ON THE RISE AND PROGRESS OF AGRICULTURE.

[From Prefident Geguet's Origin of Laws, wol. 1. p. \$6. &c.]

YUSBANDRY, or the culture obgrain, is an act to toilfonic, tedious and complicated, and requires such great attention and fuch various knowledge, that it is no wonder it was to long unknown to the greatest part of mankind. It is difficult to conceive how men attained at first the knowledge of corn and other grains which are cultivated. We do not fee at prefent any wheat, rye, barley, outs, or rice, growing spontaneously on our commons. Are we to suppose then, that certain kinds of herbage, which grow in all countries, include in them the cilince and principles of all the kinds of grainwhich make our principal food at prefent? Shall we fay, that culture makes them unfold their latent qualities, brings them to perfection, and at laft, by reiterated efforts, raifes them to wheat, rye, barley, oats? &c. Experience indeed has taught. us, that culture renders some fruits much more beautiful and excellent than they naturally grow; nay brings them to fo great perfection that they will hardly betaken for the same species. But it is in. grafting makes this great change in the mature of fruits ; and this is an operation cannot be performed on graffer. As to simple cultivation, it is a great mistake to imagine, that it can ever change the fundamental essence and species of grains. Some authors, it is true, have formerly advanced this; 2 but the contrary is at prefent univerfally known and acknowledged.b Grains were created such as The ancients even they are at prefent. tell us of some countries where corn grew fpontaneously. And that we know not any climate at prefe it that produces wild wheat, rye, barley, or of oats itself, is probably owing to want of diligent inquiry. Nay, if we may believe some modern relations, these grains grow naturally in some places at this day.d

Agriculture is one of those arts which the deluge did not destroy entirely. The scriptures tell us that Noah understood

and practifed it; and it is highly probable he would inttruct his powertry in the knowledge of it. The knowledge of hufbandry among the Babylonians was ancial ent as their hillory. We cannot doubt the great antiquity of this art in thefe countries. Moies tells us that Nimrod and Affur built feveral cities: It is impossfible to imagine how they could do this. without the affiftance of agriculture. The Phonician traditions, supported by scrip. ture, represented husbandry as known a. mong them in the remotest ages. It is taid that Isaac, when he dwelt in Falcitine, fowed and reaped an hundred-fold, h The Igyptians gave the honour of this discovery to 16s, and her husband Offis. L hufbandry muth have been very early known in that country. We fee that All braham in a time of familie retired into Jacob fent his fons thither to buy corn in the like circumitances. The Chinese may dispute with any of these naw tions, the antiquity of their acquaintance. with this art, for they preterd to have learned it from Chin-nong, the successor of Fo-hi. However this may be, it was from these countries, no doubt, and some others, that the art of cultivating grain was brought in precels of time into other. The Greeks, for example, faid. climates. they learned agriculture from the Egyptianeils The Romans believed this art had & been brought into Italy from Africa and Greece. b.

The first husbandmen tilled the ground by mere strength of arm; their tools were extremely clumify and imperfect. Such was the state of the Peruvians at the discovery of that country. They had no ploughs, nor heafts of burden. They turned the soil with a kind of shovel; and when it was properly prepared, dropt the seed into little holes made with the end of a stick. There are a great many nations even at this day who know no hetter method. The savages of New France till their ground with a wooden instrument, not unlike the see of our vine dresters.

^{1. 18.} sed: 20.

b Bubamel trajté de la culture des terres, p.

fcule. Theophe. hift. plant. l. 4. c. 5. Diod. l. Strabo l. 15. Plin. l. 18.

^{255, 261.} Lette . édif. 1. 25, p. 61.

Gen. e. g. v. 20. Berot effud Syncel. p. 28, 29.

b Gyn. c. 26. v. 12.

Died. 1. 1.

Martini, bifl. de la Chize, 1. 1.

m Died. l. 1.

n Feftus, voce Lybicus campus.

[·] Disd. 1. 3.

P. Genquere de Persu, e. s. p. 47. Histira.
des Incas, i. 2. p. 83.

A. L'biff. gen. des voyag. t. 3. p. 117. I Lescarbet, biff. de la Nouv. France, p. 778.