

"We would esteem it a favor if you would let us know by return of mail the prospects of honey yield with you and vicinity—about how much you expect to have, in extracted and comb, how put up and your price F.O.B. your shipping point. After we get your opinion with others we will be pleased to reciprocate any information we may get by dropping us a postal."

\* \* \*

Just as we go to press we are advised that the Bee-Keepers' Review will be continued under the management and control of Mr. E. B. Tyrrell, of Detroit. Mr. Tyrrell thinks it may be impossible, under the circumstances, for him to get out the June number on time. If so, he will get out a double number for July. The new proprietor is Secretary of the National Bee-keepers' Association, a bee-keeper of experience, a student and admirer of Mr. Hutchinson, an enthusiast on and a lover of bees. We bespeak for the Review the same cordial support that it has enjoyed in the past, for the new editor says he is going to continue the same policies inaugurated by his predecessor. As a matter of fact Mr. Hutchinson had enough matter accumulated to last almost a year, so the readers of the Review will see the handiwork of its founder for some time yet.—Gleanings.

\* \* \*

Is our able conductor of the Woman's Department beginning to see the light? She says in this issue: "Logically the arguments for free trade, like those for woman's suffrage, are incontrovertible." Well, now, that is making some progress. But she seems to be so wedded to her idol that she will not give up without a struggle, and in the next sentence commits the following offence against "logic." "Unfortunately logic is not the dominant element in human affairs." What is logic? Logic is the science of correct thinking; a right use of thought or the

rational powers, or the habit or gift of using them rightly. Wrong thinking or the irrational use of our rational powers, results in what might be called "bad" logic. From that time in the dim past when man first took the skin of an animal to clothe himself for warmth and comfort, logic of some kind, good or bad, has been dominant in human affairs, and precisely as those "rational powers" were developed, mankind has made progress. Therefore, with all due respect to the editor of the woman's department, we beg to be permitted to express the opinion that logic has always been, is, and will continue to be, the dominant element in human affairs. It is the mainspring of human progress. It is the only product of that which makes man unlike anything else in all creation—MIND. If logic speaks clearly to us, why do we not follow it? This is the question in a nut shell—why do we not follow it? We will venture to offer an answer. A certain high priest of protection, whom we know in our own city, once said that free trade was a "beautiful, lovely theory"—but impracticable—hence he was a protectionist. Now this man thought his logic was clear—that it was true logic. But it was very "bad" logic. Mark you it was logic of a kind, nevertheless. He made two fatal mistakes. First, free trade is not a theory! It is a natural condition. It is not the result of any theory, nor the result of legislation. It is a condition to which the instinct and reason of mankind lead, brought about by the natural and inevitable desire for the exchange of commodities. This condition is enjoyed by the birds that chirp and sing about us.

On the other hand "protection" is a theory. It is a restriction upon trade, devised to give encouragement to certain, or all, home industries. As a theory it may have some apparent justifi-

cation, but upon examination clearly seen that protection is not a theory but a limited result in practice is that who are best organized greatest influence obtain to tax the many special benefit. It is purpose because the or the skillful use of their power can manipulate the union. The second mistake in the fact that he admitted to be a good thing and lovely thing, because all nations), did not admit then straightway began praises of protection! Of the same "logic" he have abandoned the Christian cause all men were Christians, and then announced a panegyric upon Here then is a man who is because of two fundam-

Who is it that has given Church to the twentieth century those who have kept the it to-day who are making and the stoppage of war is not those who are opposed and war? The greed of grows upon what it feeds have gone to absurd extremes not for the fact that there some men left to act as the wheel it sought to own enrichment. They work it as high as Haman's gallows hung themselves upon it.

Miss Robson says further Hurley neglected to say the duty will be re honey, it will remain on the making it very difficult for to compete for the fancy U. S." Bless you, my dear beyond me to point out in the injustices that arise from