2 • The Brunswickan

Celebrating 130 Years in Print

March 15 • 1997

Ontario mandates 10% tuition increase

BRIAN BUCHAN, THE SILHOUETTE MCMASTER UNIVERSITY

With last week's tuition announcement, Provincial Education and Training Minister John Snobelen has ruffled a few more feathers.

Snobelen gave Ontario universities the green light to raise average tuition fees by up to 10 per cent for the 1997/98 tic year. In addition, he announced the Ontario government will increase student assistance by 37 per cent and invest an estimated \$100 million in the overnment matching program, the

Student Opportunity Trust Fund. "Our approach to post-secondary education is to target those students who that qualified Ontario students for years to come have the highest quality post-secondary education," said Snobelen.

The increased students assistance includes an extra \$150 million from the 1995/96 level to Ontario Student Assistance Porgram and a new Ontario Merit Scholarships program that recognizes the top two per cent of

students in Ontario colleges and universities. OSAP was also affected by an increase in the loan forgiveness ceiling from \$6,000 to \$7,000. The government is also currently

working on an Income Contingency Loan Repayment Plan which will allow students to pay back their loans in nce with their level of income. But many student and university groups are disappointed by the

The announcement prompted Ryerson students to send a block of ice to their president calling for a tuition freeze, and University of Toronto students to organize a sit-in at their president's

the Tories for increasing the student btload and "de facto deregulation" of in fees. They marched to Queen's Park to give Snobelen a fact sheet and a pair of hiking boots so "he can walk in

been given the green light to charge different levels of tuition for different

programs," said Vicky Smallman, chair of CFS's Ontario component. hit harder than others. "Although the Minister is attempting The administration is currently to slip this by the public, this is de facto examining the best options and is unable deregulation, and it brings us closer to to give a concrete decision on the a system where academic choices will increases. But George said they will be be determined by a student's financial evaluating the increases according to circumstances." three criteria: demand for the program,

McMaster President and Vicedifferences in cost and future earning Chancellor Peter George, however, potential "I don't want to signal any intent, but I'll provide an example," said George. "It costs more money to provide an supports the move to give universities more leeway in setting their own fees. He does not feel students lose

education to an engineering students than a social work student and then there accessibility through that avenue. "I don't accept tuition fees in and of is earning potential after graduation. The themselves as a barrier. Tuition is only same analogy can be made for philosophy part of the cost of education, and a part of the cost of education, and a relatively small part," said George. George also believes tuition fees could increase for the betterment of all. "We are in a difficult transition period.

Small man also criticized the "OSAP is already stretched to ams so they had to do somethi The question is not whether the government is shifting the blame, but why do we assume that the old tuiton fee Besides, no new government money will go into this because they have raised the structure is the optimum one, or the just one," said George, who believes it is iveness limit from \$6,000 to \$7,000. and the money saved through this will be funding the increase," she said of the alistic to push for zero tuition. Although Smallman questions the fact stud ore for forgiveness. tives of Ontario unive she does believe Minister Snobelen to Smallman also criticized President

be ultimately responsible. "We want Snobelen to acknowledge George and his approach to tuition. "He should stop looking to students that he is responsible for tuition hikes,

touch with students.

ors and economics majors."

nts will now have to borrow

George

(Student Services) commended Peters on the recruitment and involvement of his society. She trations can increase individua moved that the society receive the programs by differerent amounts maximum allowable funding, provided they stay within the average. \$2.733.60. This means some programs could be This maximum comes from the

SU Finance Policy, which states that a student society may not receive more than 20% of the contributions of the previous year's students. For example, if a faculty of 100 paid \$10,000 in fees, they were eligible for only \$2,000 in funding for their society. Scholten weighed in to say that

although the Forestry Engineers vere under the 20% cap, the Faculty of Engineering, taken as a whole, was not. She urged Council not to increase the budget, which would violate the financial policy.

Peters responded by saying the 20% cap was unfair to his faculty group because the University has capped enrollment in forestry ingineering.

Council passed the maximum budget, 14 in favor, 7 opposed, with one abstention.

The last group to enter the fray was the Arts Undergraduate Society. Zeina Salloun, Vice-President of the AUS argued that the association for the largest faculty on campus should have a greater allocation than \$1,546.30. The proposal had

Trish Davidson, Vice-President been for \$11,000

whether a society needed a large budget to bring in more members, or whether it should be required to gradually increase its budget over time, and build up the society. Vice-President (University Affairs)

SU budget continued from page

Anoushka Courage, an Arts student, pointed out that although they have similar enrollments, Arts societies receive much less than Engineering societies. In fact, the sum of budgets for all Arts societies is only \$5,660.61, while the total for Engineering stands at \$23,699.60, nearly four times as

much. After a protracted debate, increase its allocation for the Council voted to increase the budget for the AUS to \$2,000. President-elect Anthony Knight brought forward three increases to

the budget. His first, a bid to increase the budget for the Canadian Alliance of the campus, and recruitment. of Student Associations conferences by \$3,100 in order to allow adding that SPARC receives nearly President-elect Knight, to \$5,000 from the UNB Alumni accompany the Vice-President Office. This year, the UNB Alumni (External)-elect Bob Prince.

There was fierce opposition to this proposal from VP Finance Scholten who read out the duties of the President and Vice-President (External) from the SU Bylaws. Even a revised motion, which would have seen the President years as beneficial, Council voted accompany the VP (External) on against the motion, 3-15.

only 2 of the 3 conferences could There was considerable debate over not muster enough votes to pass. Knight also requested that \$500 be allocated to the purchase of periodicals for the SU office. Knight stated that such things were fitting of "an organized office." The motion passed 11 to 7 in favor.

In his third act. Knight requested \$500 be put aside for promotion and advertisement of the Student Union. After some philosophical debate on the value of marketing the union, Council passed the motion.

Matt Hanrahan, Business representative, asked Council to Student Pride and Alumni Relations Committee from \$1,625 to \$2,000. Hanrahan, who is a member of the group, pointed out that SPARC provides many valuable services to the University, such as guided tours Scholten refuted his arguments.

Office plans to spend \$495,000. The motion was defeated 7 to 10. President loie Hellmeister attempted to raise the hudget for AIESEC a group to which she belongs, from \$1,699 to \$1,980. Although she described her three



