

walking, and becoming intense for some hours or days before the period, and lasting throughout its continuance. Menstruation is usually too frequent and too profuse. In the great majority of the cases the uterus is somewhat fixed, and a tender mass can be felt on one or other side of it, perhaps on both sides and behind it. When the tubes and ovaries are down behind the uterus and adherent there—and this is by far the most common condition—the diagnosis to a beginner is very difficult. Nothing looks more certain and easy than the diagnosis of subinvolution and retroflexion, and without further consideration a pessary is introduced, with no other result than that of aggravating the patient's sufferings; in fact, I may say that at this point her troubles will begin to be serious, and she will wander about to collect various kinds of instruments from various practitioners, until she ends either a helpless and hopeless invalid or dies from an attack of acute peritonitis. In some of my most marked and most successful cases there have been no physical signs whatever, and I have felt myself reluctantly justified in interfering only by manifest reality of the patient's sufferings.

Here let me just say a word about the much discussed question of subjective symptoms. Everybody has heard the celebrated story told of Liston—that a hysterical girl persuaded him to remove a healthy limb for supposed disease of the knee-joint. But is there any other story of the kind known? If there is, I have not come across it. We certainly do meet with women who will tell the most extraordinary and incredible stories about their sufferings; but the stories are so inconsequent and contradictory that there is no difficulty in discounting them. Besides, they have no support from the presence of corresponding physical signs. A woman whose story is real has a sequent narrative, and she will submit to treatment; while the woman who is a humbug flies off in a temper the moment the suggestion is made that she should submit to an operation in which she risks her life. I have never yet known a woman submit to an abdominal section in whom I did not find abundant justification for its performance, even in cases where I had been extremely doubtful about its real necessity before I undertook it. I have known many patients to whom I have made the proposal as a test of their reality, and who have, much to

my satisfaction, speedily taken themselves off to some other practitioner.

Of the details in these operations in these cases I have no time to speak. Indeed, I could deal with them satisfactorily only in a series of lectures. Suffice it to say that the operations are extremely difficult, for the structures are always very adherent, and the operator has nothing to guide him save the erudition of his touch. Concerning the cases of occluded and distended tubes, some of my critics have suggested, without any experience, that something short of abdominal section might suffice for their successful treatment, such as tapping the tubes from the vagina. But a trial of this proceeding long ago satisfied me of its impracticability and its uselessness, and my growing experience confirms me in the conclusion that we have no alternative. I am often asked concerning the subsequent history of these cases, and I am able to say I have published the details that the great majority of them are relieved at once and completely by the operation. There remains a tenderness of the stump in some of them for some months. In four very bad cases *fæcal fistulæ* formed, but in two the sinuses have healed and the patients are perfectly well. In the third case the fistula opens still at occasional intervals; and in the fourth case, by far the worst I have ever had, the patient being literally at death's door when the operation was performed, the fistula still remains, some twelve months after the operation, but even here her health has so greatly improved that I am hopeful of its permanent closure in time.

I have occupied your time already at too great length, and yet have left myself no time whatever to speak of a great variety of topics within the limits of the subject of my address of which I fain would have spoken—subjects entirely novel, and full of the deepest interest alike to the practical surgeon and to him who takes but an interest of a literary kind in the progress of our art. In fact, it is a matter of regret to me that I cannot address such an audience as this in a series of lectures rather than in an address which must necessarily be brief. It is one of the great defects of a position such as I hold—a defect inherent to a special line of practice—that it practically shuts out its follower from any chance of being a teacher. Besides this, I feel strongly as acting to my own