uft, 1758, the Article of that rove was perthen nor yet njuft Manner, ger to Griffichs. eviewer of the fwered feveral e World how As foon or other was en Mr. Grove lo used, with ot to fell it; e concluded, reatly preju-. . . Ta

he met with, published, in hopes ows the Article

ar. In which are Observations, in the Never be-By the Author Wolfey. 8vo,

ed a History of bake/pear's Play Memory of his affages in the fter t But fuch ms equally de-Ve do not rebut if his inthe Public, is uch miferable ad it !" hopes of meeting with Redrefs one Time or other (though he had been at above 200 l. Expence in Paper, Print and engraving the Plates, befides many Years Labour) but that Time is not yet come. Still he firmly believes that there will not be wanting in the World, fooner or later, great and benevolent Men to protect the Innocent from fuch hard and unjuftifiable Proceedings.

The Author of this Mischief, not fatisfied with having carried his Point in preventing the Sale of the Books, and otherwise injuring Mr. Grove, — no fooner was the first Letter to a Right Honourable Patriot published, but he endeavoured to prevent the Sale of it in 'a very unbecoming Manner, in which he was affisted by the other High Critic, and the Petty Ones. But notwithstanding their joint Efforts, the Letter has fo far fucceeded as that the Impression is near fold off \*...

In A Gentlemau, upon reading the Comment, made this Anfwer. " I am shocked at this infamous Practice of criticifing Books which have not been feen; therefore shall not prefume either to compliment or cenfure the Hiftory till after it is published : but as for Shakespear's Play now before me, I can confidently affert, that the Notes by Mr. Grove are much the best that have ever yet appeared, notwithstanding fome very formidable Authors, well known in the learned World, have animadverted on this Play+. To support this Affertion, I give it as my Opinion, that this Play ought not to be read, (at least I think it cannot be understood) without these truly illustrating Notes. For Shakespear temporifing throughout the whole Play, has fo evidently mangled or misrepresented his principal Characters, and been guilty of fuch barefaced Anachronisms, that it rather deferves the Name of a Romance, than the Historical Life of Henry VIII.

+ Their Animadverfions were principally in refpect to the Language, -- Mr. Grove's are hiftorical; in which feveral dark Matters are cleared up, particularly that relating to the Duke of Buckingbam,

\* The critical Doctor fays, Mr. Grove, afraid Mr. Pitt fould forget the principal Occurrences which have this Year fo remarkably diftinguished his Administration, has collected the Particulars from Gazettes, News Papers, Letters, and Pamphlets, and firung them altogether in form of a Letter. The Doctor in his Monthly Heap fays Mr. Grove ranfacked all these Papers. — What if Mr: Grove did, he believes