

And the question being put on the motions, pursuant to section 11 of Standing Order 75, recorded divisions were deferred.

Mr. Ouellet, seconded by Mr. Danson, moved,—That Bill C-2, An Act to amend the Combines Investigation Act and the Bank Act and to repeal an Act to amend an Act to amend the Combines Investigation Act and the Criminal Code, be amended in Clause 18 by striking out line 6 on page 42 thereof and substituting therefor the following:

“to imprisonment for five”.

And debate arising thereon;

Mr. Lambert (Edmonton West), seconded by Mr. MacLean, moved in amendment thereto,—That motion (No. 22) be amended by striking out all the words following the words “Clause 18” and by substituting therefor the following:

“by striking out lines 6 and 7 on page 42 thereof and by substituting therefor the following:

‘to a fine in the discretion of the court or to imprisonment for five years, or to both.’”.

And the question being put on the motions, pursuant to section 11 of Standing Order 75, recorded divisions were deferred.

Mr. Ouellet, seconded by Mr. Jamieson, moved,—That Bill C-2, An Act to amend the Combines Investigation Act and the Bank Act and to repeal an Act to amend an Act to amend the Combines Investigation Act and the Criminal Code, be amended in Clause 24 by striking out lines 6 to 11 inclusive on page 48 thereof and substituting therefor the following:

“imprisonment for five years; or

(b) on summary conviction to a fine not exceeding twenty-five thousand dollars or to imprisonment for one year, or to both.”.

And debate arising thereon;

Mr. Lambert (Edmonton West), seconded by Mr. Oberle, moved in amendment thereto,—That motion (No. 25) be amended by striking out all the words following the word “following” and by substituting the following:

“a fine in the discretion of the court or to imprisonment for five years, or to both; or

(b) on summary conviction, to a fine of twenty-five thousand dollars or to imprisonment for one year, or to both.”.

And the question being put on the motions, pursuant to section 11 of Standing Order 75, recorded divisions were deferred.

Mr. Rodriguez, seconded by Mr. Symes, moved,—That Bill C-2, An Act to amend the Combines Investigation

Act and the Bank Act and to repeal an Act to amend an Act to amend the Combines Investigation Act and the Criminal Code, be amended in Clause 16 by

(a) striking out lines 18 to 22 inclusive at page 29, and substituting therefor “him elsewhere in Canada”;

(b) striking out line 28 on page 29 and substituting therefor “to have such effect; or”

(c) adding immediately after line 28 on page 29, the following subsection:

“(d) engages in a policy of selling products as loss leaders, that is to say, not for the purpose of making a profit on that item, but for purposes of advertising or of attracting customers to his place of business in the hope of selling them other products.”.

After debate thereon, the question being put on the motion, pursuant to section 11 of Standing Order 75, a recorded division was deferred.

Mr. Rodriguez, seconded by Mr. Symes, moved,—That Bill C-2, An Act to amend the Combines Investigation Act and the Bank Act and to repeal an Act to amend an Act to amend the Combines Investigation Act and the Criminal Code, be amended in Clause 18 by

(a) striking out line 45 on page 30

(b) replacing the period (.) at line 14 on page 31 with a semi-colon (;); and

(c) adding immediately after line 14 on page 31 the following subsections:

“(e) make a representation to the public containing exaggerated price claims of a general nature, unless such claims are fully supported by evidence of a substantial nature;

(f) make a representation to the public that, either explicitly or implicitly, arouses or tends to arouse unwarranted expectations of product effectiveness;

(g) make a representation to the public containing claims for product effectiveness that are not fully supported by substantial evidence;

(h) make a representation to the public containing suggestions, either implicit or explicit, of product effectiveness in areas other than those in which the product is intended primarily to have effect;

(i) make a representation to the public that uses the word ‘new’, or any comparable word, to describe a product, unless it is a new product or one that has had a qualitative change in one or more of its active ingredients or parts, in which case the use of the word ‘new’ shall be limited to a period not exceeding six months;

(j) make a representation to the public that uses the word ‘improved’, or any comparable word, unless the change in an existing product is one that can be proven to be beneficial to the user, in which case the