

Mr. Seaver. Had they voted against the proposition the section would not have been stricken out.

*See Journals, p. 297.*

The same bill being under consideration, it was proposed, so to fill the blanks of the bill, as to appropriate *two hundred thousand dollars, for the purchase and supply of timber for ship building and navy purposes.* It was carried. Yeas 82. Nays 37.

Mr. Seaver voted *against* this proposition.

Mr. Seaver was *the only member* from Massachusetts who voted against this appropriation.

*See Journals, page 300.*

The same bill being under consideration, a proposition was made for an annual appropriation for the same object for three years. It was carried. Yeas 62. Nays 52.

Mr. Seaver voted *against* the proposition. And was, again, *the only member* from Massachusetts, who voted on that side of the question.

*See Journals, page 301.*

The same bill being under consideration, the question was on a section for the establishment of a dock yard. Mr. Rhea of Tennessee moved to strike out the section, altogether.

Mr. Seaver voted *in favor* of this motion.

The motion was carried. A. 56. N. 52.

Mr. Bacon was the only member from Massachusetts, who voted on the same side with Mr. Seaver. Had they voted in the negative, the House would have been equally divided.

*See Journals, p. 305.*

On the same bill a section was proposed for building four seventy four gun ships.

Mr. Seaver voted *against* this proposition.

*See Journals, p. 306.*

29th Jan. 1812. On the final passage of this bill, concerning the naval establishment, it was carried. Yeas 65. Nays 30.

Mr. Seaver voted *against* it. *See Journals, p. 309.*