- 2. I was rather struck when you spoke of property being taken into custody and being destroyed? A. That is true so far as literature is concerned
- Q. But you would have us understand that your expression regarding the destruction of property applies only to literature?
- Q. Other stuff has been lost to Jehavah's witnesses, but you don't say they destroyed it?

 A. No, I don't say that.
- Q. I just wanted to make the point clear. A. As a matter of fact I had one or two clippings showing that it was auctioned off or sold.

BY MR. HAZEN:

Q. What would be auctioned off? A. Chairs, and things of that sort.

BY MR. MAYBANK:

Q. I don't suppose they auctioned off any of your phonograph records?

A. I do not think they would do that,

Mr. Maybank; I think probably all the people who wanted to have those likely had them.

Their property is to be treated as if they were enemy aliens, deprived of all citizenship; and their ordinary rights of defence in courts on the merits of their case are to be denied them (no witness of Jehovah yet has had opportunity to enter a defence on the merits of his case, neither on behalf of himself or his property.)

And I further certify to you that, in doclaring them illegal, I have satisfied myself that present constitutional law which guarantees to every British subject freedom to worship and serve Almighty God (Toleration Act, etc.) has no application to their rights because they do not preach Christianity (otherwide the order in council would not have been passed to restrain all their activities). They preach subversion. They may, therefore, hold no Bible studies (Rog. 39C (3a); Rex v. Powley et al, Sault Ste. Marie, 1940); baptize no one (Reg. 39C (2) and (3a); bury no one