

STAHLHEIM BACK BY POPULAR DEMAND



WUS AND UN REVIEWED

VOTE NO

To The Editor:

Treasure Van on this campus has just brought in over \$12,000. The WUS fund drive this year greatly exceeded the expectations of its sponsors. Why then, should we be forced to make another contribution to World University Service?

Our compulsory Students' Union fees are now \$34.50, and indications are that they will rise still further. Fifty cents may seem like a small amount now, but the accumulated effect of several such increases would be too great a financial burden on top of the already high fees.

There are, of course, advantages to exchange scholarships. The spread of international ideas and customs is good, but too few students benefit from these exchanges. Too few people have had enough contact with former WUS scholars, for example, to justify the expense of sending them. Why should every student have to pay for the very select few who can go on these trips?

Any contribution to WUS should be optional at most. It is obvious (i.e. Treasure Van and fund drive) that WUS has the capability to obtain financial support through other means than a fee increase. For these reasons we should vote NO to the fee increase tomorrow.

W.C.D.

POOR ATTENDANCE

To The Editor:

The United Nations Club on this campus sponsored a very poorly attended Model Assembly, last Monday and Tuesday. I don't believe that more than twenty students, if that many attended.

As I searched for possible reasons for such lack of interest, the first which came to my mind was that most people were profoundly shocked and disappointed by the seeming impotence of the United Nations in the Cuban crisis.

This disillusionment, which I admit I shared, probably arose because of a misconception of the United Nations role. It is not a world parliament. It was intended to be a conference of governments designed to add another channel for diplomatic negotiations.

Another reason probably lies in the poor publicity given the event as a whole. Several people asked me about Model Assembly after it took place. They were interested in it but couldn't find out in time when the assembly took place.

RADSOC was not asked to announce the Assembly on its programs.

Signboard, does very good work on posters but none about the Assembly appeared. There was only a small notice in The Gateway. All this sums up a lack of publicity.

Another reason could lie in the scheduling mix-up which resulted in the change on the second day, of the Assembly meeting from Con Hall to room V128.

I feel that the U.N. Model Assembly, because it adds to our awareness of the problems of other countries and of international politics, is very important. Surely more people than the Assembly delegates and some 20 other think so too.

Okcana Chomiak

STAHLHEIM BACK

To The Editor:

The honor of the German nation requires that I answer the vicious attacks on my country by your correspondents. Do they not realize that by persisting to rake over old grievances they are merely following the Communist line of keeping Germany divided forever?

I can only rejoice that not all Canadians are as short-sighted; that people who think deeply on such matters are of an opposite view. Réal Caouette, one of the future leaders of Canada, himself admires many aspects of the policies of pre-divided Germany. I do not understand Mr. Caouette's monetary policy—it ignores certain of Von Papen's well-proven laws, but I am happy to see, as all reasonable men must be, that some Canadians are not blinded by prejudice.

Do not your correspondents realize that the damage done to European cities during 1939-45 was avoidable? It was a regrettable action in the face of opposition to a long cherished German dream, that of building a united Europe. Such a Europe, if the minor powers had been less obstinate would have been a bulwark against Communism. Their opposition turned it into a tragedy for Germany but history is proving us right. Foolish opposition delayed the dream for twenty years but now, at last, under German leadership, the dream has become a reality. Europe is united and Russia trembles.

People who swallow Russian propaganda against Germany, and thus help to perpetuate the enslavement of innocent Germans, do so because they fear the Russians. We Germans do not.

Basically, the Russians are decadent. They are not a martial nation. We have proven this. And those who will not attempt to free the lost lands (because they fear

Russia, or are communists) ignore a vital truth that a prominent Canadian has pointed out.

Russia is afraid of nuclear war because the Russians do not believe in God. They are afraid to die because they do not believe in an after-life. Robert Thompson has stated this.

In view of this, now, is the time to force Russia to submit and return our lost territories. Do not sneer at our longing for them. They are a part of us. We are ready, not in Wales Mr. Kellogg, but in Germany. Our friends in the U.S. have given us the weapons—the ultimate weapons—superior to any in Europe, superior even to Canadian weapons. Germany never loses her strength and we are now as strong as we have ever been.

The observation of Robert Thompson has validity answering the criticisms of German policy in 1939-45 towards undesirables. The result of this policy was unfortunate but it was necessary.

At the time of the solving of the problem, Germany was fighting for her life to resist enslavement by Communism. These undesirables were a drain on the economy, they had no military spirit; they were sheep.

They too did not have our religious views and were not willing to risk death for Germany. We—my father's generation—settled the problem as humanely as possible.

My mother's brother, who now lives in South America, was an expert on this problem, and I believe what he has told me, against the lies and innuendos of "liberals" and Communists.

The other accusations against Germany expressed by your correspondents are unfounded—pure propaganda. As for the Spiegel affair, it is obvious its critics do not know the whole truth. Communist espionage agents managed to bribe certain weaklings to betray German military secrets.

A faithful servant of Germany with a distinguished war record, who is now a General in the Bundeswehr and the leader of a dedicated anti-communist group—the "Occidental Academy"—on his own initiative, persecuted those who published the secrets. Herr Strauss, the General's chief, a strong man such as Germany loves, acted efficiently and ruthlessly, as one must when dealing with traitors. The majority of the German people agreed with his actions.

Once more I appeal. Forget the past. Let us go forward in arms to make the world free for all Germans, and all Canadians. Do not, I repeat, let communist-perverted history deceive you.

A Stahlheim

FACTS AND FIGURES

To The Editor:

I will not split hairs with Robin Hunter about the difference between a delegate and a representative. I am surprised that he tells me to know the facts before I judge; I do know a few facts which seem to have been over-looked on this campus.

Someone told me that my first letter was the most biggoted he had ever seen. After some thought I agree with him, I am biggoted. I am biggoted to the worth of 6,000,000 Jews; to the worth of all the non-Jews who died in the concentration camps (one of who was my grandmother); to the worth of all the people who died in the war; to the worth of all the suffering caused in the name of fascisms.

Some people will say that Barr does not stand for the German type but for the clean "American" type

and I will say that I am biggoted to the worth of all the people who were suspected by McCarthy, the John Birchers and Senator Goldwater of being communists.

We, on this campus, are pretty idealistic. As soon as we get out into the cold harsh world we will become very much harder. But we believe in democracy and believe that if there are Young Canadians for Freedom on this campus, we should allow them to say what they want to say.

I must disagree for one very good reason. We have tried this type of living and we have seen the horror that has been brought on this earth as a result. We have given it a try, have found it lacking and, I hope, will reject it. Most of the students will reject my argument.

I, therefore, am willing to make a compromise: let the Y-C-F-ers speak but for Pete's sake do not encourage them by sending out their "Fuhrer" as our delegate to conference. This sort of thing tends to impress the weaker minded in the crowd, and let us not forget the German example of mass-hysteria.

I do not doubt that Barr was intelligent enough to go; he also has the qualifications to act our delegate. But he has certain ideas that must invalidate his being our delegate. As for the editor's inane comment about me applying for the Laval Conference next year, I will say that: (a) I did not know about it this

year although it was advertised in Gateway, (b) I do not yet know anything about Canada and would have been useless at such a conference.

O. Al Karmy

NOT TO ATTEND CLUB

To The Editor:

The purpose of this letter is to inform the student body, especially the nicer bodies, of the formation of a new club on campus. We have been considering the formation of this club for some time and feel that it is bound to be a great success because of the nature of the students on this campus.

We're surprised that it has not been tried on other campus with equal potential.

We are speaking of course of the formation of an **APATHY CLUB**. The requirements for membership are exceedingly lenient; you merely have to be apathetic. The criterion by which we will judge your worthiness as a member will be your attendance at the meetings and dedication to the cause. Since this is a new and revolutionary idea, the club will be run at first on merely a trial basis.

Therefore, we wish to announce that the first meeting will be held on Wednesday, Dec. 12 at 8 p.m. in the West Lounge. All you avid apathetics watch for it!!

Sincerely,
Rak and Rog



Let's put an end to segregation in the Students' Union Building.

Why should fellows have to put up with their own exclusive company in Pybus Lounge and the Games Room?

Don't females enjoy watching television or shooting an occasional game of pool?

A recent survey I conducted seems to indicate they do. Of the sample polled, only 38.7 per cent of the females said they didn't watch television by choice. Of this group, 19.3 per cent said they didn't dare—any more distractions and they'd flunk out for sure.

How about shooting pool? **Rated for responses to a skillfully-constructed attitude measurement survey, which presented a range of attitudes from "I hate pool" to "Pool is real neat", about three-quarters of the sample rated themselves as wishing to play or enjoying to play pool.**

Obviously, the way the Games Room runs now, girls don't have much of a chance to indulge their desires to shoot pool. The sharks who use the tables all day don't recognize the right of females to wait in line for a table like anybody else.

"They'd wipe my name off the board!" said one girl. Under the present system, persons wishing to play pool write their names on a small blackboard on one of the pillars in the Games Room. As players get a table, they rub their names off the blackboard. The person or group at the top of the list thus is always next in line for a table.

Obviously, all a fellow has to do is casually and surreptitiously slide past the blackboard and brush the board with his shoulder, and whoosh—the name's gone. It could represent a monumental unspoken conspiracy to discriminate against the

female sex.

Now, it's not that I've got anything against the fellows that I don't suggest desegregation of Wauneita Lounge. I think the girls have to have somewhere to go to escape the pressures of being on a co-educational campus. At least, so they tell me, and I'd better take their word for it.

But I do think the SUB could become something more than a hotbed of intrigue, a centre for the building of empires, and a place where a little recreation, culture and leisure activities could co-exist happily with organizational and administrative activities.


Not that SUB is completely sterile. (Not that letting women into the Games Room is expected to increase fertility). It's just that the place is dry. Life in SUB gets to be pretty dull between the Forums and discussions in Wauneita Lounge and the impassioned debates of Students' Council in Pybus.

Student spontaneity should have a place in building life, is what I'm getting at. At present, the only spontaneity comes out of organized meetings or the permanent offices of one or another of the Students' Union organizations housed in the building.

The building should loosen up. Dances should be held weekends in the cafeteria. Students should hang art exhibits on the walls—and even change them frequently. Girls should be allowed into—and make equal use of—both Pybus Lounge, the TV set, and the Games Room.

Maybe I don't want to desegregate the fellows and the girls that much. But what I'd really like to see is SUB become something less of an office, and a bit more of the community centre it was intended to be.

I.T.M.M.



IT WASN'T THE PIN; IT WAS THE SHOCK OF HER HOLDING HIS HAND!