

Knew

has got on too rapidly, that he has more salary etc.
(2) He thinks that he himself can still do some general psychol-
ogy if he wants to, like lectures on the relation of mind and
body etc., etc. Both H. and I know that Dr T. once made
Associate Professor would ~~go on~~ to be Professor. H. is not too
keen on this. You can see from this and other things that some-
times I have had both Drs T. and H. in a kind of opposition
against my suggestions, and I have sometimes had one as it were
against the other - more Dr H. against Dr T.

want

For years I have said to Dr T. before Dr. H.: of course the
University can take you to-morrow and make you an independent
professor, and if it wishes to do this, we can have nothing
against it, and we will only help you and recommend students to
take Psychology, as you will like to tell some of your students
to take Philosophy."

You can see that I have been misrepresented in regard to
Psychology etc. I have pushed it in season and out of season.
I do not think too much of some of their alleged results and the
thing has been very miscellaneous, I can find no unified psychol-
ogy. Macdougall of Harvard, the Professor of Psychology holds
this. I have just read his new book. But this is no longer my
business. Our opinion is not wanted and not considered. The
fight for freedom has been on and the psychologists have hit
heavily just as have all of us against ecclesiastical control and
so on in other things. Autonomy and self-examination are the
order of the day. Dr T. is chafing under his restriction. Let
him be free and then you will get his best and I will wish him
well.

*Tait
knows
this*

*(I have begged Tait to write out a unified account
of the mind.)*

*And Jackson (a Tait) to write a story of the
psychological movement of the last 20 years*