

Canada's oldest college newspaper. Member of Canadian University Press. Opinions expressed editorially are not the official opinion of the Council of Students. Official publication of Students of Dalhousie University, Halifax, Nova

Editor-in-Chief	
Managing Editor	MIKE KIRBY
Associate Editor	GEORGE MARTELL
Business Manager	BOB DAVISON
News Editor	Betty Archibald
Associate News Editor	Dave Nicholson
Features Editor	Bob Ryan
Associate Features Editor	Jim Jurley
Boys' Sports Editor	Joel Jacobson
Girls' Sports Editor	Bobbie Wood
Associate Grils' Sport Editor	Sharon Blackburn
Layout Advisor	Bob Scammell
Feature Columnist	
Students' Council Reporter	
Copywriter	Brian Backman
News Reporters: Philip Amys, Joan Stewar	t, Henry Muggah, Peter
Spencer, Bill Dennis, Mary Doody, Jan Mar	tell, Jim Bates
Features Grego	r Murray, Betty Archibald
Sports Reporters: Brian Creighton, Wayne	Beaton, Marilyn French,
Linda Lee, Linda Stoker, Linda Ramsay, Al	bert Bartlett, Blair Green,
Jean Hattie, Kay Tucker, Jamie Richardson	n
Managing Typist	Penelope Stanbury
Typists Elinor Pushie, Pame	ela Stanbury, Carol Powell
Circulation Manager	Sally Ross
Circulation: Chris Banks, Joyce Wyman, Jan	e MacLean, Sharon Con-
nolly, Charlotte Davidson, Heather Corston	n
Photography Dave Bissett, J	oe MacDonald, Bob Risley

#### let someone else do it Changes cause trouble the narrow mind the the the blissful laboratory gang political ignorance 15 always right party is everything why should good enough I care? fraternity clique grandpa-COLLEGE time" everybody does it "

#### Isn't It Time We Climbed That Ladder?

## A Job Well Done

It was with regret that we learned a few weeks ago of the resignation of Dr. Archi-respective seats by a mob-like yell that bald as Dean of Arts and Science. We feel rocked the men's residence from top to botthat the loss to the administration, faculty tom. and student body has been great.

Dr. Archibald began his long association with Dalhousie as a student in 1929. After receiving both a B.A. and an M.A., he went to the University of Virginia where he was awarded a Ph.D. in theoretical physics in 1937. After a year of post-doctorate work at Yale he worked with the National Research Council before accepting a post as professor of physics at Dalhousie in 1942.

Since then he has served the university in many capacities. He was the first holder of the A. C. Failes chair in physics. In 1955 he was appointed Dean of Arts and Science, and in 1957-58 he took on the added job of being head of the physics department.

But Dr. Archibald's association with Dalhousie has not been confined to serving the administration. In his years as Dean he has been always willing to listen to student problems and to do his best to help solve them. Only this year he was eager to help the Student Council in its attempt to get a mid-term break. It was primarily at his insistence that Dalhousie adopted its current scholarship plan, whereby every student getting an average of more than 70% automatically gets a scholarship.

Under his leadership the job of Dean became a useful rather than an honorary one. For example, he personally looked into the hiring of each professor in his faculty, a job which had hitherto been done by the department heads and the president. In general it may be said that he made his position a real and useful one to every member of the facul-

Now that he has returned to his former position as professor of physics, we extend, on behalf of the entire student body, a sincere thank-you for all he has done in the past fivey ears, and may he continue to teach and inspire other students for many years to come.

### The National Mania

It's not very often that the Gazette's Sunday afternoon layout operations are interrupted by screams. Emotional outbursts and sober. in this office are usually confined to the realm of exasperated whimpers.

But last Sunday we were lifted off our

It seems that some chap on TV had caught a leathery, lemon-shaped object out of the air and had merrily run down a long field with it clutched under his arm for all the world like a young mother clasping a burping infant. There were some other chaps on the field, too, all dressed in space-suits, and they all ran after him, but in different directions so that they bumped and pushed at each other and nobody went anywhere. Except the chap with the big lemon under his arm.

The yell came from another group of chaps who were sitting in front of the TV. They appeared to belong to some religious sect, for they treated the television set like a high priest, contorting their bodies and their vocal organs in time to the movements of the people on the field. And when the fellow with the big lemon in his arms went past a couple of white fence posts, they all jumped and screamed and panted and raged as if he were either a diety performing a miracle, or Elvis Presley, which amounts to the same

Now the Gazette is always interested in new religions, especially the pagan and emotional kind. So we tapped one of the participating contortionists on the shoulder and asked him what god he was worshipping.

It was a mistake. He wheeled about, glared at us from glistening, protruding, white eyeballs, shook all over as if he were at the height of some fanatical mania, and howled in our faces.

We stepped back, trembling with shock, and he swung again to the TV. The hall grew silent. The chaps on television bent over in a circle, apparently humbling themselves in prayer. Then they broke, and our congregation tensed. There was a whistle, a pause, the lemon appeared again, and everyone threw each other down. The Residence shook as another roar burst out, only to die as the priests returned to their circle.

Mystified, but realizing we had not the faith and piety to partake of the manic-depressive religious ceremony we had just witnessed, we returned to our desks.

The atmosphere of the office grew quiet

We knew we were alone.

Marganau Among the Critics

# The Angry Young Priests

By ROGER DOYLE

Dr. Margenau's lectures at Convocation last month have provoked spirited controversy. Mr. Doyle, a senior honours student in Biology, hopes to clarify some of the major issues that result from the interlocking nature of Science, Philosophy, and Religion and re-state the necessity of the study of non-measurable quantities in all branches of science.



Not even by apolgizing for its title was Dr. Margenau able to avoid sweeping criticisms of his lecture "Science, Philosophy and Religion." One such comment was that his presentation was childish and that his topic had been argued right into the ground by about 1923. But his second, much more specialized lecture on quantum mechanics was given the honour of being criticized piecemeal.

It was apparent from their tone point outside the object of their that the critics of the first lecture were not merely censorious, they were offended; so ambitious a subject seems in one way or another to have injured their private intellectual property. The explana-tion lies in the fact that each of the three great systematizations, science, philosophy and religion has a priesthood associated with it. Anyone who touches ground claimed by any of these mysteries inevitably joins battle with the priests, that is with scientists, philosophers and theologians. No one lightly assumes the responsibility of warfare, when his antagonists are of the embryonic or novitiate variety. However these religious wars have been going on more or less destructively since the Pythagoreans' wars in which the combatants retire from, but never lose possession of, the field.

During the past 100 years or so, as Dr. Margenau pointed out, a revolutionary trend has emerged from new territorial relationships Sir. being established between the great or towards professional introspection among scientists. One aspect of taken place comparatively quietly. the theory of knowledge. The implications of this had by no means been htought out in 1923, although its utility was more or less grudgnigly recognized.

But it is very rarely mentioned by people who generalize about science that the powerful new techniques developed by this delving into the foundations of the reasoning process may be totally different in the various branches of science. Biology and psychology differ from other sciences in that on certain questions they lack a reference

study. "It (psychology) must translate itself back into its own language. The more complex become the objects of its study, the more it feels the lack of a point distinct from them.'

For example two of the most unpopular phrases in modern science are "Biological Determinism" and "Vital Force", yet no lecture is ever given on the Forrest campus without both being tacitly invoked. In practice, no animal structure is ever described without being explained-not explained as a physicist would explain it, which is merely by another sort of description, but explained in terms of function, of purpose, of justification. Justification is a unique, non-measur-(continued on Page 4)

...interpretations...

areas of human thought. This is the article entitled "Foreign Students trend towards induction in science, Must Co-operate" which I wrote and which appeared in the Gazette two issues ago, it has been brought to this, the invasion of symbolic logic my attention that a phrase I used by mathematics, seems to have in the article has been misinterpreted. I would like to make it clear Rather more boisterious has been that the reference to FROS in this the parallel and very productive article was an illustration in the annexation of physical theory by general theme, and not an attack on one particular group of foreign students. Also the use of the word "degenrated" was, in retrospect, a poor one, lending itself to ambiguous interpretation. In this context "degenerated" was, in retrospect, a the idea that FROS had changed from a Canadian organization run for the benefit of foreign students, into a West Indian dominated so-It was not meant to be a derogatory attack on the West Indian students on campus.

Ian MacKenzie, Students' Council Reporter, Dalhousie Gazette.