

after my birthday—covered that, that that would be all the increase for that year; but the next call, coming about a month afterwards, made a very considerable increase again. They honoured me with two birthdays in one year, and this increase would make the aggregate, if they were continued during the year, which I could not elicit from them whether it would be or not, it would make the increase in the neighbourhood of \$40 increase in a year. So I thought the matter being in that indefinite way, and I could not elicit from the company any assurance that it would be even continued at that, if I were honoured with several birthdays each year afterwards and increased accordingly, that I would be compelled to give up, and I thought I might as well give up at once.

*By the Hon. Mr. Béique:*

Q. How old are you?—A. I am in my sixty-fourth year.

*By Mr. Pringle, Counsel for the Mutual Reserve:*

Q. That would make your last assessment at the rate of \$39 per thousand insurance?—A. Yes.

Q. Sixty-five years of age?—A. Yes.

Q. Were you not a member of the Provincial Provident?—A. That was the company.

Q. And I take it that the agent of the Provincial Provident is the man who assured you that the premiums would be fixed?—A. Certainly.

Hon. Mr. LANDRY.—I move that the Committee rise and report the evidence.

Hon. Mr. BÉIQUE —I move in amendment, that Messrs. Cannon and Cameron be heard before this Committee, in reference to the charge published in part one of the evidence taken herein, the two witnesses being in attendance.

The Committee divided on the amendment, which was lost on the following division:—

Contents 3.

Non-contents 7.

The motion to adjourn was carried on the same division reversed.