

Thirdly, Because, as the State can do no wrong, unless Suits against their Officers are rather encouraged than checked, the Subject will in many Instances, suffer Injustice, neglecting the legal means to do himself Justice, least by an *ex post facto* Law, he should find his Attempts frustrated, and his Damages encreased by the Cost and Expence he has been at; by which means, Persons cloathed with public Authority, whose Conduct should most narrowly be watched, will be tempted to commit Acts of Violence and Oppression.

The said Objections having been read and considered, and the said Bill reconsidered, Mr. Speaker put the Question, whether the said Bill, notwithstanding the Objections of the Honorable the Council of Revision to the same, shall be a Law of this State, and it was carried in the Affirmative, by more than two-thirds of the Members present.

Thereupon, *Resolved*, That the said Bill, (notwithstanding the Objections of the Honorable the Council of Revision to the same) be a Law of this State.

Ordered, That Mr. *Baker* deliver the said Bill and Objections, to the Honorable the Senate.

Monday, Three o'Clock, P. M. *March* 14th, 1785.
Page 88.

" A copy of a Resolution of the Honorable the Senate, delivered by Mr. *Ward*, was read, and is in the Words following, *viz.*

Resolved, That the Bill, entitled, " An Act for the Indemnification of the Commissioners of Sequestration and the Commissioners of Forfeitures, and the Lessees under them, and for other Purposes therein mentioned," notwithstanding the Objections of the Honorable the Council of Revision to the same, be a Law of this State.