#### Sir WILFRID LAURIER:

1. No.

- 2. At present there are only two.
- 3. Fred. Muggah. \$1,400 per annum. Jas. MacNeill. \$1,000 per annum.

4. No. The government has no such information.

# CROP STATISTICS, MANITOBA.

Mr. STAPLES:

1. What is the policy or method through which the government obtains the crop sta-

stics in the province of Manitoba? 2. Who are the persons who give the information or report for the constituency of Macdonald, and what are their respective post office addresses? 3. What is the extent of the territory each

person reports for?

4. Do they receive any remuneration, if so, how much?

Mr. FISHER:

1. Chiefly from farmers in the various localities of the Province. 2.

Name and Post Office-

Antoine, Ernest J., St. Claude. Ashby, A. F., St. Adelard. Baragar, C. J., Elm Creek. Campbell, A. M., Hanlan. Champion, W. M., Reaburn. Clark, Dozer, Treherne. Clark, Fred., Bonnie Doon. Clark, Lamos Carmer Clark, James, Carman. Elford, W. H., Carman. Gainer, P., Rathwell Gautron, L. J., Haywood. Gautron, Alex., St. Claude. Goldsmit, Geo. S., Rosebank. Grills, Harry, Sanford. Hodgson, Jos., Roland. Jaffray, Wm., Lavenham. Johnstone, C. W., Headingly. Kuebler, Fred., Starbuck. Larking, Thos. L., Mount Royal. Livings, rhos. L., Mount Royal. Livingstone, Boyd, Swan Lake. McDonald, J. C., Altamont. Medlicott, Wm., Altamont. Norwood, G. G., Rosebank. Polson, W. B. S., Lake Francis Station. Parkinson, Jos. L., Roland Parkinson, Jos. L., Roland. Pierre, Paul, Notre-Dame de Lourdes. Pollon, John, Treherne. Shepherd, Arthur G., Rathwell. Sims, S. G., Argyle. Vingnier, J. B., Rathwell. Weir, Wm. M., Rosebank. Whitfold M. Roland. Whitfield, M., Roland. Lawrence, Lyle, Mount Royal. 3. One or more townships or other localities in which he resides. 4. No.

## VICTORIA MEMORIAL MUSEUM BUILDING.

tawa papers, that the large crack that ap-1871

peared some time ago in one of the walls of the new Victoria Museum has developed? 2. Is it true that such defect is pulling the

floor on the fourth story some inches from the wall?

3. What is the cost to date of that structure?

4. Has the building been assumed by the government yet, and who is the contractor? 5. Is the contractor the same one who did the work and constructed the Laurier tower that fell down some years ago? 6. What action does the government purpose

to take in respect to this matter?

Mr. PUGSLEY:

1, 2, and 6. Mr. Ewart, the Chief Architect, informs me that the tower of the building has settled somewhat, causing a crack in the wall, and there is a slight separation between the wall and the floor. He says that these defects are easily remedied and unless there are further developments, no action need be taken.

3. \$1,200,998.01.

4. (a) No. (b) Mr. Geo. Goodwin. 5. Yes.

### STATE ASSISTANCE FOR AGRICUL-TURE.

### NOTICE OF MOTION.

Mr. A. GILBERT (Drummond and Arthabaska). Before you leave the Chair, Mr. Speaker, I would like to give notice that next week, when the House will go into Committee of Supply, I will propose the following amendment:

In the opinion of this House, at least one tenth of the annual national revenue should be affected to the betterment and advancement of the Canadian agriculture, with, amongst

(a) By a more effective diffusion of agri-cultural knowledge through our farming po-

pulation; (b) By the effective drainage of considerable tracts of lands that are not actually sufficiently drained and the cultivation of which would be rendered doubly productive by works car-

be reintered doubly productive by works car-ried on with state assistance; (c) By the establishment of and the granting of subsidy to agricultural co-operative so-cieties; a grant to cold storage warehouses built by such societies, according to the law; the publication and free distribution of an agricultural periodical to the members of such societies societies.

## METHOD OF BRINGING DOWN RETURNS.

Mr. BLAIN. Every few days we hear members inquiring for returns which have not been brought down in obedience to orders of the House. Frequently it is found that these returns have been laid on the table, and the member moving the motion 1. Is it true as reported in one of the Ot-twa papers, that the large crack that an who merced the motion in the motion in the motion in the motion in the motion is the motion in the motion is the motion in the motion is the who moved the motion so that he would be