

of which had been referred to all International Commission of Control and Supervision delegations). The Canadian delegation noted that, although the Provisional Revolutionary Government statement did not include any offer of cooperation in the investigation, it afforded an occasion for the commission to review the case and meet its obligations. After further prolonged debate the question was inscribed on the agenda for the 25th session of the commission on Friday, March 2, 1973.

At the 25th session it was noted that, as a result of receiving the Provisional Revolutionary Government statement, the commission had the opportunity to correct the wrong decision it had made at its 23rd session when it had failed to meet its obligations under the agreement and International Commission of Control and Supervision protocol. In supporting this view, the Canadian delegation noted that the dispute between the Republic of Viet-Nam and the Provisional Revolutionary Government concerning this question appeared to be particularly serious and could even lead to action by one side or the other resulting in a resumption of general hostilities.

Despite the appeal by the Canadian delegation and by another delegation, two delegations refused to agree to an investigation on the grounds that no adequate evidence existed to justify an investigation. Once again, therefore, the commission failed to take the mandatory action required of it.

At the 26th session of the International Commission of Control and Supervision on Monday, March 5, 1973, the head of the Canadian delegation in a further attempt to ensure that the International Commission of Control and Supervision met its responsibilities, introduced a resolution calling for the necessary action by the commission to carry out an investigation of the complaint. One delegation supported the resolution. Two delegations opposed the resolution, stating that their position had not changed and that they continued to believe that there were no adequate grounds for investigation. It is the opinion of the Canadian delegation that the argument of "no adequate grounds" has no validity as a justification for refusal to investigate since Article 2 of the International Commission of Control and Supervision protocol makes quite clear that the commission has the mandatory obligation to investigate at the request of "any party".