MARCH BOND SALES.

Big	Decline	in	Total	for	Month	1—(Outl	ook	is	Brighter	r
	or Better										

January																	\$4,883,862
February																	4,051,625
March .																	1,574,142
Suppleme	nt	a	ту														41,226

Total, three months\$10,550,855

Just over one and a half million dollars worth of municipal bonds were sold in Canada during March. How that compares with the total for the two previous months is seen in the above table. These figures include provincial government as well as municipal issues, which have been as follows during the first three months of 1909: Alberta Government, £411,000; Prince Edward Island, \$400,000; Dominion of Canada, £6,000,000.

The supplementary forward first forward forw

The supplementary figures, \$41,226, three months, in the summary are issues of Sarnia debentures, not hitherto recorded in these columns.

The Provincial Government of New Brunswick nego-

tiated a loan of \$200,000 for four months at 234 per cent. The transaction was effected by Aemilius Jarvis & Co., Toronto, and Brown Bros. & Co., New York.

Here is a summary of the March sales:—

The following are the details:-

Thorold

Smith's Falls Carleton

Shelburne

British Columbia\$	460,500
Alberta	240,600
Quebec	201,532
Ontario	262,060
Nova Scotia	158,500
Saskatchewan	130,950
New Brunswick	15,000
Manitoba	6,000

\$1,574,142

1929

1939

1939

1929

1909-10-26

British Columbia:	Amt.	Rate	Maturity	
Nelson	\$ 15,000	5	1929	
. Victoria	265,000	4	1959	
Kelowna	47,000	6	1929	
Not sold	9,500	6	1928	
Richmond		5	1949	
District of South Vancouver		5	1955	
District of North Vancouver	30,000	5		
District of North Vancouver	15,000	5	1959	
Kamloops	6,000	5	1934	
Rossland	17,000	5	1925	
Cranbrook	35,000	5		
Ontario:	\$469,500			
Mornington	\$ 1,828	41/2	1914	
Gravenhurst	15,000	5	1939	
Walkerville	6,866	5	1919	
Port Hope	3,910	5	1929	
Guelph	62,703	41/2	1929	
Blind River	6,000	5	1924	
Barton Township	25,000	41/2	1929	
A -41 37:11	5,992	41/2	1937	
Arthur Village	1 5,179	41/2	1921	

2,652

15,000

65,477

10,000

4,661 6,200

20,000

5,592

4, 41/2

	\$262,060			
Alberta:	+,			
Red Deer	. \$ 19,400	6	1939	
Red Deer	∫ 2,500	43/4	1929	
	1 1 100	43/4	1919	
Didsbury	3,500	5 1/2	1929	
Boyne S.D.	. 1,500	6	1919	
Neapolis S.D.		6	1917	
Kellcher S.D	· 1,700	6	1919	
Strathcona	. 15,000	5	1949	

Claresholm S.D. 20,000 1939 5 2,500 1919 7,000 1929 McLeod S.D. 30,000 Magrath S.D.
Sedgewick S.D.
Rich Valley S.D.
Busenius S.D. 1939 30,000 10,000 1020 200 800

Pragussive S.D	. 500		
Collingwood C.D.	. 500		
Collingwood S.D.	. I,200		
Haultain S.D	. I,000		
Stanton S.D			
Povale River S.D	. 400		
Dunstable S.D	. 750		
Willow Grove S.D	750		
Willow Glove S.D	. 200		
Beaver Flat S.D	. 350		
Heather S.D	T 000		
Locomba	. 1,000		
Lacombe	. 9,000	5	
Crescent Heights S.D	9,000	6	
	0		
0-1-4-1-	\$240,600		
Saskatchewan:			
Asquith	•		
	\$ 12,600	6	1929
Dawn Hill S.D.	1,500		
Union Jack S.D.	1 600		
Lautier C.D.			
Lautier S.D.	I,200		
Harvard S.D	1,000		
Fernley S.D.	1,000		
D-1 C.D.			
Palmer S.D	1,200		
Lake Hellen S.D	800		
Wisterlund S.D	000		
Wisterfulld S.D	800		
Spenst S.D	800		
Wapashoe S.D	800		
Chamalla C.D.	000		
Chapelle S.D	1,400		
Elk Head S.D	1,300		
Carmichael S.D			
Carmichael S.D	1,650	8	1919
Arrayo S.D	1,500	61/2	1919
Echo Lake S.D	1,400		1919
Edmore S.D.			
Edinore S.D	1,000	7	
Loch Ayr S.D	1,200		
Saskatoon			
Chandral C D	30,000	5	1939
Standard S.D	1,300	7	1919
Balgonie	13,000	6	1929
Manito Lake			The second secon
D- 1 CD	1,200	.6	1921
Bermuda S.D	1,000	5 3/4	1919
Grasby S.D	1,600	61/2	1919
Waldeck S.D			
Walucck S.D	2,500	61/2	
Anerley S.D	2,000	6	1919
Beeston S.D	1,500	7	1919
Belvidere S.D			
Delvidere S.D	1,500	5 3/4	1919
Elvevow S.D	1,200	6	1919
Lac Qui Parle S.D		61/2	
Dat Qui Laile S.D	500		1919
Rosebush S.D	1,200	6	1919
Wheat Valley S.D	1,800	53/4	1010
	A STATE OF THE PARTY OF THE PAR		
Moose Jaw	38,000	41/2	1949
	\$130,950		
	φ130,930		
Nova Scotia:			
Nova Scotia:			
Bridgewater	\$ 15,000	=	1028
Diagonater		5	1938
	(35,000	41/2	1937
Carino hill	20,000	4	1938
Springhill	10,000		1936
		4	
6.1	7,000	41/2	1928
Sydney Mines	25,000	41/2	1928
County of Digby			
Deidents of Digby	20,000	4 1/2	21-40 yrs.
Bridgetown	22,500	41/2	1934
	4,000	4	1934
	-1,	7	-934
	0 0		
	\$158,500		
Ouebec :			
Drummondville	\$ 5,000	5	1934
D 1 11	19,898		
Drummondville	19,090		
Drummondville		5	1937
Emard	35,000	2	
Emard	35,000		
Emard	35,000 166,634	4	
Emard Ste. Hyacinthe County of Carleton	35,000 166,634 20,000	4 5	1929
Emard	35,000 166,634 20,000	4 5	1929
Emard Ste. Hyacinthe County of Carleton	35,000 166,634 20,000 25,000	4 5 4½	
Emard Ste. Hyacinthe County of Carleton	35,000 166,634 20,000	4 5	1929
Emard Ste. Hyacinthe County of Carleton Richmond	35,000 166,634 20,000 25,000 20,000	4 5 4½	1929
Emard Ste. Hyacinthe County of Carleton Richmond	35,000 166,634 20,000 25,000 20,000	4 5 4½	1929
Emard Ste. Hyacinthe County of Carleton Richmond	35,000 166,634 20,000 25,000	4 5 4½	1929
Emard Ste. Hyacinthe County of Carleton Richmond New Brunswick:	35,000 166,634 20,000 25,000 20,000 \$291,532	4 5 4½	1929
Emard Ste. Hyacinthe County of Carleton Richmond New Brunswick:	35,000 166,634 20,000 25,000 20,000 \$291,532	4 5 4 ¹ / ₂ 4 ¹ / ₂	1929
Emard Ste. Hyacinthe County of Carleton Richmond New Brunswick:	35,000 166,634 20,000 25,000 20,000	4 5 4½	1929
Emard Ste. Hyacinthe County of Carleton Richmond New Brunswick: Woodstock	35,000 166,634 20,000 25,000 20,000 \$291,532	4 5 4 ¹ / ₂ 4 ¹ / ₂	1929
Emard Ste. Hyacinthe County of Carleton Richmond New Brunswick: Woodstock	35,000 166,634 20,000 25,000 20,000 \$291,532	4 5 4 ¹ / ₂ 4 ¹ / ₂	1929
Emard Ste. Hyacinthe County of Carleton Richmond New Brunswick: Woodstock Manitoba:	35,000 166,634 20,000 25,000 20,000 \$291,532 \$ 15,000	4 5 4½ 4½ 4½	1929
Emard Ste. Hyacinthe County of Carleton Richmond New Brunswick: Woodstock Manitoba:	35,000 166,634 20,000 25,000 20,000 \$291,532	4 5 4 ¹ / ₂ 4 ¹ / ₂	1929

The chief feature of the market during the past month has been the prevailing high prices. The majority of the firms which have been established in the municipal bond firms which have been established in the municipal bond business for a considerable time have not been bidding as frequently as is usually their wont. Although several state that prices will go higher, the generally accepted and conservative opinion is that they are now high enough. Already criticism is being heard abroad as to the 'figures obtained for several of the large Canadian cities' bonds. How they can be issued in London at the present time at a price which will attract the cautious investor is a question upon more than one pair of lips. That the appetite of the British investor for Canadian municipal bonds has not been appeased is a fact shown by the avidity with which the Winniper of is a fact shown by the avidity with which the Winnipeg 4 per cent. securities were taken in London. But the point has now been reached there when investors are comparing