

could name  
with all its  
equal.

find myself  
of Superin-  
tended in an  
and sent  
d breadth  
able not to  
statements  
own facts,  
re directed  
any years  
esslie (for  
ial Report  
statement  
dvanced.

which is attained in institutions of a different order,  
and of merited celebrity, in both the old and new  
world.

I have always held the opinion that the Board  
acted wisely in seeking the highest possible qualifi-  
cation for the services of the City Common Schools;  
and I am convinced that if in any case applicants,  
presenting Normal School certificates, were unsuc-  
cessful, the simple reason was, that their fortunate  
competitors presented still more reliable proofs of  
competency.

I have the honour to be

Faithfully yours,

J. WORKMAN, M.D.

G. A. BARBER, Esq., Sec.

B. of C. S., Toronto.

---

TORONTO, 4TH AUGUST, 1858.

MY DEAR SIR,

In the special Report of the Chief Superintendent  
of Education, submitted to the Provincial Legislature  
and Government, on the 20th April last, I find allu-  
sions made to the School policy and system of  
Toronto, which have no foundation in fact, and which,  
if a fair specimen of the general accuracy of such  
Reports must tend to shake all confidence in them.

In page 50 of that Report I find the following  
language:—"Toronto has thought proper to ignore  
the Normal School though established within its  
limits, and not a Normal School Teacher has been  
placed in charge of one of the Common Schools of  
the City and only two or three employed in subordinate  
positions."

The object intended to be subserved by the above  
statement seems to have been to exalt Hamilton at  
the expense of Toronto, because the former, the

t, 1858.

port of the  
age 50, to  
n which it  
ronto "has  
chool, &c.;  
ur request,  
I had the  
ard, com-  
pplication  
ably con-  
nal School  
rded Nor-  
net in the  
ot as sub-  
education