

# The Canada Law Journal.

VOL. XXVII.

AUGUST 15, 1891.

No. 13.

SIR JOHN THOMPSON'S Criminal Code, which was introduced this session, as "The Criminal Law Act of 1891," has been distributed: nothing more, however, will be done about it during the present session.

ONE of the best edited and most interesting of our legal journals is the *Indian Jurist*, published at Madras. There is much truth in the remarks of the *Washington Law Reporter*, which says that our Indian contemporary "is a shining illustration of the capacity of our British cousins to adapt themselves to circumstances, and, like the Romans of old, to erect a civilization in strange lands and out of the most uncouth material. There, away out in British India, they have built up a body of law, superior in many respects, because untrammelled by ancient precedents, to that enjoyed by the Englishman on his native shore. They have their own legal literature and their own law reports, which latter, by the way, would be quite a curiosity to many of our readers. Think of having to report a case under the title of *Sandashir Rayaji v. Maruti Vithal*; or *Easwara Doss v. Purgawanachari*; or *Fahi Abdulla and another v. Babaji Gungaji*, and having to state as a part of the syllabus that the case *c. Rao Karan Singh v. Raja Bakar Ali Khan* and *Mohima Chunder Mozoomdar v. Mohesh Chundea Neogi* are explained!"

THE question as to whether the Law Society of this Province has the right to allow a woman to be entered as a student of the law as a step to becoming a member of the Law Society will shortly come up for decision. Miss Clara Brett Martin having made an application to be admitted as a student, her application was referred to a special committee of the Benchers, which, we understand, is of opinion that authority was not intended to be given to the Law Society to admit women as members thereof, and that the application should therefore not be granted. The matter will come before the Benchers for consideration on the 15th September next, when a battle royal may be expected, as doubtless the cause of this "mayden faire" will find some champion ready to enter the lists on her behalf. We reserve comment for the present. The following authorities and reviews will be of interest in this connection: *Bradwell's Case*, 55 Ill. 535; *Goodell's Case*, 39 Wis. 232; *Robinson's Case*, 131 Mass. 376; *Lady Sandhurst's Case*, 23 Q.B.D. 79; *Chorlton v. Lings*, L.R. 4 C.P. 374; 18 Irish Law Times, p. 306; 30 Albany Law Journal, p. 464; Sol. Journal, vol. 12, p. 762; American Law Review, N.S., vol. 4 (1883), pp. 670, 675, 6-7-8-9; Pump Court, April 25th, 1891, p. 2.