this meeting bluntly asserted that our teachers would cost \$3,000 in addition to the government, and that the school for Kings county told us what we could secure a staff of good teachers for and we added \$100 to his estimate, making it \$1,102. Yet such extravagant ratepayers as took charge of the opposition tactics at this meeting bluntly asserted that our teachers would cost \$3,000 in addition to the government, and that the school for Kings county told us what we could secure a staff of good teachers for and we added \$100 to his estimate, making it \$1,102. Yet such extravagant ratepayers as took charge of the opposition tactics at this meeting bluntly asserted that our teachers would cost \$3,000 in addition to the government, and that the school for Kings county told us what we could secure a staff of good teachers for and we added \$100 to his estimate, making it \$1,102. Yet such extravagant ratepayers as took charge of the opposition tactics at this meeting bluntly asserted that our teachers would cost \$3,000 in addition to the government, and that the school for Kings county told us what we could secure a staff of good teachers for and we added \$100 to his estimate, making it \$1,102. Yet such extravagant ratepayers as took charge of the opposition tactics at this meeting bluntly asserted that our teachers would cost \$3,000 in addition to the government, and that the school for Kings county told us what we could secure a staff of good teachers for and we added \$100 to his estimate, making it \$1,102. Yet such extravagant ratepayers as took charge of the opposition tactics at this meeting bluntly asserted that our teachers would cost \$3,000 in addition to the government, and that the school for Kings county told us what we could secure a staff of good teachers for and we added \$100 to his estimate, making it \$1,102. Yet such extravagant ratepayers as took charge of the opposition tactics at this meeting bluntly asserted that our teachers would cost \$3,000 in addition to the government, and that the school for Kings county told us what we could secure a staff of good teachers for and we added \$100 to his estimate, making it \$1,102. Yet such extravagant ratepayers as took charge of the opposition tactics at this meeting bluntly asserted that our teachers would cost \$3,000 in addition to the government, and that the school for Kings county told us what we could secure a staff of good teachers for and we added \$100 to his estimate, making it \$1,102. Yet such extravagant ratepayers as took charge of the opposition tactics at this meeting bluntly asserted that our teachers would cost \$3,000 in addition to the government, and that the school for Kings county told us what we could secure a staff of good teachers for and we added \$100 to his estimate, making it \$1,102. Yet such extravagant ratepayers as took charge of the opposition tactics at this meeting bluntly asserted that our teachers would cost \$3,000 in addition to the government, and that the school for Kings county told us what we could secure a staff of good teachers for and we added \$100 to his estimate, making it \$1,102. Yet such extravagant ratepayers as took charge of the opposition tactics at this meeting bluntly asserted that our teachers would cost \$3,000 in addition to the government, and that the school for Kings county told us what we could secure a staff of good teachers for and we added \$100 to his estimate, making it \$1,102. Yet such extravagant ratepayers as took charge of the opposition tactics at this meeting bluntly asserted that our teachers would cost \$3,000 in addition to the government, and that the school for Kings county told us what we could secure a staff of good teachers for and we added \$100 to his estimate, making it \$1,102. Yet such extravagant ratepayers as took charge of the opposition tactics at this meeting bluntly asserted that our teachers would cost \$3,000 in addition to the government, and that the school for Kings county told us what we could secure a staff of good teachers for and we added \$100 to his estimate, making it \$1,102. Yet such extravagant ratepayers as took charge of the opposition tactics at this meeting bluntly asserted that our teachers would cost \$3,000 in addition to the government, and that the school for Kings county told us what we could secure a staff of good teachers for and we added \$100 to his estimate, making it \$1,102. Yet such extravagant ratepayers as took charge of the opposition tactics at this meeting bluntly asserted that our teachers would cost \$3,000 in addition to the government, and that the school for Kings county told us what we could secure a staff of good teachers for and we added \$100 to his estimate, making it \$1,102. Yet such extravagant ratepayers as took charge of the opposition tactics at this meeting bluntly asserted that our teachers would cost \$3,000 in addition to the government, and that the school for Kings county told us what we could secure a staff of good teachers for and we added \$100 to his estimate, making it \$1,102. Yet such extravagant ratepayers as took charge of the opposition tactics at this meeting bluntly asserted that our teachers would cost \$3,000 in addition to the government, and that the school for Kings county told us what we could secure a staff of good teachers for and we added \$100 to his estimate, making it \$1,102. Yet such extravagant ratepayers as took charge of the opposition tactics at this meeting bluntly asserted that our teachers would cost \$3,000 in addition to the government, and that the school for Kings county told us what we could secure a staff of good teachers for and we added \$100 to his estimate, making it \$1,102. Yet such extravagant ratepayers as took charge of the opposition tactics at this meeting bluntly asserted that our teachers would cost \$3,000 in addition to the government, and that the school for Kings county told us what we could secure a staff of good teachers for and we added \$100 to his estimate, making it \$1,102. Yet such extravagant ratepayers as took charge of the opposition tactics at this meeting bluntly asserted that our teachers would cost \$3,000 in addition to the government, and that the school for Kings county told us what we could secure a staff of good teachers for and we added \$100 to his estimate, making it \$1,102. Yet such extravagant ratepayers as took charge of the opposition tactics at this meeting bluntly asserted that our teachers would cost \$3,000 in addition to the government, and that the school for Kings county told us what we could secure a staff of good teachers for and we added \$100 to his estimate, making it \$1,102. Yet such extravagant ratepayers as took charge of the opposition tactics at this meeting bluntly asserted that our teachers would cost \$3,000 in addition to the government, and that the school for Kings county told us what we could secure a staff of good teachers for and we added \$100 to his estimate, making it \$1,102. Yet such extravagant ratepayers as took charge of the opposition tactics at this meeting bluntly asserted that our teachers would cost \$3,000 in addition to the government, and that the school for Kings county told us what we could secure a staff of good teachers for and we added \$100 to his estimate, making it \$1,102. Yet such extravagant ratepayers as took charge of the opposition tactics at this meeting bluntly asserted that our teachers would cost \$3,000 in addition to the government, and that the school for Kings county told us what we could secure a staff of good teachers for and we added \$100 to his estimate, making it \$1,102. Yet such extravagant ratepayers as took charge of the opposition tactics at this meeting bluntly asserted that our teachers would cost \$3,000 in addition to the government, and that the school for Kings county told us what we could secure a staff of good teachers for and we added \$100 to his estimate, making it \$1,102. Yet such extravagant ratepayers as took charge of the opposition tactics at this meeting bluntly asserted that our teachers would cost \$3,000 in addition to the government, and that the school for Kings county told us what we could secure a staff of good teachers for and we added \$100 to his estimate, making it \$1,102. Yet such extravagant ratepayers as took charge of the opposition tactics at this meeting bluntly asserted that our teachers would cost \$3,000 in addition to the government, and that the school for Kings county told us what we could secure a staff of good teachers for and we added \$100 to his estimate, making it \$1,102. Yet such extravagant ratepayers as took charge of the opposition tactics at this meeting bluntly asserted that our teachers would cost \$3,000 in addition to the government, and that the school for Kings county told us what we could secure a staff of good teachers for and we added \$100 to his estimate, making it \$1,102. Yet such extravagant ratepayers as took charge of the opposition tactics at this meeting bluntly asserted that our teachers would cost \$3,000 in addition to the government, and that the school for Kings county told us what we could secure a staff of good teachers for and we added \$100 to his estimate, making it \$1,102. Yet such extravagant ratepayers as took charge of the opposition tactics at this meeting bluntly asserted that our teachers would cost \$3,000 in addition to the government, and that the school for Kings county told us what we could secure a staff of good teachers for and we added \$100 to his estimate, making it \$1,102. Yet such extravagant ratepayers as took charge of the opposition tactics at this meeting bluntly asserted that our teachers would cost \$3,000 in addition to the government, and that the school for Kings county told us what we could secure a staff of good teachers for and we added \$100 to his estimate, making it \$1,102. Yet such extravagant ratepayers as took charge of the opposition tactics at this meeting bluntly asserted that our teachers would cost \$3,000 in addition to the government, and that the school for Kings county told us what we could secure a staff of good teachers for and we added \$100 to his estimate, making it \$1,102. Yet such extravagant ratepayers as took charge of the opposition tactics at this meeting bluntly asserted that our teachers would cost \$3,000 in addition to the government, and that the school for Kings county told us what we could secure a staff of good teachers for and we added \$100 to his estimate, making it \$1,102. Yet such extravagant ratepayers as took charge of the opposition tactics at this meeting bluntly asserted that our teachers would cost \$3,000 in addition to the government, and that the school for Kings county told us what we could secure a staff of good teachers for and we added \$100 to his estimate, making it \$1,102. Yet such extravagant ratepayers as took charge of the opposition tactics at this meeting bluntly asserted that our teachers would cost \$3,000 in addition to the government, and that the school for Kings county told us what we could secure a staff of good teachers for and we added \$100 to his estimate, making it \$1,102. Yet such extravagant ratepayers as took charge of the opposition tactics at this meeting bluntly asserted that our teachers would cost \$3,000 in addition to the government, and that the school for Kings county told us what we could secure a staff of good teachers for and we added \$100 to his estimate, making it \$1,102. Yet such extravagant ratepayers as took charge of the opposition tactics at this meeting bluntly asserted that our teachers would cost \$3,000 in addition to the government, and that the school for Kings county told us what we could secure a staff of good teachers for and we added \$100 to his estimate, making it \$1,102. Yet such extravagant ratepayers as took charge of the opposition tactics at this meeting bluntly asserted that our teachers would cost \$3,000 in addition to the government, and that the school for Kings county told us what we could secure a staff of good teachers for and we added \$100 to his estimate, making it \$1,102. Yet such extravagant ratepayers as took charge of the opposition tactics at this meeting bluntly asserted that our teachers would cost \$3,000 in addition to the government, and that the school for Kings county told us what we could secure a staff of good teachers for and we added \$100 to his estimate, making it \$1,102. Yet such extravagant ratepayers as took charge of the opposition tactics at this meeting bluntly asserted that our teachers would cost \$3,000 in addition to the government, and that the school for Kings county told us what we could secure a staff of good teachers for and we added \$100 to his estimate, making it \$1,102. Yet such extravagant ratepayers as took charge of the opposition tactics at this meeting bluntly asserted that our teachers would cost \$3,000 in addition to the government, and that the school for Kings county told us what we could secure a staff of good teachers for and we added \$100 to his estimate, making it \$1,102. Yet such extravagant ratepayers as took charge of the opposition tactics at this meeting bluntly asserted that our teachers would cost \$3,000 in addition to the government, and that the school for Kings county told us what we could secure a staff of good teachers for and we added \$100 to his estimate, making it \$1,102. Yet such extravagant ratepayers as took charge of the opposition tactics at this meeting bluntly asserted that our teachers would cost \$3,000 in addition to the government, and that the school for Kings county told us what we could secure a staff of good teachers for and we added \$100 to his estimate, making it \$1,102. Yet such extravagant ratepayers as took charge of the opposition tactics at this meeting bluntly asserted that our teachers would cost \$3,000 in addition to the government, and that the school for Kings county told us what we could secure a staff of good teachers for and we added \$100 to his estimate, making it \$1,102. Yet such extravagant ratepayers as took charge of the opposition tactics at this meeting bluntly asserted that our teachers would cost \$3,000 in addition to the government, and that the school for Kings county told us what we could secure a staff of good teachers for and we added \$100 to his estimate, making it \$1,102. Yet such extravagant ratepayers as took charge of the opposition tactics at this meeting bluntly asserted that our teachers would cost \$3,000 in addition to the government, and that the school for Kings county told us what we could secure a staff of good teachers for and we added \$100 to his estimate, making it \$1,102. Yet such extravagant ratepayers as took charge of the opposition tactics at this meeting bluntly asserted that our teachers would cost \$3,000 in addition to the government, and that the school for Kings county told us what we could secure a staff of good teachers for and we added \$100 to his estimate, making it \$1,102. Yet such extravagant ratepayers as took charge of the opposition tactics at this meeting bluntly asserted that our teachers would cost \$3,000 in addition to the government, and that the school for Kings county told us what we could secure a staff of good teachers for and we added \$100 to his estimate, making it \$1,102. Yet such extravagant ratepayers as took charge of the opposition tactics at this meeting bluntly asserted that our teachers would cost \$3,000 in addition to the government, and that the school for Kings county told us what we could secure a staff of good teachers for and we added \$100 to his estimate, making it \$1,102. Yet such extravagant ratepayers as took charge of the opposition tactics at this meeting bluntly asserted that our teachers would cost \$3,000 in addition to the government, and that the school for Kings county told us what we could secure a staff of good teachers for and we added \$100 to his estimate, making it \$1,102. Yet such extravagant ratepayers as took charge of the opposition tactics at this meeting bluntly asserted that our teachers would cost \$3,000 in addition to the government, and that the school for Kings county told us what we could secure a staff of good teachers for and we added \$100 to his estimate, making it \$1,102. Yet such extravagant ratepayers as took charge of the opposition tactics at this meeting bluntly asserted that our teachers would cost \$3,000 in addition to the government, and that the school for Kings county told us what we could secure a staff of good teachers for and we added \$100 to his estimate, making it \$1,102. Yet such extravagant ratepayers as took charge of the opposition tactics at this meeting bluntly asserted that our teachers would cost \$3,000 in addition to the government, and that the school for Kings county told us what we could secure a staff of good teachers for and we added \$100 to his estimate, making it \$1,102. Yet such extravagant ratepayers as took charge of the opposition tactics at this meeting bluntly asserted that our teachers would cost \$3,000 in addition to the government, and that the school for Kings county told us what we could secure a staff of good teachers for and we added \$100 to his estimate, making it \$1