st seventeen, many a good man at seventy htthere are more good horses at seven than seventeen and more good men at forty than seventy. I have had good queens at three years but I have been tricked so often in the spring that I take no chances.

Mr. Darling-I might answer Mr. Mc-Knight's question by asking another: Why sit that poultrymen find that their old hens are the best cluckers? I think there is a scientific reason for it; they are getting dand there must be something to take their place or soon the variety would run

ıat.

ire

ng

ıat

in

re-

is

ys

it.

ıot

is

ıll.

ou

SO It

٠s.

οŧ

to eir

ns

'er

at.

ŊΑ

is

be

18

o't 119

nd

er

05

æ

83

3.

16 10

٠

I believe what Mr. Pettit has said is corbut but it is also the fact. as Mr. McEvoy has said, that after two years queens begin plail and as a general rule fail rapidly. have had good queens at three years old. don't know that I have had what I would all a good queen at four, while it is poss-Me for a queen to live five years. I have tied it so many times that I have about ome to Mr. McEvoy's conclusion, that a erson is not safe in keeping them over two ears. I think the reason why an old usen deposits more drone eggs than the loung queen is just as I have stated, she is illing, but I think there are perhaps two asons; there is the one spoken of by Mr. rans, that fertilization has failed, partly. has been admitted for some time that if prom wishes to have worker comb built e puts in a young queen.

Mr. Heise-How does Mr. Darling know at the queen, when she is failing, will desit a drone egg in a worker's cell?

Mr. Darling-I have had it time after

Mr. McEvoy—So have 1. Mr. McKnight-I confess I have not tten very much information yet with tence to the question I put. It is a fact queens lay more drone eggs than young cens when they come to a certain stage of rexistence and there is a very good son for it, but in order to comprehend appreciate that reason one must know rething at least of the physiology of the A queen bee, like most female stures, has in her organization what is wn as ovaries, egg vessels, in which the myo egg lies; and all the embryo eggs lie e for the opportunity of development ing the lifetime of the female; there is the reaching down from these ovaries chis scientifically known as the fallintabe. As has been stated a drone mates with a queen once in her life band at the junction of the falliopian s in the organization of the bee there is tle sack-like aperture to one side and nadrone meets with a bee the male ciple is deposited in that cell and there it

remains as long as it has any vitality, during the queen's lifetime, or what is left of When the queen sends an egg down one of the falliopian tubes she can by the power of her own will either bring it in contact with that male principle or pass it by untouched. Every egg that comes in contact with the male principle becomes impregnated and the result is a worker bee. When the queen wishes to deposit drone eggs she passes the egg on without it coming in contact with the male principle, the result is a drone bee. When a queen gets old and tens of thousands of eggs have passed down these tubes and come in contact with that male principle, it becomes exhausted, its vitality is gone, and the eggs may come in contact with it and not be impregnated with it. That is the reason why old queens lay more drone eggs than young queens. The old queen, following her instinct, whether the egg is impregnated or not, deposits the egg in a worker's cell. That is my reason why an old queen lays more drone eggs than a young queen and why drone eggs are sometimes deposited in worker cells.

Rev. Mr Clarke-I think one of the mistakes of modern bee keeping is the disposition on the part of bee-keepers to think that their knowledge is better than the ivstinct of the bee. I think the instinct of the bee teaches her when it is time to supersede an old queen more correctly than we can find out when it is to be done. My experience agrees with Mr. Pettit's in regard to the very great vitality of some queen I have had queen bees three and four years of age, as he has said, better than any one year old queen and yet we have got eminent bee keepers who make a point of superseding every queen at two years old.

Mr. Matheson or Hutchinson of Michigan every year sells a batch of two years old bees because he wants to put in younger

ones.

Mr. Gemmell--Are not the queens that he sells over one year old? He got queens g and sells them from the south in the sp at one year old

Rev. Mr. Clarke--It is the queen's

second year of service.

He sells those queens just when they may nicely be expected to be entering upon their best stage of usefulness; that is the point; I don't care how old they are. He sells them and subjects them to all the check that there is by shipping; after they have been sold and shipped they are not anything like so good as when he shipped them because they have had a very great check,
Mr. Gemmell—I would like to correct

Mr. Clarke in regard to the queens. The reason Mr. Matheson gets those queens