For the years (a) 1976-77 (b) 1977-78 (c) 1978-79, what was the total number of status Indians using medical services as compared with the rest of the population in the Northwest Territories?

For the years (a) 1976-77 (b) 1977-78 (c) 1978-79, what was the total number of status Indians using medical services as compared with the rest of the population in the Yukon Territory?

For the years (a) 1976-77 (b) 1977-78 (c) 1978-79, what was the total number of status Indians using medical services as compared with the rest of the population in the province of Ontario?

For the years (a) 1976-77 (b) 1977-78 (c) 1978-79, what was the total number of status Indians using medical services as compared with the rest of the population in the province of Quebec?

For the years (a) 1976-77 (b) 1977-78 (c) 1978-79, what was the total number of status Indians using medical services as compared with the rest of the population in the province of Newfoundland?

For the years (a) 1976-77 (b) 1977-78 (c) 1978-79, what was the total number of status Indians using medical services as compared with the rest of the population in the province of New Brunswick?

For the years (a) 1976-77 (b) 1977-78 (c) 1978-79, what was the total number of status Indians using medical services as compared with the rest of the population in the province of Nova Scotia?

For the years (a) 1976-77 (b) 1977-78 (c) 1978-79, what was the total number of status Indians using medical services as compared with the rest of the population in the province of Saskatchewan?

For the years (a) 1976-77 (b) 1977-78 (c) 1978-79, what was the total number of status Indians using medical services as compared with the rest of the population in the province of Prince Edward Island?

Mr. Doug Frith (Parliamentary Secretary to Minister of National Health and Welfare): Specific information is not available. Total number of status Indians who could potentially use medical services (health care) as compared with the total Canadian population, by provinces and territories follows:

| | Canadian ** | Registered Indian |
|------------------------|-------------|-------------------|
| Newfoundland | 569,000 | 0 |
| Prince Edward Island | 122,000 | 509 |
| Nova Scotia | 841,000 | 5,631 |
| New Brunswick | 695,000 | 5.249 |
| Ouebec | 6.283,000 | 30,723 |
| Ontario | 8,445,000 | 67,460 |
| Manitoba | 1.033,000 | 44,642 |
| Saskatchewan | 948,000 | 46,275 |
| Alberta | 1,952,000 | 36,150 |
| British Columbia | 2.530.000 | 55.217 |
| North West Territories | 44,000 | 7,649 |
| Yukon | 22,000 | 3,244 |
| Canada | 23,484,000 | 302,749 |

^{*}Dec. 31, 1978 Source: Department of Indian Affairs and Northern Development, Registered Indian population, 1978.

METRIC CONVERSION CANADA

Ouestion No. 1,287-Mr. Stewart:

Did Metric Commission Canada distribute green looseleaf binders entitled "Metric Conversion Progress: U.S.A.-Canada Status Report" and, if so (a) how many (b) what was the cost of each binder (c) what is the purpose of the binder (d) what was the total cost including the report?

Hon. Herb Gray (Minister of Industry, Trade and Commerce): In so far as the Metric Commission is concerned: The question is concerned with binders:

Order Paper Questions

- (a) The U.S.A.-Canada Status Report has been distributed since (1980-09-01) stapled without covers.
 On 1980-03-18 it was mailed along with a notice that future issues would be in looseleaf forms in a binder. Persons on the mailing list were asked if they wished to receive the binder. 1,036 recipients requested and received binders for their copies.
 - (b) Each binder costs \$1.41 to produce.
 - (c) The purpose of the binder is to reduce the cost of updating the U.S.A.-Canada Status Report by merely replacing only those looseleaf sheets which are out of date with new ones as more up to date information becomes available.
 - (d) The 1,036 binders were mailed on 1980-06-09. The cost of producing, packaging and distribution was \$5,806.62.

VANCOUVER HARBOURS BOARD—TRAVEL TO JAPAN

Question No. 1,319—Mr. Robinson (Burnaby):

- 1. Did four persons from the Vancouver Harbours Board and the Port Authority travel to Japan in May, 1980, to discuss with a consortium of Japanese ship owners a number of issues surrounding the recent change in ownership of a terminal operation in Vancouver and, if so (a) what are the full details of the transactions that took place (b) what are their names (c) what is their position (d) what is their annual salary (e) what are the names of the persons or companies that they met with (f) what issues were discussed (g) on what dates were meetings held (h) what was the total cost of the trip (i) who or which department paid for the trip (j) who proposed the trip and who authorized it (k) for what reason were four people sent and what were their roles in the discussions?
- 2. On what date did the Canadian officials (a) leave for (b) return from Japan?
- 3. Did the Canadian officials submit a report to the minister or to the department and, if so, is the report publicly available?

Mr. Robert Bockstael (Parliamentary Secretary to Minister of Transport): The National Harbours Board advises as follows: 1. Yes, four persons from the Port Management and Port Authority travelled to Japan, Hong Kong and San Francisco.

- (a) No transactions took place, discussions were held with various interested parties concerning the change in ownership of Empire Stevedoring.
- (b) Mr. E. M. Strang

Mr. R. Hubber-Richard

Mr. A. A. Shaw

Mr. M. A. Mulholland

(c) Chief Executive Officer, Vancouver Port Authority
Member, Executive Committee, Vancouver Port Authority

Manager Terminal Operations, Port of Vancouver Manager Port Trade Development, Port of Vancouver.

(d) 15,000 (honorarium)

4,500 (honorarium)

35,600-44,500

32,100-40,125

^{**}Dec. 31, 1978 Source: Statistics Canada, vital statistics, vol 111, 1978.