CROWN LANDS.

See Timber.

CROWN LANDS AGENT.

See Parliamentary Elections, 1.

CROWN PATENT.

See Assessment and Taxes, 4—Water and Watercourses, 2.

CRUELTY.

See Husband and Wife, 1.

DAMAGES.

- Assignment of Claim for Damages ex Delicto—Action by Assignee—Cause of Action—Chose in Action—Invalidity of Assignment: McCormack v. Toronto R. W. Co., 467.
- 2. Interlocutory Injunction—Dissolution
 —Time for Applying for Reference
 —Evidence—New Agreement—Cost
 Stay of Proceedings—Appeal: McLeod v. Lawson, 335.
- 3. Quantum—Personal Injuries of Married Woman—Negligence of Street Railway Company—Expenses Incurred by Husband—Excessive Verdict—New Trial: Clarke v. London Street R. W. Co., 185; 12 O. L. R. 279.
 - See Carriers—Conspiracy—Contract, 2, 4, 8—Easement—Highway, 4, 5, 6—Injunction, 1—Landlord and Tenant, 1, 3, 4—Master and Servant, 1, 2, 3, 4, 9—Municipal Corporations, 6—Negligence, 5—Parties, 8—Railway, 5—Sale of Goods, 5—Trespass to Land, 1, 2—Vendor and Purchaser, 8—Water and Watercourses, 1, 3—Way, 1.

DEBENTURES.

See Municipal Corporations, 3, 15.

DECLARATORY JUDGMENT.

See Contract, 10—Husband and Wife, 4—Limitation of Actions, 2—Municipal Corporations, 9.

DEDICATION.

See Highway, 2.

DEED.

See Assessment and Taxes, 4—Dower—Fraudulent Conveyance—Husband and Wife, 2—Limitation of Actions, 1—Vendor and Purchaser, 3, 7—Way, 1—Will, 1.

DEFAMATION.

Slander—Pleading — Defence—Striking out—Embarrassment — Privilege— Mitigation of Damages: Grant v. McRae, 304,

See Costs, 4—Discovery, 1.

DEFAULT JUDGMENT.

See Judgment, 1, 2.

DEVISE.

See Will.

DIRECTORS.

See Company, 1, 5, 11, 12, 13, 15— Parties, 7.

DISCOVERY.

- 1. Examination of Defendant—Libel— Answers Tending to Criminate— Privilege—Evidence Act—Rule 439: Chambers v. Jaffray, 26; 12 O. L. R. 377.
- Examination of Defendant—Refusal to Answer Questions—Relevancy— Pleading—Statement of Claim: Canavan v. Harris, 325.
- Examination of Defendant—Scope of —Discovery of Mines—Dates and Places: Crawford v. Crawford, 833.
- Examination of Officer of Defendant Municipal Corporation—Alderman of City—Rule 439 (a) 1—Construction of—"Officer or Servant"—Legislative Functions: Davies v. Sovereign Bank and City of Toronto, 443; 12 O. L. R. 557.
- 5. Examination of Person for whose Benefit Action Defended—Rule 440 —Manager of Assignor Company: Carter v. Lee, 499.
- 6. Examination of Servant of Defendant—Con, Rules 439 (a), 440, 441: Van Koughnet v. Toronto Towel Supply Co., 683.