

f such, as was that
more successful in
of the others I have

V BORLAND.

LETTER V.

THE REVIEWER REVIEWED—MR. SCOTT'S INDICTMENT CONSIDERED AND ITS CHARGES MET—A SUGGESTION FOR SETTLING THE OKA QUESTION OFFERED.

DEAR BRETHREN,—Mr. Scott having written a review of my former letters on the Oka question, I am led to add another in review of his. Not to weary attention on the subject, I will be as brief as my sense of duty in the case will admit.

According to Mr. Scott, I have only hitherto glanced obliquely at his report; and, as a consequence, "its main propositions remain unanswered." Well, others beyond either of us will exercise a judgment here, and on such I am quite willing to to rest the case between us. One consolation I have at any rate to fall back upon, which is, that should the members of the Methodist Church and the public generally, agree with my opponent in his opinion, they certainly will not say that Mr. Scott has himself left any of his propositions, main or otherwise, intact; for, beyond a question, the quotations from his postscript supplied by me in a former letter, have quite demolished every one of them. He may have the glory of this achievement for aught I care. I shall be satisfied with the praise of having merely pointed to the fact.

Mr. Scott is considerate enough to say that he does not accuse me "of wilfully and maliciously misrepresenting any body." No; but having done so such he says is owing to my "mental constitution and moral prejudices." And yet, as he proceeds in his review he "repeats the charge," and even strengthens it with the following words: "The recital as given, or rather repeated by Mr. Borland, is a shameful misrepresentation of facts, evidently made for a party purpose." Under