

that if they were going to take over Canadian plants, they would have to export certain amounts in the future. They would have to achieve certain levels of job increase in the future.

Those kinds of things could be done by a government seeking to establish technological expertise in key areas, if we had that kind of power still left to us.

We could also use tariffs. We could use tariffs selectively under GATT agreements, as we have in the past, to be able to assist certain parts of our economy to grow and to establish jobs for the people of this country. We could use other instruments such as public ownership. Public ownership is not something which, for ideological reasons, we would back away from as a Party. In addition to that thrust, we simply have to see to it that regulations are established for the multinational corporations which are so important in the international economy. That is what dominates trade, and the regulation of those multinationals is crucial in trying to shape that trade for the future.

When shut-downs take place, we must have full community review to see to it that communities and workers have a chance to see why it is that a company is being shut down and to challenge it, if it so wishes. We also have to see to it that there are no more export restrictions on the subsidiaries of multinational corporations in Canada when they are owned by other countries. That has to be part of our ruling as well.

We have to do a number of other things. I see you looking at the clock, Mr. Speaker. It is five o'clock and I would propose to continue tomorrow.

**The Acting Speaker (Mr. Paproski):** I assumed so.

## PROCEEDINGS ON ADJOURNMENT MOTION

[*Translation*]

SUBJECT MATTER OF QUESTIONS TO BE DEBATED

**The Acting Speaker (Mr. Paproski):** It is my duty, pursuant to Standing Order 66, to inform the House that the questions to be raised tonight at the time of adjournment are as follows: the Hon. Member for Hamilton Mountain (Ms. Dewar)—Status of women—Court challenge to language training policy—Minister's position; the Hon. Member for Montreal—Sainte-Marie (Mr. Malépart)—Shipyards—Delay in restructuring industry in Quebec—Request for explanation—Request for clarification concerning frigates; the Hon. Member for York East (Mr. Redway)—Canadian Pacific Railway—Carriage of dangerous goods—Metro Toronto speed limit.

It being five o'clock the House will now proceed with the consideration of Private Members' Business as listed on today's Order Paper.

### *Statue of Monarch*

## PRIVATE MEMBERS' BUSINESS--MOTIONS

[*English*]

### PARLIAMENT HILL

#### PROPOSED ERECTION OF STATUE OF QUEEN ELIZABETH

The House resumed from Tuesday, November 3, consideration of the motion of Mr. Tupper:

That, in the opinion of this House, the Government should consider the advisability of commissioning the structure of a statue of Her Majesty, Queen Elizabeth II, to be placed on Parliament Hill in commemoration of the thirty-fifth anniversary of Her ascension to the Throne.

**Mr. Stan Darling (Parry Sound—Muskoka):** Mr. Speaker, I am pleased to rise to speak in support of the resolution of my colleague, the Hon. Member for Nepean—Carleton (Mr. Tupper). I rise to speak on the resolution to erect a statue on Parliament Hill in honour of Queen Elizabeth II.

Her contribution to this country as our queen is considerable. The Queen's influence cannot be denied. She participates in all kinds of Canadian events as well as provides that level of government which no elected Member can.

• (1700)

By definition, the sovereign, the person on whom the Crown is conferred, symbolizes our country as a constitutional monarchy. The Crown, the Senate, and the House of Commons, in that order, make up our Parliament. The Governor General, appointed by the Queen in consultation with the Prime Minister, carries out the Queen's functions in this country.

Queen Elizabeth II is the head of the Canadian state, the Government, and all our judicial functions. She is the Sovereign of the Order of Canada as well as the Order of Military Merit. She is, of course, as everyone knows, the patron of numerous associations and organizations throughout the country. The Queen is honorary Commissioner of the Royal Canadian Mounted Police as well as Colonel in Chief of numerous Canadian military regiments.

I would like to point out that Canadian money pays only for the Canadian aspect of the Crown and her Majesty's expenses when she is in Canada. When members of the Crown are in Canada, the provincial and federal Governments cover the costs properly apportioned. As representatives of the Crown, the salaries of the Governor General and Lieutenant Governors are paid by the federal Government.

In June, 1973, the Queen stated that the Crown is an idea more than a person and that it should represent everything that is best and most admired in the Canadian ideal. I ask you, if there could be only one reason, would it be possible to find a better one for the erection of a statue of Her Majesty on Parliament Hill? The Queen is also our link to all the countries in the Commonwealth, which amounts to just about every ancestry and national origin.