

SUPPLY AND SERVICES—CONTRACT TO KODAK CANADA LTD.

Question No. 1,956—**Mr. Reynolds:**

1. How many dollars in contracts were awarded to Kodak Canada Ltd., Toronto, Ontario for the year 1974?
2. To what departments did Kodak supply goods?

Hon. Jean-Pierre Goyer (Minister of Supply and Services): 1. \$5,374,608.

2. Agriculture, Canadian Penitentiary Service, Canadian Transport Commission, Canadian International Development Agency, Communications, Consumer and Corporate Affairs, Industry Trade and Commerce, Defence Research Board, Energy, Mines and Resources, Environment, Indian Affairs and Northern Development, Information Canada, Manpower and Immigration, Ministry of Transport, National Defence, National Film Board, Canadian Commercial Corp., Master Standing Offer (all customers), National Health & Welfare, National Library, National Museums, National Revenue, National Research Council, Post Office, Public Archives, Public Service Commission, Public Works, Regional Economic Expansion, Royal Canadian Mounted Police, Secretary of State, Solicitor General, Statistics Canada, Supply and Services, Unemployment Insurance Commission, Urban Affairs, Veterans Affairs, Central Mortgage & Housing Corporation.

SUPPLY AND SERVICES—CONTRACT FOR DPW DREDGE

Question No. 1,958—**Mr. Reynolds:**

1. Was a contract awarded May 30, 1974 to Marystown Shipyard Limited, Marystown, Newfoundland for the repair of a DPW dredge costing \$119,369?
2. What did the repair work entail in the period at which it was at the shipyard?
3. How much does a new dredge of similar construction cost?

Hon. Jean-Pierre Goyer (Minister of Supply and Services): 1. Yes. The contract was awarded on April 4, 1974, the press release was dated May 30, 1974.

2. Extensive work on underwater hull including renewal of keel plates and adjacent shell plates. Also repairs to main machinery.

3. Approximately \$4 million depending on the degree of sophistication.

SUPPLY AND SERVICES—CONTRACT FOR CEMENT

Question No. 1,967—**Mr. Reynolds:**

1. Was a contract awarded by the Department of Supply and Services on May 9, 1974 to Saint Lawrence Cement Co., Montreal, Quebec for 110,000 tons of cement at a cost of \$35.62 per ton?
2. Were tenders requested?
3. What were the names of companies who tendered?
4. What was the price of cement as offered at that time by Canada Cement Lafarge Ltd. of Montreal?
5. (a) For what reason was Canada Cement Lafarge Ltd. not given the contract earlier in the month for the same destined place for which goods were contracted May 31, 1974 and delivered (b) what saving would have accrued from such purchase?

Hon. Jean-Pierre Goyer (Minister of Supply and Services): 1. Yes, however, date of contract award was April 23, 1974; the news release was dated May 9, 1974.

Order Paper Questions

2. Eleven firms were invited to tender.
3. Canada Cement Lafarge Ltd, St. Lawrence Cement Co.
4. Canada Cement Lafarge was unable to quote on the supply of cement for delivery in 1974 because of previous commitments. Their tender for supply in 1975 was to be the list price in effect at time of delivery.
5. (a) Firstly, Canada Cement Lafarge was not prepared to quote on the 1974 requirement and secondly, the firm did not provide a firm price for the delivery of cement during 1975. Consequently, the company's tender for the supply of cement for the construction of cargo aprons was considered non-responsive. (b) Not applicable.

SUPPLY AND SERVICES—REPAINTING OF CF-101 AIRCRAFT

Question No. 1,989—**Mr. Reynolds:**

1. Was a contract awarded to Bristol Aerospace Ltd., Winnipeg for the repainting of 15 CF-101 aircrafts at a cost of \$16,773 per aircraft?
2. Were tenders requested on the contract?
3. What companies tendered?
4. Did the Department of Supply and Services provide incentives to Bristol Aerospace Ltd. for the construction of their paint shop?

Hon. Jean-Pierre Goyer (Minister of Supply and Services): 1. Yes.

2. Tenders were not invited since the repainting was carried out at the same time and in conjunction with a major repair and overhaul modification program. Significant cost savings were achieved by not duplicating the dismantling, reassembling and testing, as well as ferrying costs, necessary to a separate contract. The out of service time for the aircraft is also considerably reduced.

3. Not applicable, see 2 above.

4. No incentives were given to the contractor for the construction of the paint shop.

SUPPLY AND SERVICES—CONTRACT FOR TECHNICAL SERVICES

Question No. 1,992—**Mr. Reynolds:**

1. Was a contract awarded September 1973 to Leonard O'Brien, Ottawa, Ontario for technical services costing \$10,000?
2. What technical services were acquired?
3. Were tenders requested for the contract?
4. To what purpose were the services used?

Hon. Jean-Pierre Goyer (Minister of Supply and Services): 1. Yes.

2. The development of assigned portions of operational and training programs and projects related to supply activities operating in a state of emergency.

3. No. Mr. O'Brien was the only individual known as being capable of providing the service in the required time-frame.

4. In support of program planning.