

In response Mr. Clark reaffirmed the Government's position on SDI research:

The policy with respect to the Strategic Defence Initiative is very clear. There will be no government-to-government involvement. There is no government-to-government involvement.

It was also made very clear in the decision by the Government of Canada at the time of the announcement of the U.S. program that we did not intend to use the fact that research or other activities that had previously been allowed between Canadian companies and American companies would be eliminated or prohibited simply because the Strategic Defence Initiative process was in place. We are dealing with an arrangement that predates the Strategic Defence Initiative, that uses the Canadian Commercial Corporation in its agency role exclusively, which is among the roles for which it was established.⁶

Current References

Boulden, J., "The End of Arms Control Bashing?", Peace & Security, Winter 1987/88, pp. 6-7.

Cooper, H. F., "US Soviet Defence and Space Treaty Negotiations-- Important Differences Still to Be Overcome," NATO Review, Vol. 35, No. 6, December 1987, pp. 6-11.

Cooper, H. F., "Defence and Space Talks--Two Steps Forward, One Step Back," NATO Review, Vol. 36, No. 1, February 1988, pp. 15-19.

Daggett, S., and English, R. D., "Assessing Soviet Strategic Defense," Foreign Policy No. 70, Spring 1988, pp. 129-149.

⁶ Ibid., p. 10829.