Sir Arthur Currie.

Owing to this disciplinary action on the part of the Council, the "Daily" Managing Board published in the "McGill Daily" on the morning of December 7th the resignations of the "McGill Daily" Editorial Board.

The Students' Council were informed that the "Daily" had already taken action at a meeting of the entire staff when reporters were told that no articles of this type were to be written for publication.

The President of the Students' Executive Council then called a meeting on December 7th, at which were present:-

Messrs. Nesbitt, Baker, Watt, Ebbitt, Sparkes, Painter, Woodwark, Wayland, Seybold, Talbot, Miss Lynch and Miss Dawson. Mr. Harold Doig and Mr. John Rowat appeared on behalf of the "McGill Daily".

Mr. Talbot was questioned regarding his action in not having informed the Council that action had already been taken by the "Daily" staff, and his reply was to the effect that he thought he had.

It was moved, seconded and duly carried that; in view of the fact that this Council was ignorant of the fact that action had already been taken by the "McGill Daily" in connection with the article "Beer Infuses Students With Care-Free Jollity", and that if this information had been given, the motion would not have been passed, that the motion passed at the meeting of December 5th, concerning the suspension of the writer of this article, or the person responsible for allowing it to be printed in the "McGill Daily" be withdrawn.

A long discussion followed regarding the action of the "Daily" Managing Board resigning and the way in which their resignation was published. It was moved, seconded and duly carried that the present Managing Board be suspended indefinitely for abusing the trust placed in them by the Students' Society; that is by resigning without giving fair notice to the Students' Executive Council, by stating that they deliberately circulated their story of the dispute in outside papers and thus jeopardised the interest of the student body, particularly as represented by those campus organizations which depend on the "Daily" for their publicity and affecting contractual relations of the student body with outsiders when they had a perfectly sound recourse by calling a meeting of the Students' Society in an appeal against the decision of the Students' Executive Council. The motion was carried.