

PEOPLE MUST DECIDE ISSUE

SAYS SIR WILFRID LAURIER

Continued From Page 1.

Logical reasons were given why it should not be a contribution."

He paused to read the opinion of a member on that occasion showing that such a system of contribution was ultimately unwise. Mr. Foster was the man who had spoken. Further, he had stood foursquare for a Canadian navy, and the house was unanimous.

"Is there any reason why that policy should not be supported today?" he asked. "In my humble judgment, and I leave it to you, citizens of Ontario, the true policy for today is the policy that was advocated by Mr. Foster. Again I appeal to my countrymen of the Conservative persuasion why should this policy not be adopted? Why should not this thirty-five million be put up to create a navy?"

In 1911, he continued, the country had been told of an emergency, but who spoke of it today? No one. It was laughed at.

"The same fashion he showed that since the Napoleonic wars England had even greater foes to face. Germany, Italy and other nations of Europe had sprung into power, to be reckoned with, and who the British navy had once spread over the world, the ships were now called in for concentration in home waters.

To the plea that the danger was in the North Sea an answer had been given of definite character.

Begin at Home. "Defense should begin at home," he said, almost laughing. But there were some kind souls not chary of advice who urged unanimity. Well that had existed at one time. But whose fault was it that it had failed? Not that of his majesty's loyal opposition, but of the abnormal combination of imperialism and nationalism in Quebec. The proposition now advanced was too absurd for common sense.

Proceeding into battleship technicalities he explained the machinery as most complicated and involved. If some such ships were to be loaned to the British navy, the government would then when they came back to Canada? Even the Niobe and the Rainbow were to be sold because they could not be manned. He declared that the wish of the Conservatives was to begin at the top, theirs was to begin at the bottom.

A letter from an old Grit a few days ago had declared the Grit policy and showed the writer uncertain of the Laurier proposal. Sir Wilfrid's mind was turned at once back to the old days of Hon. George Brown and the political problems of the past. "My country is not the Province of Quebec, where I was born," he declared, "but it is in the great British Empire over which flows the British flag."

Shore Burden. With this firmly in mind he had felt it to be the duty of the Dominion to take her share in the burden of defense.

The word "reciprocity" mentioned in this connection brought a howl of derision from the gallery to the speaker's left, but the disturber was quieted.

"In the last election we had fifteen seats in the province of Quebec," he declared in reference to the speaker's remark. "I regret nothing. Party success is not the supreme end. The establishment of principle and the performance of duty are the great things."

He was not one, he intimated, to be insulted by Nationalists, but at one time both Mr. Brown and Mr. Laurier had shared their insults alike. Today Mr. Laurier had not the honor of their insults, but the insult of their applause. As soon as the great victory had been won at the last election, in which a split had been apparent, they had embraced and congratulated themselves. He spoke of the attitude which might have been expected, but he wondered if there was an agreement of reciprocity there was a split on the navy question. This, however, had stood off for a year, and then the contribution being asked, Mr. Laurier had resigned, and he should be honored for it.

"This is the hybrid agreement today given us by the Conservative party," declared Sir Wilfrid. "I wonder, I wonder, we opposed, and we will oppose it. From the bottom of his heart every man will say 'no' to the best of it."

"How will this hybrid agreement be forced thru parliament? By what?" he asked.

"It is an unpardonable word," he continued, "and Mr. Brown and his colleagues introduced it with apology." (Hisses.) I said, "who will cast the first stone?"

"They obstructed the reciprocity agreement," he declared with fervor. "But I know the value of the minority. I have been a member of a minority to employ such a resort as that now proposed."

Line of Cleavage. "Tyranny may be the act of a despot, a mob or of a majority," he said. "It is the same thing. There comes a line of cleavage between the minority and the majority. It is either a compromise or an appeal to the people; that of the government is one of brute force."

Before concluding he would say that no man, however strong, could resist without the force of public opinion. In 1896, without the Remedial Bill the gag would have been applied, and the results would have been disastrous. The Liberals at that time were proud of their position than the Conservatives of today.

"The gag will have its way," he declared, "and sooner or later we will come to the people. The closure is but an incident. The naval policy is the issue, and I think I can appeal on it with some show of reason. It has passed from the colonial stage into nationhood and takes her place in a galaxy of young nations. New obligations are being assumed, and one of these is nation defense."

Under the control and responsibility of the Canadian Parliament and the people, the means of defense should remain.

Here the speaker repeated the famous lines of Kipling: "Daughter am I of the British house, mistress in my own right. In this sentiment, which recalls at once what we owe to the mother country, but at the same time our own responsibility is the solution of our constitutional problems," he said.

Had One Aim. Hon. W. L. M. Mackenzie King formally opened the meeting by declaring that there was a difference between the political parties of the Dominion existing during the last few months, all hearts responded to the plea for imperial unity. The party might be divided on policy, but their aim was one, more freedom of speech and opinion constituted safe grounds for British justice. Spontaneous requests, he said, had incited this present meeting in protest against what the government was going to do with the naval problem. Not secondary, however, was the desire to see again the old chief minister. His name and his policy had ensured his welcome.

Brutal Majority. A great privilege and a great honor Hon. Charles Murphy felt it to be to address such an assembly. With little formality he sprang into the fight against the Borden-Rogers closure, and the history of the Conservative party in Canada. This, he felt, all had come to hear, and hear it they would.

Mr. Murphy got out a voice from the gallery, and this started a series of interruptions. He briefly reviewed the history of the Conservative party in Canada, and the political history of the province. He had made a pilgrimage to the tomb of Sir John A. Macdonald, and he found they had died for the right of free speech. In sharp contrast, however, was the brutal majority at Ottawa, which included a complaisant speaker and a closure by guillotine.

"The Conservative party not deserting its stand the great division would have occurred. Hon. Robert Rogers was an unscrupulous gentleman who was broke into hissing. 'Take it back; take it back,' yelled someone, and the next sentence was uttered from the speaker's mouth. He reviewed again the raising of the naval problem, and the National Union of the Conservative and Nationalist wings.

He also covered in full the speaker's words and the danger of the closure, and he was constantly evading election promises and became entangled in a net of Nationalism. Mr. Rogers, however, was not to be scattered. Rogers and Mr. Borden promptly invented an emergency for the juncos.

A Lurid Light. "Mr. Monks' resignation throws a lurid light on the dishonesty of the prime minister," he said. Here hisses broke forth again. "Shut up." "Take it back,"

"I can adduce proof and will submit it," cried the speaker, Mr. Murphy had a number of letters which he read, and could numerically prove the country majority opposed to Mr. Borden's proposition. He was not to be scattered. He was not to be scattered. He was not to be scattered.

Referring to Mr. Borden again, he said, "The speaker's words were not in sincerity, they were falsehood in his heart. Another interruption ensued. Mr. Murphy was not to be scattered. He was not to be scattered. He was not to be scattered."

The premier in power hoped, with the aid of Rogers and Mr. Borden, to make the people into forgetfulness of his promises. Sir Wilfrid had been whispered out of order. He was not to be scattered. He was not to be scattered. He was not to be scattered."

Angel of Peace. Hon. George Graham appeared as an angel of peace. Seventy per cent. of the "war talk" of the last year, he said, was not in sincerity, it was in war, he declared. The victories of peace were those of the celebration. Nevertheless, some of his friends, the enemy, had been on loyalty and wanted to "show me the man who should for a fight and asks some one to hold him and his coat and his shirt and his trousers and his cravat under the barn when the night comes," he said, almost laughing.

The government policy, he declared, was the offspring of a jingo and a Nationalist, and it was now before the country. He was not to be scattered. He was not to be scattered. He was not to be scattered."

Three empty ships manned with an army of soldiers, he said, were the proposal. He drew a picture of a Canadian man coming to Canada and wishing only to be a Canadian. He was not to be scattered. He was not to be scattered. He was not to be scattered."

"You have the land force, but you have not the nerve to get the water force," he declared. "The chair was told that the C. P. R. could not lower its rates because by so doing it would lose the business of the companies. In Ontario the principle of state capitalism had been successfully invoked, especially in the matter of the generation and distribution of electrical energy."

Ware Private Companies. "Let us keep clear of these private companies," said Mr. Maclean. "If this wireless system is a good thing, let us take it over and operate it. We have built up a telegraph and telephone monopoly in Canada; are we now to build up a cable monopoly?"

He favored government ownership of the land lines and the service across the country. He was not to be scattered. He was not to be scattered. He was not to be scattered."

Names of Winners. He was the Nationalist alliance eating like a vulture, he declared, the death of the government, and yet would a prime minister come before the country and say that he was a member of a minority to employ such a resort as that now proposed."

Line of Cleavage. "Tyranny may be the act of a despot, a mob or of a majority," he said. "It is the same thing. There comes a line of cleavage between the minority and the majority. It is either a compromise or an appeal to the people; that of the government is one of brute force."

Before concluding he would say that no man, however strong, could resist without the force of public opinion. In 1896, without the Remedial Bill the gag would have been applied, and the results would have been disastrous. The Liberals at that time were proud of their position than the Conservatives of today.

"The gag will have its way," he declared, "and sooner or later we will come to the people. The closure is but an incident. The naval policy is the issue, and I think I can appeal on it with some show of reason. It has passed from the colonial stage into nationhood and takes her place in a galaxy of young nations. New obligations are being assumed, and one of these is nation defense."

STATE OWNED ATLANTIC CABLE

Continued From Page 1.

ing any new arrangement. Personally he favored the construction and operation of a state-owned Atlantic cable. Mr. Pelletier said that Postmaster General Samuel de la Montagne would be necessary and their cost would be five million dollars. Such rapid progress was being made in the matter of wireless telegraphy that the cable lines might be obsolete by the time they were finished. Besides Canada did not own any land lines between Montreal and Vancouver.

Why Not Own Wireless? Mr. W. F. Maclean: "Why cannot Canada own and operate a wireless system, if that is the best, not only across the ocean, but also upon the land?"

Mr. Pelletier said the proposed arrangement was experimental. If it did not have the results he predicted, he had no doubt but that the British Government would be favorable to the construction of a state-owned cable. He admitted that the cable companies were in a combination, but he had been subsidized twelve years ago by the Dominion Government, was not regarded by the Dominion Government as a monopoly. At present there were practically two companies in control of the cable lines, the Marconi Co. was also in the field and the company with which the Dominion Government was contracting would introduce a fourth competitor.

The Universal Radio-Telegraph Co., the Dominion Government would have double the capacity of the present cable companies, and it would be able to send four hundred letters (80 words) per minute, while the cable companies were only able to handle two hundred letters (40 words) per minute.

Lemieux Has Doubts. Hon. Rodolphe Lemieux admitted if much progress was being made towards cheaper rates by Mr. Pelletier's bill, there was some question as to the feasibility of wireless telegraphy across the ocean. Certainly the Marconi Co. had not done anything as yet to earn its subsidy. A state-owned cable across the Atlantic was the only effective way to reduce rates.

W. F. Maclean, while complimenting the postmaster-general upon his efforts to reduce rates, regretted that he was not going ahead with the construction of an all-British cable between Canada and the United States. He was not to be scattered. He was not to be scattered. He was not to be scattered."

British Cabinet Hypnotized. These cable companies had managed to hypnotize every British cabinet, including the present one. What guarantee was there that the present bill would afford any relief to the public? We had got no return from our assistance to the Marconi company. The great cable monopoly had a working agreement with all the telegraph lines, and the Marconi Co. was a subsidiary of the United States and Canada, and would soon absorb the Universal Radio-Telegraph Syndicate. If it gave signs of being a serious competitor, it would be more than likely, Mr. Maclean said, that alleged competition among the cable and wireless companies would be a mere sham. Competition among railway companies in Canada. We had subsidized at an enormous expense two transcontinental railways, and the Marconi Co. was now lower their rates to make the C. P. R. lower their rates. He was not to be scattered. He was not to be scattered. He was not to be scattered."

Committee That Failed. Mr. W. H. Bennett (East Simcoe) said the Laurier government had taken a wise course in appointing a committee of high-class men, but the commission had done nothing. The Marconi Co. and its recommendations have been ignored. What this country needed was real national policy respecting the cable. Mr. Thomson, Liberal member for Qu'Appelle, thought reciprocity in flour would be the best solution in Canada, as the Canadian miller would have the advantage by having cheaper wheat.

Relief Urgent. Mr. W. F. Maclean (South York) said that the member for Port Hope, Mr. (Mr. Melgren) had brought to the attention of parliament one of the most important questions of the day. There might be some merit in the suggestion of an international commission to regulate the railway rates between Canada and the United States, but the proposal had been ignored. The Marconi Co. had exercised a monopoly of the cable lines, and the United States had declared in action we might be told to go home and sit on our own backs.

Parliament Supreme. "Our first duty," said Mr. Maclean, "is to maintain the independence of parliament. This country is more powerful than the Canadian Pacific Railway. If we have the right to regulate the rates of the Canadian Pacific, we have the right to regulate the rates of the Marconi Co. As it is our principal source of revenue, it is our duty to regulate the rates of the Marconi Co. Mr. Maclean then pointed out that the Canadian Pacific had violated its charter and that the Marconi Co. was a subsidiary of the United States and Canada, and would soon absorb the Universal Radio-Telegraph Syndicate. If it gave signs of being a serious competitor, it would be more than likely, Mr. Maclean said, that alleged competition among the cable and wireless companies would be a mere sham. Competition among railway companies in Canada. We had subsidized at an enormous expense two transcontinental railways, and the Marconi Co. was now lower their rates to make the C. P. R. lower their rates. He was not to be scattered. He was not to be scattered. He was not to be scattered."

He favored government ownership of the land lines and the service across the country. He was not to be scattered. He was not to be scattered. He was not to be scattered."

Names of Winners. He was the Nationalist alliance eating like a vulture, he declared, the death of the government, and yet would a prime minister come before the country and say that he was a member of a minority to employ such a resort as that now proposed."

Line of Cleavage. "Tyranny may be the act of a despot, a mob or of a majority," he said. "It is the same thing. There comes a line of cleavage between the minority and the majority. It is either a compromise or an appeal to the people; that of the government is one of brute force."

Before concluding he would say that no man, however strong, could resist without the force of public opinion. In 1896, without the Remedial Bill the gag would have been applied, and the results would have been disastrous. The Liberals at that time were proud of their position than the Conservatives of today.

"The gag will have its way," he declared, "and sooner or later we will come to the people. The closure is but an incident. The naval policy is the issue, and I think I can appeal on it with some show of reason. It has passed from the colonial stage into nationhood and takes her place in a galaxy of young nations. New obligations are being assumed, and one of these is nation defense."

Under the control and responsibility of the Canadian Parliament and the people, the means of defense should remain.

Here the speaker repeated the famous lines of Kipling: "Daughter am I of the British house, mistress in my own right. In this sentiment, which recalls at once what we owe to the mother country, but at the same time our own responsibility is the solution of our constitutional problems," he said.

COMMISSION ON FREIGHT RATES

Continued From Page 1.

Hon. H. R. Emmerson, Mr. W. H. Bennett (East Simcoe), and Mr. W. F. Maclean (South York). Mr. Maclean pointed out that extortionate freight rates prevailed on the Great Lakes in Canada and upon the railways of this country as well as upon the high seas. Parliament should assert its supremacy and deal courageously with the great transportation companies, including the Canadian Pacific Railway Co., which was at the head of the North Atlantic combine. He asked the British or the United States governments to aid him in dealing with the extortionate freight rates she might be asked to set her policy in order and to deal with the great corporations under the jurisdiction of the Dominion Parliament. Railway, steamship, telegraph, and express rates should all be reduced, and the rates should be reduced by enforcing the law, and especially by state competition.

In opening the discussion, Mr. Melgren said that the startling and rapid increase in freight rates in the last eighteen months ago. The rate on flour from eight cents to twenty-three cents, only upon exports, but the rate on wheat had increased fully one hundred per cent. These increases were serious, but the freight rate was most alarming, and the United States would soon see an end to the monopoly. He was not to be scattered. He was not to be scattered. He was not to be scattered."

Mr. Maclean said that the freight rate was most alarming, and the United States would soon see an end to the monopoly. He was not to be scattered. He was not to be scattered. He was not to be scattered."

Relief Urgent. Mr. W. F. Maclean (South York) said that the member for Port Hope, Mr. (Mr. Melgren) had brought to the attention of parliament one of the most important questions of the day. There might be some merit in the suggestion of an international commission to regulate the railway rates between Canada and the United States, but the proposal had been ignored. The Marconi Co. had exercised a monopoly of the cable lines, and the United States had declared in action we might be told to go home and sit on our own backs.

Committee That Failed. Mr. W. H. Bennett (East Simcoe) said the Laurier government had taken a wise course in appointing a committee of high-class men, but the commission had done nothing. The Marconi Co. and its recommendations have been ignored. What this country needed was real national policy respecting the cable. Mr. Thomson, Liberal member for Qu'Appelle, thought reciprocity in flour would be the best solution in Canada, as the Canadian miller would have the advantage by having cheaper wheat.

Relief Urgent. Mr. W. F. Maclean (South York) said that the member for Port Hope, Mr. (Mr. Melgren) had brought to the attention of parliament one of the most important questions of the day. There might be some merit in the suggestion of an international commission to regulate the railway rates between Canada and the United States, but the proposal had been ignored. The Marconi Co. had exercised a monopoly of the cable lines, and the United States had declared in action we might be told to go home and sit on our own backs.

Committee That Failed. Mr. W. H. Bennett (East Simcoe) said the Laurier government had taken a wise course in appointing a committee of high-class men, but the commission had done nothing. The Marconi Co. and its recommendations have been ignored. What this country needed was real national policy respecting the cable. Mr. Thomson, Liberal member for Qu'Appelle, thought reciprocity in flour would be the best solution in Canada, as the Canadian miller would have the advantage by having cheaper wheat.

Relief Urgent. Mr. W. F. Maclean (South York) said that the member for Port Hope, Mr. (Mr. Melgren) had brought to the attention of parliament one of the most important questions of the day. There might be some merit in the suggestion of an international commission to regulate the railway rates between Canada and the United States, but the proposal had been ignored. The Marconi Co. had exercised a monopoly of the cable lines, and the United States had declared in action we might be told to go home and sit on our own backs.

Parliament Supreme. "Our first duty," said Mr. Maclean, "is to maintain the independence of parliament. This country is more powerful than the Canadian Pacific Railway. If we have the right to regulate the rates of the Canadian Pacific, we have the right to regulate the rates of the Marconi Co. As it is our principal source of revenue, it is our duty to regulate the rates of the Marconi Co. Mr. Maclean then pointed out that the Canadian Pacific had violated its charter and that the Marconi Co. was a subsidiary of the United States and Canada, and would soon absorb the Universal Radio-Telegraph Syndicate. If it gave signs of being a serious competitor, it would be more than likely, Mr. Maclean said, that alleged competition among the cable and wireless companies would be a mere sham. Competition among railway companies in Canada. We had subsidized at an enormous expense two transcontinental railways, and the Marconi Co. was now lower their rates to make the C. P. R. lower their rates. He was not to be scattered. He was not to be scattered. He was not to be scattered."

He favored government ownership of the land lines and the service across the country. He was not to be scattered. He was not to be scattered. He was not to be scattered."

Names of Winners. He was the Nationalist alliance eating like a vulture, he declared, the death of the government, and yet would a prime minister come before the country and say that he was a member of a minority to employ such a resort as that now proposed."

Line of Cleavage. "Tyranny may be the act of a despot, a mob or of a majority," he said. "It is the same thing. There comes a line of cleavage between the minority and the majority. It is either a compromise or an appeal to the people; that of the government is one of brute force."

Before concluding he would say that no man, however strong, could resist without the force of public opinion. In 1896, without the Remedial Bill the gag would have been applied, and the results would have been disastrous. The Liberals at that time were proud of their position than the Conservatives of today.

"The gag will have its way," he declared, "and sooner or later we will come to the people. The closure is but an incident. The naval policy is the issue, and I think I can appeal on it with some show of reason. It has passed from the colonial stage into nationhood and takes her place in a galaxy of young nations. New obligations are being assumed, and one of these is nation defense."

Under the control and responsibility of the Canadian Parliament and the people, the means of defense should remain.

Here the speaker repeated the famous lines of Kipling: "Daughter am I of the British house, mistress in my own right. In this sentiment, which recalls at once what we owe to the mother country, but at the same time our own responsibility is the solution of our constitutional problems," he said.

RECEPTION TO MINISTER

Continued From Page 1.

An informal reception will be held at the King Edward Hotel today at 4.30 in honor of Sir John Allen, minister of defense for New Zealand, by the Dominion Suffrage Association. The mayor will extend greetings for the city, Dr. J. L. Hughes for the Men's League for Women Suffrage, Dr. Augustus Stowe Gullen for the medical auxiliary, Constance Boulton for the Daughters of the Empire, and Mrs. Flora MacD. Denison for the Canadian Suffrage Association.

FLOGGING FOR CERTAIN OFFENSES

Continued From Page 1.

Past seventeen years in the matter of suffrage since the time when the subject was brought up first before the National Council at its sessions then held in Montreal.

Prof. C. M. Derick, M.A., gave the result of investigation regarding "emancipation of women." During the last year, said the report, little progress has been made in securing better conditions for women workers. In Toronto the women workers have expressed their dissatisfaction with the fact that men receive double the salary of women should receive equal pay for equal work. The summing up of the report was that the employment of women and children in the community should be restricted to eight-hour day, that the age limit should be raised for children from 12 to 14, and that additional women inspectors should be appointed.

Reformatory institutions for women with trained matrons, superintendents and instructors in agriculture, horticulture and other industries were among the things thought to be desirable.

Report Held Over. Dr. Ritchie, English, president of the Montreal council, took exception to the part of the report on citizenship relating to the franchise, and decided that the report should not be published until it had been altered and passed by the council.

The strenuous work of the morning, which had been enlivened by a good deal of discussion, was given up at the acceptance of the invitation of the mayor, and other civic authorities to lunch at St. Lawrence Hall. After the repeat Miss Boyd, on behalf of Aid, Boyd, read an address of welcome.

Mrs. Torrington, on behalf of the council, thanked the civic corporation for its great hospitality and expressed the appreciation of all the honor done the council.

Child Welfare. In the afternoon, where an address was given by Dr. Adams on "Child Welfare." The speaker gave up a visit to Washington to be present at a medical convention and felt amply repaid by the opportunity given him of addressing the ladies. He told of the workings and results of the child welfare exhibition last summer in Montreal. As a consequence every parish in Montreal is now receiving instruction and assistance in the treatment of their little ones.

Screens, showing the conditions of the home in Montreal, which had caused the death of 25 out of every 100 children, lined the walls of the milk depot hall. Tea was served by the Dominion Women's Clubs. The tables were picture-covered with lamps shaded in yellow and green, and the entire decorative scheme, the pretty Irish girls and handsome women waiting on guests with true hospitality, giving to each a real "Caled Mill" feeling.

Mrs. Snowden's Address. A move from the lecture hall to the body of St. John's Methodist Church was made to accommodate the audience which was turned out to greet Mrs. Philip Snowden, the noted English speaker, of the evening session. Mrs. Snowden, accompanied by Mrs. Torrington, Miss Derick, Mrs. Leathers and others on the platform was received with clapping of hands by way of greeting.

All Favor Suffrage. Miss Derick in her opening remarks reviewed the suffrage movement since the formation of the women's council twenty years ago. The Montreal council, she said, was organized in 1893 in favor of the suffrage cause. In 1910, after many throbs and much discussion, the council had declared in favor of the suffrage cause. In 1910, after many throbs and much discussion, the council had declared in favor of the suffrage cause. In 1910, after many throbs and much discussion, the council had declared in favor of the suffrage cause.

Mrs. Snowden's Address. A move from the lecture hall to the body of St. John's Methodist Church was made to accommodate the audience which was turned out to greet Mrs. Philip Snowden, the noted English speaker, of the evening session. Mrs. Snowden, accompanied by Mrs. Torrington, Miss Derick, Mrs. Leathers and others on the platform was received with clapping of hands by way of greeting.

All Favor Suffrage. Miss Derick in her opening remarks reviewed the suffrage movement since the formation of the women's council twenty years ago. The Montreal council, she said, was organized in 1893 in favor of the suffrage cause. In 1910, after many throbs and much discussion, the council had declared in favor of the suffrage cause. In 1910, after many throbs and much discussion, the council had declared in favor of the suffrage cause.

Mrs. Snowden's Address. A move from the lecture hall to the body of St. John's Methodist Church was made to accommodate the audience which was turned out to greet Mrs. Philip Snowden, the noted English speaker, of the evening session. Mrs. Snowden, accompanied by Mrs. Torrington, Miss Derick, Mrs. Leathers and others on the platform was received with clapping of hands by way of greeting.

All Favor Suffrage. Miss Derick in her opening remarks reviewed the suffrage movement since the formation of the women's council twenty years ago. The Montreal council, she said, was organized in 1893 in favor of the suffrage cause. In 1910, after many throbs and much discussion, the council had declared in favor of the suffrage cause. In 1910, after many throbs and much discussion, the council had declared in favor of the suffrage cause.

Mrs. Snowden's Address. A move from the lecture hall to the body of St. John's Methodist Church was made to accommodate the audience which was turned out to greet Mrs. Philip Snowden, the noted English speaker, of the evening session. Mrs. Snowden, accompanied by Mrs. Torrington, Miss Derick, Mrs. Leathers and others on the platform was received with clapping of hands by way of greeting.

All Favor Suffrage. Miss Derick in her opening remarks reviewed the suffrage movement since the formation of the women's council twenty years ago. The Montreal council, she said, was organized in 1893 in favor of the suffrage cause. In 1910, after many throbs and much discussion, the council had declared in favor of the suffrage cause. In 1910, after many throbs and much discussion, the council had declared in favor of the suffrage cause.

Mrs. Snowden's Address. A move from the lecture hall to the body of St. John's Methodist Church was made to accommodate the audience which was turned out to greet Mrs. Philip Snowden, the noted English speaker, of the evening session. Mrs. Snowden, accompanied by Mrs. Torrington, Miss Derick, Mrs. Leathers and others on the platform was received with clapping of hands by way of greeting.

THIRTEEN HUNDRED DOLLARS ON FIRST DAY OF CAMPAIGN

Boys' Dominion Fund Made a Good Start Yesterday, But Subscriptions Should Be Sent in Early—Physical Side of the Work.

The following are the first day's receipts to the Toronto Boys' Dominion maintenance fund. The total amount required is \$10,000. Your contribution is needed. The amounts below are acknowledged with thanks:

Thomas Bradshaw	500
D. A. Dunlap	500
J. Morrow Oxley	250
John Baileigh	250
W. H. Bennett	250
S. Dillon Mills	10
R. J. Blaney	10
Miss Mary Thorne	10
Boys' Co.	5
David McGee	5
W. H. Bennett	5
An appreciative father	2
A. friend, Berlin	2
J. A. one of the boys	1
Total	\$1,315

The following are the first day's receipts to the Toronto Boys' Dominion maintenance fund. The total amount required is \$10,000. Your contribution is needed. The amounts below are acknowledged with thanks:

The following are the first day's receipts to the Toronto Boys' Dominion maintenance fund. The total amount required is \$10,000. Your contribution is needed. The amounts below are acknowledged with thanks:

The following are the first day's receipts to the Toronto Boys' Dominion maintenance fund. The total amount required is \$10,000. Your contribution is needed. The amounts below are acknowledged with thanks:

The following are the first day's receipts to the Toronto Boys' Dominion maintenance fund. The total amount required is \$10,000. Your contribution is needed. The amounts below are acknowledged with thanks:

The following are the first day's receipts to the Toronto Boys' Dominion maintenance fund. The total amount required is \$10,000. Your contribution is needed. The amounts below are acknowledged with thanks:

The following are the first day's receipts to the Toronto Boys' Dominion maintenance fund. The total amount required is \$10,000. Your contribution is needed. The amounts below are acknowledged with thanks:

The following are the first day's receipts to the Toronto Boys' Dominion maintenance fund. The total amount required is \$10,000. Your contribution is needed. The amounts below are acknowledged with thanks:

The following are the first day's receipts to the Toronto Boys' Dominion maintenance fund. The total amount required is \$10,000. Your contribution is needed. The amounts below are acknowledged with thanks:

The following are the first day's receipts to the Toronto Boys' Dominion maintenance fund. The total amount required is \$10,000. Your contribution is needed. The amounts below are acknowledged with thanks:

The following are the first day's receipts to the Toronto Boys' Dominion maintenance fund. The total amount required is \$10,000. Your contribution is needed. The amounts below are acknowledged with thanks:

The following are the first day's receipts to the Toronto Boys' Dominion maintenance fund. The total amount required is \$10,000. Your contribution is needed. The amounts below are acknowledged with thanks: