(a) by striking out line 51 of the French version on page 103 and substituting the following:

"tous les biens visés à l'alinéa e), l'alinéa d) ou e), selon le"

and

(b) by striking out line 46 on page 104 and substituting the following:

"would, subject to paragraph (c), be deemed to be an amount that" Amendment agreed to.

Clause 48 as amended, agreed to.

Clauses 49 and 50 agreed to.

On clause 51.

Mr. Turner (Ottawa-Carleton): Mr. Chairman, I wish to move a technical amendment, already circulated, to clause 51. The amendment would affect pages 115 and 122 of the bill. I move:

That clause 51 of Bill C-49 be amended

(a) by striking out line 31 on page 115 and substituting the following: "(5) Paragraphs 87(2)(p) and (q) of the said Act and"

(b) by striking out line 36 on page 115 and substituting the following:

"(p) for the purpose of computing a deduction from the income of the new corporation for a taxation year under section 64, any amount that has been included in computing the income of a predecessor corporation for its last taxation year or a previous taxation year by virtue of subsection 59(1) or (3) or paragraph 59(3.2)(c), or by virtue of subsection 83A(5ba) or 5(c) of this Act as it read in its application to a taxation year before the 1972 taxation year, shall be deemed to have been included in computing the income of the new corporation for a previous year by virtue thereof:

(q) for the purpose of computing the '

and

(c) by striking out line 6 of the French version on page 122 and substituting the following:

"et les paragraphes (1), (3) et (10) s'appli-"

• (2110)

[Translation]

This stands for "s'appliquent", I imagine.

[English]

Amendment agreed to.

Clause 51, as amended, agreed to.

On clause 52.

Mr. Turner (Ottawa-Carleton): Mr. Chairman, we circulated an amendment to clause 52. The amendment is found on pages 123 and 128. I would like to propose it. I move:

That clause 52 of Bill C-49 be amended

(a) by striking out lines 5 to 24 on page 123 and substituting the following:

(3) All that portion of paragraph 88(1)(d) of the said Consideration Act preceding subparagraph (ii) thereof is repealed and the following substituted therefor:

resource property disposition

for

"(d) the amount determined under this paragraph in respect of each property that was a capital property (other than a depreciable property) of the subsidiary is such portion of the amount, if any, by which the aggregate determined under subparagraph (b)(ii) exceeds the aggregate of

(i) the amount, if any, by which

(A) the aggregate of amounts each of which is an amount in respect of any property owned by the subsidiary immediately before the winding-up, equal to the cost amount to the subsidiary of the

Income Tax

property immediately before the winding-up, plus the amount of any money of the subsidiary on hand immediately before the winding-up,

exceeds the aggregate of

(B) all amounts each of which is the amount of any debt owning by the subsidiary, or of any other obligation of the subsidiary to pay any amount, that was outstanding immediately before the winding-up, and

(C) the amount of any reserve (other than a reserve referred to in paragraph 20(1)(n), sub-paragraph 40(1)(a)(iii) or subsection 64(1)), deducted in computing the subsidiary's income for its taxation year during which its assets were distributed to the parent on the winding-up,

(i.1) the amount of the subsidiary's tax-paid undistributed surplus on hand at the time it was wound up, and

(i.2) the amount of the subsidiary's 1971 capital surplus on hand at the time it was wound up,

as is designated by the parent in respect of that capital property in its return of income under this Part for its taxation year in which the subsidiary was so wound up, except that"

and

(b) by striking out line 13 on page 128 and substituting the following:

"6, 1974, except that subparagraphs 88(1)(d)(i.1) and (i.2) are applicable for the purpose of computing the adjusted cost base of a property after February, 1975, and subsection (8) is applicable in"

Mr. Baker (Grenville-Carleton): Mr. Chairman, I rise on a point of order. Now that the minister has given us the amendment in ordinary layman's language, would he like to put it into legal language for us?

Some hon. Members: Oh, oh!

The Chairman: Order, please. Before doing that, the amendment should be put to the committee.

Mr. Paproski: Mr. Chairman, we would like to see this amendment stand.

An hon. Member: Why?

Mr. Paproski: It has consideration for resource property disposition. We would like to make a little further study on it.

Mr. Turner (Ottawa-Carleton): Mr. Chairman, I understand what the hon. member for Edmonton Centre is saying. I wonder whether he would allow the amendment to carry and just stand the clause.

Mr. Paproski: That is fine, Mr. Chairman.

Amendment agreed to.

The Chairman: Is it agreed that clause 52, as amended, be stood?

Some hon. Members: Agreed.

Clause 52, as amended, stood.

On clause 53.