

increasing the bond resistance, even at small amounts of slip.

(18) The concrete cylinders of the pull-out specimens with deformed bars were reinforced against bursting or splitting, because it was desired to study the load-slip relation through a wide range of values. The bond stresses corresponding to an end slip of 0.1 in. are the highest stresses reported for the deformed bars. In only a few tests was the maximum bond resistance reached at an end slip less than 0.1 in. It should be recognized that, in general, the bond stresses reported for deformed bars at end slip of 0.05 and 0.1 in., could not have been developed with bars embedded in unreinforced blocks. These high values of bond resistance must not be considered as available under the usual conditions of bond action in reinforced concrete members. In the tests in which the blocks were not reinforced, evidence of splitting of the blocks was found at end slips of 0.02 to 0.05 in.

(19) The normal components of the bearing stresses developed by the projections on a deformed bar may produce very destructive bursting stresses in the surrounding concrete. The bearing stress between the projections and the concrete in the tests with certain types of commercial deformed bars was computed to be from 5,800 to 14,000 lbs. per sq. in. at the highest bond stresses considered in these tests. For bars having projections of different heights and spacing, the bearing stresses on the projections at the highest bond stresses considered were inversely proportional to the bond stress which had been developed by the bar at an end slip of 0.01 in., the slip at which the projections were beginning to be effective. These considerations show that the ratio of the area of the projections measured at right angles to the bar to the superficial area of the bar in the same length is the proper criterion for judging of the effective bond resistance of a deformed bar. In some forms of bar the bearing stresses must have been much higher than the values given above. The large slip and the high bearing stresses developed in the later stages of the tests show the absurdity of seriously considering the extremely high values that are usually reported to be the true bond resistance of many types of deformed bars.

(20) Round bars with standard V-shaped threads gave much higher bond resistance at low slips than the commercial deformed bars. The average bond resistance at an end slip of 0.001 in. was 612 lbs. per sq. in. The maximum bond resistance was 745 lbs. per sq. in. These were the only deformed bar tests in which failure came by shearing the surrounding concrete.

(21) In a deformed bar of good design the projections should present bearing faces as nearly as possible at right angles to the axis of the bar. The areas of the projections should be such as to preserve the proper ratio between the bearing stress against the concrete ahead of the projections and the shearing stress over the surrounding envelope of concrete. Failure by shearing of the concrete should be avoided. The tests indicate that the areas of the projections measured at right angles to the axis of the bar should not be less than, say, 20 per cent. of the superficial area of the bar. A closer spacing of the projections than is used in commercial deformed bars would be of advantage. Advocates of the deformed bar would do well to recognize the fact that in a deformed bar which may be expected to develop a high bond resistance, a certain amount of metal must be used in the projections which probably will not be available for taking tensile stress.

(22) The 1-in. twisted square bars gave a bond resistance per unit of surface at an end slip of 0.001 in.,

only 88 per cent. of that for the plain rounds. Following an end slip of about 0.01 in., these bars showed a decided decrease in bond resistance, and a slip of 5 to 10 times this amount was required to cause the bond resistance to regain its first maximum value. After this, the bond resistance gradually rose as the bar was withdrawn. Some of the bars were withdrawn 2 or 3 in. before the highest resistance was reached. The apparent bond stresses at these slips were very high; but, of course, such stresses and slips could not be developed in a structure and could not have been developed in the tests had the blocks not been reinforced against bursting. Such values are entirely meaningless under any rational interpretation of the tests.

(23) The load-slip curves for twisted square bars are similar to those for polished bars with wedging taper. The twisted bar is essentially a combination of the wedging and non-wedging taper. As the bar is drawn through the concrete the wedging tapers are drawn more firmly against the concrete ahead, while at the same time the non-wedging tapers are separated from the concrete with which they were originally in contact. The drop in the load-slip curves after an end slip of about 0.01 in. shows that the separation of about one-half of the surface of the bar from its original contact and the continued sliding of the flatter portions of the bar, until a large slip has occurred, have a greater influence in reducing the average bond resistance than the increased bearing of the wedging tapers has in raising the bond resistance. The results found with the twisted square bar do not justify its present widespread popularity as a reinforcing material.

(24) The tests with plain round bars anchored by means of nuts and washers and with washers only showed that the entire bar must slip an appreciable amount before these forms of anchorage come into action. Anchorages of the dimensions used in these tests did not become effective until the bar had slipped an amount corresponding to the maximum bond resistance of plain bars. With further movement the apparent bond resistance was high, but was accompanied by excessive bearing stresses on the concrete.

(25) The load-slip relation for bars anchored by means of hooks and bends was not determined. The high resistance given in these tests was probably a result of the bearing stresses developed in the concrete ahead of the bends.

(26) Tests on specimens stored under different conditions indicate that concrete stored in damp sand may be expected to give about the same bond resistance and compressive resistance as that stored in water. Water-stored specimens gave values of maximum bond resistance higher in each instance than the air-stored specimens; the increase for water storage ranged from 10 to 45 per cent. The difference seemed to increase with age. The presence of water not only did not injure the bond for ages up to three years, but it was an important factor in producing conditions which resulted in high bond resistances. However, it was found that specimens tested with the concrete in a saturated condition gave lower values for bond than those which had been allowed to dry out before testing. The bars in specimens which had been immersed in water as long as three and one-half years showed no signs of rust or other deterioration.

(27) Specimens made out-doors in freezing weather, where they probably froze and thawed several times during the period of setting and hardening, were almost devoid of bond strength.

(To be continued.)