

THEY ELOPED TO SIMCOE.

LOVE'S DREAM DRAID, GRIM RE-DRONE FOLLOWS.

Old Farmer Hicks Returns Without the Young Woman Who Elected Him—She, too, is Pensive Now and Her Husband Has Taken Her Back to His Home.

MIDDLEBURY, N.Y., Dec. 11.—Delos Hicks, the old Chenango county farmer who ran away with Mrs. Anderson, a pretty young married woman a few weeks ago, has returned.

When it was announced in the little village that old Delos Hicks, who had written the stormy blast of 65 winters and always borne a good reputation, had suddenly gone wrong, the inhabitants were astounded. One of the two large farms which the old gentleman possessed had been suddenly disposed of. The innocent wife, who signed the deed, felt that she had been deceived. She had dreamed that her husband and master was preparing to take flight with a young married woman whom she had done her best to entertain a few days while the young woman was making a protracted visit in the neighborhood. The evening blow fell, however, when the mail brought a short letter from the old farmer to his wife, informing her that he left one farm for her and had gone to Simcoe, Canada. He gave Mrs. Hicks a cordial invitation to "meet him in heaven."

About the same time the young husband of the woman with whom the old farmer departed received a letter from his wife, telling him that she had been deceived with another man, but exhorting him to bear his affliction bravely.

She Counted Hicks as Dead.

Nothing further was heard of the runaway pair for two weeks, and in the meantime Mrs. Hicks, while lamenting the course of the husband who had been her faithful support for many years, had come to the conclusion that the remaining property to her comfort and content Hicks was dead.

Young Anderson assured his friends that he should not mourn his wife away for his faithless wife, but expressed himself as anxious to discover the whereabouts of the runaway that he might meet out to them deserved punishment.

Farmer Hicks' death recently suddenly reappeared in his native village. He did not go back to his former home, but sought the shelter of his sister's dwelling in the neighborhood. The woman who departed with him did not return, and it was surmised that the old farmer had come to grief. He was not inclined to say much about the affair, but the facts were soon learned and now the community is again agitated.

When Hicks and his companion left South Osele they went to Canada, as he had informed his wife, but the young woman soon discovered another younger and more attractive man, and suddenly left the old man.

Facing the Disgrace.

Then it was that Farmer Hicks began to realize his position and the disgrace he had brought upon himself and family. Finally he resolved to return home and face the disgrace. The young woman, if living, is also apparently heartily sick of her position and wants to return to her husband. The following brief correspondence has been received by friends:

DEAR SUE.—What shall I do? Do you think George will ever forgive and forget the past? I am sick of this life but do not return home and face the disgrace. Please Sue, George and tell him to write me soon so I will be able to take him back. Address: Simcoe, Ontario.

The day after the above was received another friend received the following, exhibiting a still more sorrowful and despondent mood:

DEAR MARY.—I wrote to Sue yesterday and am trying to write you now. God only knows what will be the result of this. I am sick of this life but do not return home and face the disgrace. Please Sue, George and tell him to write me soon so I will be able to take him back. Address: Simcoe, Ontario.

When "George" first learned of his wife's appeal he said he was willing to forgive, but could not forget the past. But when he received a letter from her old wife and was revived and he wrote asking her to return. She reached home a day or two ago. "George" met her at the train, received her kindly and she is now again living in his home.

Young Anderson has not seen Farmer Hicks since he returned, and he appears to feel his disgrace keenly and remains in seclusion most of the time. He has not yet attempted to see his wife, and it is not probable they will ever be reconciled.

Hard Times Circumvented.

It is surprising to those who do not know the reason to see how many men drop well in spite of the much-talked-of hard times. To the uninitiated it may appear a mystery, but not to those who are familiar with the Army and Navy's policy. With them the wonder is that more men do not wear good clothes, and they are quite well aware that there is not the slightest excuse for any man who is not well-dressed in the Army and Navy Stores continues in business.

Christian Workers.

At a meeting of prominent Christian workers, representing various denominations, held last evening Mr. J. C. Collins of New Haven, secretary of the International Convention of Christian Workers, delivered an address and the Executive Committee was appointed. The meeting was held in Toronto next fall: Rev. T. C. Desbarres, Bishop Campbell, Rev. Dr. McTavish, Rev. Dr. Smith (Metropolitan), Rev. Elmore Harris, Rev. T. B. Hyde, H. C. Dixon, W. H. Howard, S. H. Blake, R. Kilgour, R. E. Ball, J. Newman, H. A. Massey, W. Ferguson, S. O'Brien, J. Treble, K. Hall and Dr. Wilcox. A season of special prayer was held on behalf of Mr. Howard by Conductor Snyder, Henry O'Brien and William Ferguson.

Death of Mr. John Shanessy.

Mr. John Shanessy, a veteran in the hotel business, died at his residence at the junction of King and Queen west on Sunday morning. The deceased has been a hotel-keeper for the last 29 years. Previous to that time he was a steward on one of the old Niagara boats. Mr. Shanessy was a Mason of the 22nd degree, being one of the charter members of the Shrine. His funeral, which will be held to-morrow afternoon, will be conducted by the Blue Lodge, a branch of the Masonic order. Up to the time of his death Mr. Shanessy was an active member.

Many assertions are made about clothing that will not wear investigation. You read an "ad." and go to the store mentioned only to find nothing there. You go to the store and the man who tries to business this way is, in plain language, a fool for you never go back or let others go if you can help it. Those who wear store clothes know all over Canada as the Army and Navy stores regularly drive people away, and when they tell you to drive you can depend upon getting it by going there.

As a general blood building tonic and for that reason, Burdock Blood Bitters, exceeds all other remedies. It positively cures dyspepsia.

LEHIGH MEN RIOT.

Non-Union Men Attacked—Several Shots Fired—One Man Hurt.

PENNY AMBOY, N. J., Dec. 11.—A riot occurred in this city Saturday night which threatened to terminate in a lynching. The cause of the trouble was a clash by some Lehigh strikers and their friends on Fireman Fulton and Engineer Carns, employed by the Lehigh Valley Railway.

The crowd was so dense that in the effort to kill the two men it rushed over them. The two men repented their feet, but were too bruised and battered to run far and the crowd caught them. Carns, seeing that their intention was to murder, turned and fired five shots into the mob and, killing Fulton to run, followed him into the yards of the company.

One man suffered shot in the arm. He was John Fitzgerald, a spectator.

The crowd gathered again and tried to take the prisoners from the officers to lynch them. Chief Burke and another officer, however, threatened to shoot into the crowd and after much difficulty the two prisoners were landed in the police station, where they remained all night guarded by policemen.

Forty Non-Union Men Poisoned—One Man Dies—An Inquest to be Held.

WILKESBARRE, Pa., Dec. 11.—O. W. Gurney, a non-union man, died yesterday, having been taken to the hospital yesterday, died last night after suffering with all the symptoms of arsenic poisoning, when he was found dead in his bed.

Gurney was taken to the hospital yesterday evening, and reported of duty owing to work. About midnight he became weak from the excruciating pain he suffered that word was sent to Superintendent Easer of the Lehigh Valley, who had the sick man taken to the hospital in a company ambulance.

Here the physicians found him suffering from arsenic poisoning, and he was given medicine, and endeavored by every means in their power to save him, but he sank gradually until he died in the night, when he had been in the hospital for a few days, and was so many people who stand to lose very considerable sums of money that, while for the present they may say very little, they will be crying before the week is over and telling everyone how they lost their money and a large amount of it.

Mr. Henry Barber, the liquidator of the Wood & Shingle Company, is preparing a statement of the affairs of the company. Roughly put they are:

Direct liabilities: \$45,000 overdrafts, \$70,000 on customers' paper, \$18,000 on wood pile contracts, \$135,000 on \$22,000 additional due to various outside creditors. Indirect liabilities: Mrs. Keiran \$2400, R. Keiran \$2400.

Mr. Walter Morley, brickmaker of Greenwood-avenue, reads The World. He says Mr. Keiran's letter, which was published yesterday, in which the financier said that "Mr. Morley will come up and adjust his account with me," was published this morning with Mr. Keiran, but said he understood Mr. Keiran and Mr. Rogers had dealings with him, but not with him.

No one from your paper called on me in relation to this matter. I consequently was ignorant of until shown a copy of your paper on Saturday morning last. Now, while I am not a business man, I, however, am a citizen and I feel it my duty to report to him or anyone else. If you will, therefore, kindly publish this letter showing my position you will oblige.

Editor World: I notice my name has been brought prominently before the public in two issues of your paper in connection with the failure of the Toronto Wood & Shingle Company without my knowledge or consent.

In your issue of Dec. 9 you say: "Mr. Keiran of the Ontario Standard, who is an interesting figure with respect to his dealings with Keiran." Now, from all I can gather, your reporter has merely rumormongered this from his interview with Mr. Marshall of the Standard. The Standard, who declined to furnish an account of his transactions with Mr. Keiran, but said he understood Mr. Keiran and Mr. Rogers had dealings with him, but not with him.

No one from your paper called on me in relation to this matter. I consequently was ignorant of until shown a copy of your paper on Saturday morning last. Now, while I am not a business man, I, however, am a citizen and I feel it my duty to report to him or anyone else. If you will, therefore, kindly publish this letter showing my position you will oblige.

Editor World: I notice my name has been brought prominently before the public in two issues of your paper in connection with the failure of the Toronto Wood & Shingle Company without my knowledge or consent.

In your issue of Dec. 9 you say: "Mr. Keiran of the Ontario Standard, who is an interesting figure with respect to his dealings with Keiran." Now, from all I can gather, your reporter has merely rumormongered this from his interview with Mr. Marshall of the Standard. The Standard, who declined to furnish an account of his transactions with Mr. Keiran, but said he understood Mr. Keiran and Mr. Rogers had dealings with him, but not with him.

No one from your paper called on me in relation to this matter. I consequently was ignorant of until shown a copy of your paper on Saturday morning last. Now, while I am not a business man, I, however, am a citizen and I feel it my duty to report to him or anyone else. If you will, therefore, kindly publish this letter showing my position you will oblige.

Editor World: I notice my name has been brought prominently before the public in two issues of your paper in connection with the failure of the Toronto Wood & Shingle Company without my knowledge or consent.

In your issue of Dec. 9 you say: "Mr. Keiran of the Ontario Standard, who is an interesting figure with respect to his dealings with Keiran." Now, from all I can gather, your reporter has merely rumormongered this from his interview with Mr. Marshall of the Standard. The Standard, who declined to furnish an account of his transactions with Mr. Keiran, but said he understood Mr. Keiran and Mr. Rogers had dealings with him, but not with him.

No one from your paper called on me in relation to this matter. I consequently was ignorant of until shown a copy of your paper on Saturday morning last. Now, while I am not a business man, I, however, am a citizen and I feel it my duty to report to him or anyone else. If you will, therefore, kindly publish this letter showing my position you will oblige.

Editor World: I notice my name has been brought prominently before the public in two issues of your paper in connection with the failure of the Toronto Wood & Shingle Company without my knowledge or consent.

In your issue of Dec. 9 you say: "Mr. Keiran of the Ontario Standard, who is an interesting figure with respect to his dealings with Keiran." Now, from all I can gather, your reporter has merely rumormongered this from his interview with Mr. Marshall of the Standard. The Standard, who declined to furnish an account of his transactions with Mr. Keiran, but said he understood Mr. Keiran and Mr. Rogers had dealings with him, but not with him.

No one from your paper called on me in relation to this matter. I consequently was ignorant of until shown a copy of your paper on Saturday morning last. Now, while I am not a business man, I, however, am a citizen and I feel it my duty to report to him or anyone else. If you will, therefore, kindly publish this letter showing my position you will oblige.

Editor World: I notice my name has been brought prominently before the public in two issues of your paper in connection with the failure of the Toronto Wood & Shingle Company without my knowledge or consent.

In your issue of Dec. 9 you say: "Mr. Keiran of the Ontario Standard, who is an interesting figure with respect to his dealings with Keiran." Now, from all I can gather, your reporter has merely rumormongered this from his interview with Mr. Marshall of the Standard. The Standard, who declined to furnish an account of his transactions with Mr. Keiran, but said he understood Mr. Keiran and Mr. Rogers had dealings with him, but not with him.

No one from your paper called on me in relation to this matter. I consequently was ignorant of until shown a copy of your paper on Saturday morning last. Now, while I am not a business man, I, however, am a citizen and I feel it my duty to report to him or anyone else. If you will, therefore, kindly publish this letter showing my position you will oblige.

Editor World: I notice my name has been brought prominently before the public in two issues of your paper in connection with the failure of the Toronto Wood & Shingle Company without my knowledge or consent.

In your issue of Dec. 9 you say: "Mr. Keiran of the Ontario Standard, who is an interesting figure with respect to his dealings with Keiran." Now, from all I can gather, your reporter has merely rumormongered this from his interview with Mr. Marshall of the Standard. The Standard, who declined to furnish an account of his transactions with Mr. Keiran, but said he understood Mr. Keiran and Mr. Rogers had dealings with him, but not with him.

No one from your paper called on me in relation to this matter. I consequently was ignorant of until shown a copy of your paper on Saturday morning last. Now, while I am not a business man, I, however, am a citizen and I feel it my duty to report to him or anyone else. If you will, therefore, kindly publish this letter showing my position you will oblige.

HE PAID BACK THE MONEY.

THAT WAS THE REASON WHY W. P. KEIRAN WAS RELEASED.

The Condition of Affairs Seems To Be As Much Improved As Before Mr. Keiran's Famous Letter Was Published—The Proceedings At London As Related By The Newspapers of That City.

The operations of W. P. Keiran, as manager and head of the Toronto Wood & Shingle Company, are still covered with a dense fog, which rises very slowly indeed, it seems to increase in some directions whenever an attempt is made to break the thickness of it. While it was thought by some yesterday that the statement that Keiran had been paid back the money would lead in some light, and bring some hope to the parties whose money was involved, it failed to do so. They all read the statement, pondered over it, and then were as much befogged as ever. The only clear idea that seemed to obtain yesterday was: That a large amount of money had been lost; that the shareholders of the company had lost a lot of money; that the Bank of Toronto lost to lose a considerable sum, and that the company was in a very bad way.

It is quite certain, however, that the whole thing will come out in a few days, as there are so many people who stand to lose very considerable sums of money that, while for the present they may say very little, they will be crying before the week is over and telling everyone how they lost their money and a large amount of it.

Mr. Henry Barber, the liquidator of the Wood & Shingle Company, is preparing a statement of the affairs of the company. Roughly put they are:

Direct liabilities: \$45,000 overdrafts, \$70,000 on customers' paper, \$18,000 on wood pile contracts, \$135,000 on \$22,000 additional due to various outside creditors. Indirect liabilities: Mrs. Keiran \$2400, R. Keiran \$2400.

Mr. Walter Morley, brickmaker of Greenwood-avenue, reads The World. He says Mr. Keiran's letter, which was published yesterday, in which the financier said that "Mr. Morley will come up and adjust his account with me," was published this morning with Mr. Keiran, but said he understood Mr. Keiran and Mr. Rogers had dealings with him, but not with him.

No one from your paper called on me in relation to this matter. I consequently was ignorant of until shown a copy of your paper on Saturday morning last. Now, while I am not a business man, I, however, am a citizen and I feel it my duty to report to him or anyone else. If you will, therefore, kindly publish this letter showing my position you will oblige.

Editor World: I notice my name has been brought prominently before the public in two issues of your paper in connection with the failure of the Toronto Wood & Shingle Company without my knowledge or consent.

In your issue of Dec. 9 you say: "Mr. Keiran of the Ontario Standard, who is an interesting figure with respect to his dealings with Keiran." Now, from all I can gather, your reporter has merely rumormongered this from his interview with Mr. Marshall of the Standard. The Standard, who declined to furnish an account of his transactions with Mr. Keiran, but said he understood Mr. Keiran and Mr. Rogers had dealings with him, but not with him.

No one from your paper called on me in relation to this matter. I consequently was ignorant of until shown a copy of your paper on Saturday morning last. Now, while I am not a business man, I, however, am a citizen and I feel it my duty to report to him or anyone else. If you will, therefore, kindly publish this letter showing my position you will oblige.

Editor World: I notice my name has been brought prominently before the public in two issues of your paper in connection with the failure of the Toronto Wood & Shingle Company without my knowledge or consent.

In your issue of Dec. 9 you say: "Mr. Keiran of the Ontario Standard, who is an interesting figure with respect to his dealings with Keiran." Now, from all I can gather, your reporter has merely rumormongered this from his interview with Mr. Marshall of the Standard. The Standard, who declined to furnish an account of his transactions with Mr. Keiran, but said he understood Mr. Keiran and Mr. Rogers had dealings with him, but not with him.

No one from your paper called on me in relation to this matter. I consequently was ignorant of until shown a copy of your paper on Saturday morning last. Now, while I am not a business man, I, however, am a citizen and I feel it my duty to report to him or anyone else. If you will, therefore, kindly publish this letter showing my position you will oblige.

Editor World: I notice my name has been brought prominently before the public in two issues of your paper in connection with the failure of the Toronto Wood & Shingle Company without my knowledge or consent.

In your issue of Dec. 9 you say: "Mr. Keiran of the Ontario Standard, who is an interesting figure with respect to his dealings with Keiran." Now, from all I can gather, your reporter has merely rumormongered this from his interview with Mr. Marshall of the Standard. The Standard, who declined to furnish an account of his transactions with Mr. Keiran, but said he understood Mr. Keiran and Mr. Rogers had dealings with him, but not with him.

No one from your paper called on me in relation to this matter. I consequently was ignorant of until shown a copy of your paper on Saturday morning last. Now, while I am not a business man, I, however, am a citizen and I feel it my duty to report to him or anyone else. If you will, therefore, kindly publish this letter showing my position you will oblige.

Editor World: I notice my name has been brought prominently before the public in two issues of your paper in connection with the failure of the Toronto Wood & Shingle Company without my knowledge or consent.

In your issue of Dec. 9 you say: "Mr. Keiran of the Ontario Standard, who is an interesting figure with respect to his dealings with Keiran." Now, from all I can gather, your reporter has merely rumormongered this from his interview with Mr. Marshall of the Standard. The Standard, who declined to furnish an account of his transactions with Mr. Keiran, but said he understood Mr. Keiran and Mr. Rogers had dealings with him, but not with him.

No one from your paper called on me in relation to this matter. I consequently was ignorant of until shown a copy of your paper on Saturday morning last. Now, while I am not a business man, I, however, am a citizen and I feel it my duty to report to him or anyone else. If you will, therefore, kindly publish this letter showing my position you will oblige.

Editor World: I notice my name has been brought prominently before the public in two issues of your paper in connection with the failure of the Toronto Wood & Shingle Company without my knowledge or consent.

In your issue of Dec. 9 you say: "Mr. Keiran of the Ontario Standard, who is an interesting figure with respect to his dealings with Keiran." Now, from all I can gather, your reporter has merely rumormongered this from his interview with Mr. Marshall of the Standard. The Standard, who declined to furnish an account of his transactions with Mr. Keiran, but said he understood Mr. Keiran and Mr. Rogers had dealings with him, but not with him.

No one from your paper called on me in relation to this matter. I consequently was ignorant of until shown a copy of your paper on Saturday morning last. Now, while I am not a business man, I, however, am a citizen and I feel it my duty to report to him or anyone else. If you will, therefore, kindly publish this letter showing my position you will oblige.

Editor World: I notice my name has been brought prominently before the public in two issues of your paper in connection with the failure of the Toronto Wood & Shingle Company without my knowledge or consent.

In your issue of Dec. 9 you say: "Mr. Keiran of the Ontario Standard, who is an interesting figure with respect to his dealings with Keiran." Now, from all I can gather, your reporter has merely rumormongered this from his interview with Mr. Marshall of the Standard. The Standard, who declined to furnish an account of his transactions with Mr. Keiran, but said he understood Mr. Keiran and Mr. Rogers had dealings with him, but not with him.

No one from your paper called on me in relation to this matter. I consequently was ignorant of until shown a copy of your paper on Saturday morning last. Now, while I am not a business man, I, however, am a citizen and I feel it my duty to report to him or anyone else. If you will, therefore, kindly publish this letter showing my position you will oblige.

Editor World: I notice my name has been brought prominently before the public in two issues of your paper in connection with the failure of the Toronto Wood & Shingle Company without my knowledge or consent.

In your issue of Dec. 9 you say: "Mr. Keiran of the Ontario Standard, who is an interesting figure with respect to his dealings with Keiran." Now, from all I can gather, your reporter has merely rumormongered this from his interview with Mr. Marshall of the Standard. The Standard, who declined to furnish an account of his transactions with Mr. Keiran, but said he understood Mr. Keiran and Mr. Rogers had dealings with him, but not with him.

No one from your paper called on me in relation to this matter. I consequently was ignorant of until shown a copy of your paper on Saturday morning last. Now, while I am not a business man, I, however, am a citizen and I feel it my duty to report to him or anyone else. If you will, therefore, kindly publish this letter showing my position you will oblige.

Editor World: I notice my name has been brought prominently before the public in two issues of your paper in connection with the failure of the Toronto Wood & Shingle Company without my knowledge or consent.

In your issue of Dec. 9 you say: "Mr. Keiran of the Ontario Standard, who is an interesting figure with respect to his dealings with Keiran." Now, from all I can gather, your reporter has merely rumormongered this from his interview with Mr. Marshall of the Standard. The Standard, who declined to furnish an account of his transactions with Mr. Keiran, but said he understood Mr. Keiran and Mr. Rogers had dealings with him, but not with him.

No one from your paper called on me in relation to this matter. I consequently was ignorant of until shown a copy of your paper on Saturday morning last. Now, while I am not a business man, I, however, am a citizen and I feel it my duty to report to him or anyone else. If you will, therefore, kindly publish this letter showing my position you will oblige.

Editor World: I notice my name has been brought prominently before the public in two issues of your paper in connection with the failure of the Toronto Wood & Shingle Company without my knowledge or consent.

In your issue of Dec. 9 you say: "Mr. Keiran of the Ontario Standard, who is an interesting figure with respect to his dealings with Keiran." Now, from all I can gather, your reporter has merely rumormongered this from his interview with Mr. Marshall of the Standard. The Standard, who declined to furnish an account of his transactions with Mr. Keiran, but said he understood Mr. Keiran and Mr. Rogers had dealings with him, but not with him.

No one from your paper called on me in relation to this matter. I consequently was ignorant of until shown a copy of your paper on Saturday morning last. Now, while I am not a business man, I, however, am a citizen and I feel it my duty to report to him or anyone else. If you will, therefore, kindly publish this letter showing my position you will oblige.

THE PORK-PIE PAPERS.

NO. 1.

McDonough's Hall at Thompson's Mills was full as it could hold. And every Patron in the hall at a tale had to unfold; For each and all had lost his rest and failed to get his sleep. Because each had decided night a gang was stealing Sheep.

Sam Buggins risked and said: "My friends, you're all aware of that. The rascal's alias and the ones that's good as plump as fat. I've lost my bully chop stuff, my clover hay an' bran, An' that's enuff to rile the bile in every fairer man."

Then up spoke Billy Patterson: "Beware ye thieves an' dogs, I'm givin' outer sheep, my friends, an' givin' inter Hog— For my Patron friends around just how'll you go to work. Upon the least supply of feed to raise the Biggest Pork."

To tell the truth, good neighbors, I allude did intend To ax advice from McDow, who is the Farmer's Friend. He is the Biggest Feeder of Swine in all the land. A Hog-tough in each pocket and a Pig-ty in each hand.

His Hog most allus takes the prize, they put the rest to rout; But Kieran him has a name golden as the sun in the south. He doesn't spend a single cent on corn, or shorts, or peas; He gets them in condition by feedin' them on Fees.

In every county in the land he's got a Golden Sty— The Thought is made of silver and the furniture is fly. And the County Jailers of "Bats", why harp on 'em and say, "An' all the county fables is plastered on his ribs."

But if he wants to fatten other lucky ones, I'll show you just how cleverly this little trick is done: He runs a Slight Partition thro' the middle of the Sty [Cries of "Go it Billy Patterson," and "Rock him or die!"]

Then up spoke Mister Bearman, with a frown upon his phiz, "Talk like this to me, why look ye down, where? Why, I've heard McDow preachin' as solemn as could be, Upon the Everendness of Christ-an'-tee!"

Then out-spoke Jimmy Rogers: "No unvelling guff for me, A little fact that spoils the vine, this McDow, such is he; The Farmer's Friend of 'Bats', why harp on 'em and say, "An' all the county fables is plastered on his ribs."

If this here thing runs on much more in death I will decline, For I can read my title clear to that farm of mine, To feed his darn old Hog he fishes Fees from me; He's that a likely Everendness of Christ-an'-tee!"

The audience riz with one accord, "Humbugged we'll no more be, We've got our little ballots and that's our remedy; The golly politicians we'll put upon the shelves, We'll go the big Feeder and run the show ourselves!"

Russell Farm, Dec. 11, 1933.

debts, \$84,77; making the total \$56,653.77. The total liabilities, mortgages, wages, etc., amount to \$32,328.02, leaving a surplus of \$24,325.75.

Mr. Gibson Was Little to Say.

Editor World: I notice my name has been brought prominently before the public in two issues of your paper in connection with the failure of the Toronto Wood & Shingle Company without my knowledge or consent.

In your issue of Dec. 9 you say: "Mr. Keiran of the Ontario Standard, who is an interesting figure with respect to his dealings with Keiran." Now, from all I can gather, your reporter has merely rumormongered this from his interview with Mr. Marshall of the Standard. The Standard, who declined to furnish an account of his transactions with Mr. Keiran, but said he understood Mr. Keiran and Mr. Rogers had dealings with him, but not with him.

No one from your paper called on me in relation to this matter. I consequently was ignorant of until shown a copy of your paper on Saturday morning last. Now, while I am not a business man, I, however, am a citizen and I feel it my duty to report to him or anyone else. If you will, therefore, kindly publish this letter showing my position you will oblige.

Editor World: I notice my name has been brought prominently before the public in two issues of your paper in connection with the failure of the Toronto Wood & Shingle Company without my knowledge or consent.

In your issue of Dec. 9 you say: "Mr. Keiran of the Ontario Standard, who is an interesting figure with respect to his dealings with Keiran." Now, from all I can gather, your reporter has merely rumormongered this from his interview with Mr. Marshall of the Standard. The Standard, who declined to furnish an account of his transactions with Mr. Keiran, but said he understood Mr. Keiran and Mr. Rogers had dealings with him, but not with him.

No one from your paper called on me in relation to this matter. I consequently was ignorant of until shown a copy of your paper on Saturday morning last. Now, while I am not a business man, I, however, am a citizen and I feel it my duty to report to him or anyone else. If you will, therefore, kindly publish this letter showing my position you will oblige.

Editor World: I notice my name has been brought prominently before the public in two issues of your paper in connection with the failure of the Toronto Wood & Shingle Company without my knowledge or consent.

In your issue of Dec. 9 you say: "Mr. Keiran of the Ontario Standard, who is an interesting figure with respect to his dealings with Keiran." Now, from all I can gather, your reporter has merely rumormongered this from his interview with Mr. Marshall of the Standard. The Standard, who declined to furnish an account of his transactions with Mr. Keiran, but said he understood Mr. Keiran and Mr. Rogers had dealings with him, but not with him.

No one from your paper called on me in relation to this matter. I consequently was ignorant of until shown a copy of your paper on Saturday morning last. Now, while I am not a business man, I, however, am a citizen and I feel it my duty to report to him or anyone else. If you will, therefore, kindly publish this letter showing my position you will oblige.

Editor World: I notice my name has been brought prominently before the public in two issues of your paper in connection with the failure of the Toronto Wood & Shingle Company without my knowledge or consent.

In your issue of Dec. 9 you say: "Mr. Keiran of the Ontario Standard, who is an interesting figure with respect to his dealings with Keiran." Now, from all I can gather, your reporter has merely rumormongered this from his interview with Mr. Marshall of the Standard. The Standard, who declined to furnish an account of his transactions with Mr. Keiran, but said he understood Mr. Keiran and Mr. Rogers had dealings with him, but not with him.

No one from your paper called on me in relation to this matter. I consequently was ignorant of until shown a copy of your paper on Saturday morning last. Now, while I am not a business man, I, however, am a citizen and I feel it my duty to report to him or anyone else. If you will, therefore, kindly publish this letter showing my position you will oblige.

Editor World: I notice my name has been brought prominently before the public in two issues of your paper in connection with the failure of the Toronto Wood & Shingle Company without my knowledge or consent.

In your issue of Dec. 9 you say: "Mr. Keiran of the Ontario Standard, who is an interesting figure with respect to his dealings with Keiran." Now, from all I can gather, your reporter has merely rumormongered this from his interview with Mr. Marshall of the Standard. The Standard, who declined to furnish an account of his transactions with Mr. Keiran, but said he understood Mr. Keiran and Mr. Rogers had dealings with him, but not with him.

No one from your paper called on me in relation to this matter. I consequently was ignorant of until shown a copy of your paper on Saturday morning last. Now, while I am not a business man, I, however, am a citizen and I feel it my duty to report to him or anyone else. If you will, therefore, kindly publish this letter showing my position you will oblige.