ws regulating the power of unicipalities." say that the partizan com-Province the the municipal

on in amend-Ir. Meredith,

onstructed by ture of 1890 as ot now read a so amended as ies by county uch cities and ondon, on May

an amendment the Province, n amendment, ut be referred f the fifth sec-

luct toward the bid to detach as the "Marter sal was neither letermined that of the Province licensing, was, ainst the Local e hand, and the to pass the Bill prolonged conovernment took involving proe case has been cision is being

l for a return to nperance people com them nor a the temperance

granting licenses ion of 1893. sent system was sts of the legition unanimously d the legitimate ed that this was egates who were

1894—THE GOVERNMENT'S ACTION IN REFERENCE TO PROHIBITION.

At the Parliament Buildings, Toronto, on Tuesday, February 6th, 1894, a large temperance deputation, representing the Provincial convention then in session, waited on the Provincial Government "to respectfully request them to declare in favor of total prohibition of the liquor traffic to the full extent of the power vested in the legislature." Every member of the Government in the city was present.

Sir Oliver Mowat concluded his reply with the following positive assurance: - "If the decision of the Privy Council should be that the Province has jurisdiction to pass a prohibitory liquor law as to sale, I will introduce such a bill in the following session if l_3 am then at the head of the Government. If the decision of the Privy Council is that the Province has jurisdiction to pass only a partial prohibitory liquor law, I will introduce such a prohibitory liquor law as the decision shall warrant, unless the partial prohibitory power is so limited as to be ineffective from a temperance standpoint."

Rev. W. Kettlewell, chairman of the deputation, a leader of the advanced Prohibitionists, and Grand Councillor of the Royal Templars of Temperance of Ontario, in response, said :—"I am sure I need not say your answer is everything to be desired."

Rev. Dr. Potts, a spokesman for the convention :- "Eminently satisfactory."

THE GRADUAL REDUCTION OF LICENSES.

The following table gives the number of each kind of license issued for several years aid Act." The between 1874 and 1893 inclusive, and also shows the gradual reduction in their number of a license or since the Crooks' Act came into force in 1876:—

Year	Tavern.	Shop.	Wholesale.	Vessel.	Total.
1874-5	4.798	1,307	- 52	83	6,185
1875-6			7 8	24	5,818
1879-80		757	72	22	4,020
1884-5		675	28	14	3,970
1885-6)	(2,574	525	24	9	3,132
1886-7 (Scott Act	1.567	367	28	12	1,974
1887-8 period.	1,496	325	28	13	1,862
1888-9	2,066	336	26	17	2,445
1889-90	3,073	445	27	15	3,560
1890-1		428	24		3,52 3
1891-2		403	21		3,414
1892-3		378	25		3,369

It will be noticed that there has been a practical and gradual falling off in the numberabolishing the to that now there are in force the smallest number in the history of the Province at any On the third lime when local prohibition has been in force in as few municipalities. It can, therefore, aced before the se fairly claimed that the Crooks' Act, and the amendment thereto, has had the effect of was to prevent educing the number of licenses in the Province almost one-half. The reduction in the ohibition in the number of licenses may be carried definitely, under the Crooks' Act, by municipal action, s well as by the action of the Boards of License Commissioners.

A Striking Comparison.

5,818 liquor licenses issued by municipal councils in 1875, prior to the passage of the rooks' Act in 1876, as against 3,369 issued in 1893 under the License Law, a reduction bers' Association f 2,449, or 71 per cent. I to each 278 of population in 1875, I to each 633 of popula-

▲ Comparison with American States and Canadian Cities.

In order to furnish a comparison between Ontario and different States of the Amerin Union as to the number of liquor dealers in the latter, it is necessary to take the gures furnished by the United States Commissioner of Inland Revenue of the number