

ESTABLISHED 1864.

JOHN CATTO & SON

"GIVE" HANDKERCHIEFS

An easily chosen and very appropriate Xmas gift for a Lady or Gentleman. Our range of choice is so extensive that we can meet the price needs of all. We deliver Handkerchiefs

POST FREE in Canada.

No. 454 LADIES' INITIALS

Handkerchiefs, 13-inch, 1-4-inch hem, double-stitched, hand-embroidered initial, deep quality, very beautiful gift-line, nicely boxed, \$3.00 per dozen, also one-half or one-quarter dozen quantities in Gift Wrappers at proportionate cost.

We have hundreds of varieties of Handkerchiefs for Ladies, both plain and embroidered, initial, real lace, etc. Ranging from \$1.00 per dozen to \$30.00 each.

Our Special Xmas Handkerchief Booklet will give full information.

GENTLEMEN'S INITIAL HANDKERCHIEFS

(All initials in stock.) No. 455—19-inch Pure Extra Fine Irish Linen, 1-2-inch hemstitching, initial in diamond frame, \$7.00 per dozen.

No. 456—Another Beautiful Initial Handkerchief for Gentlemen, pure Irish linen, 1-3-inch H.S. hem, at \$4.00 per dozen.

OTHER VARIETIES

Of Gentlemen's Handkerchiefs, in every width of border, H.S. and plain, etc., etc., from \$1.50 per dozen to \$1.00 each.

Get our Handkerchief Booklet.

SILK HANDKERCHIEFS AND MUFFLERS

White H.S. or Plain-Edge Silk Handkerchiefs, in assorted sizes, 21 to 30 inches, 50c, 75c, \$1.00 and \$1.50.

WHITE FANCY BROCADE SILK

Also H.S. with colored figures, etc., 75c to \$1.25 each.

BANDANAS IN SILK

Beautiful patterns and colors, 75c to \$1.25 each.

PERSIAN SILK STENCILLED SQUARES

18-inch, assorted colors, \$1.50 each.

SILK MUFFLERS

27 to 32 inches in White Brocade, \$1.25, \$2.50 and \$3.00 each.

PLAIN AND FANCY COLORED STRIPES AND FIGURES

\$1.75, \$2.00, \$2.50 to \$3.00 each.

GENTLEMEN'S SOCKS

Gentlemen's Socks, in full range of assortment, black cashmere, 25c, 35c (5 pairs \$1.00), 50c and 60c pair.

Tan and Brown Silk and Wool socks, 50c pair.

Black Cashmere (natural soles), 50c pair.

Heather Mixture Socks, Scotch wool, in three weights—light, medium and heavy—50c, 60c, 70c a pair.

Scots Clan Tartan Pattern Cashmere Socks, 75c pair.

Natural Fine Cashmere Socks, silk tipped, 50c per pair.

Silk Hosiery Lengths, nicely boxed, in assorted qualities, at \$2.00, \$2.50, \$3.00, \$3.50 to \$5.00 the length.

"Vivella" Waist Lengths, dainty stripes, neck and figure patterns, in all fast colors, unshrinkable, 2-3 yards, nicely boxed, \$1.00 the length.

Evening and Opera Cloaks, in all the demanded evening shades, in a variety of handsome, exclusive designs, plain or elaborately trimmed, as desired, \$2.00, \$2.50, \$3.00, \$3.50, \$4.00, \$5.00 to \$10.00 each.

Irish Crochet Lace Collars—Special Xmas Importation of Real Hand-made Irish Crochet Lace Collars, at \$2.00 and \$2.50 each.

Swiss Reproductions of Irish Crochet Designs in Lace Collars and Cuff Sets, in a great variety of shapes, from 60c to \$3.00 each.

Motor and Cuff Sets, Crepe de Chine, Persian and Paisley effects, \$2.00 to \$10.00 each.

Spanish Lace Scarves, from \$5.00 to \$25.00.

Irish Crochet Lace Collars—Special Xmas Importation of Real Hand-made Irish Crochet Lace Collars, at \$2.00 and \$2.50 each.

Swiss Reproductions of Irish Crochet Designs in Lace Collars and Cuff Sets, in a great variety of shapes, from 60c to \$3.00 each.

Motor and Cuff Sets, Crepe de Chine, Persian and Paisley effects, \$2.00 to \$10.00 each.

Spanish Lace Scarves, from \$5.00 to \$25.00.

Irish Crochet Lace Collars—Special Xmas Importation of Real Hand-made Irish Crochet Lace Collars, at \$2.00 and \$2.50 each.

Swiss Reproductions of Irish Crochet Designs in Lace Collars and Cuff Sets, in a great variety of shapes, from 60c to \$3.00 each.

Motor and Cuff Sets, Crepe de Chine, Persian and Paisley effects, \$2.00 to \$10.00 each.

Spanish Lace Scarves, from \$5.00 to \$25.00.

Irish Crochet Lace Collars—Special Xmas Importation of Real Hand-made Irish Crochet Lace Collars, at \$2.00 and \$2.50 each.

Swiss Reproductions of Irish Crochet Designs in Lace Collars and Cuff Sets, in a great variety of shapes, from 60c to \$3.00 each.

Motor and Cuff Sets, Crepe de Chine, Persian and Paisley effects, \$2.00 to \$10.00 each.

Spanish Lace Scarves, from \$5.00 to \$25.00.

Irish Crochet Lace Collars—Special Xmas Importation of Real Hand-made Irish Crochet Lace Collars, at \$2.00 and \$2.50 each.

Swiss Reproductions of Irish Crochet Designs in Lace Collars and Cuff Sets, in a great variety of shapes, from 60c to \$3.00 each.

Motor and Cuff Sets, Crepe de Chine, Persian and Paisley effects, \$2.00 to \$10.00 each.

Spanish Lace Scarves, from \$5.00 to \$25.00.

Irish Crochet Lace Collars—Special Xmas Importation of Real Hand-made Irish Crochet Lace Collars, at \$2.00 and \$2.50 each.

Swiss Reproductions of Irish Crochet Designs in Lace Collars and Cuff Sets, in a great variety of shapes, from 60c to \$3.00 each.

Motor and Cuff Sets, Crepe de Chine, Persian and Paisley effects, \$2.00 to \$10.00 each.

THE WEATHER

OBSERVATORY, TORONTO, Dec. 13. (5 P.M.)—The weather has now cleared in Ontario and Quebec, but light snow or rain is still falling in some parts of the Maritime provinces. There has been little change in conditions in the western provinces.

Minimum and maximum temperatures: Kankloops, 10—35; Prince Albert, 12—24; Calgary, 15—40; Moose Jaw, 12—24; Regina, 14—24; Winnipeg, 21—25; Port Arthur, 22—33; Pelly Sound, 18—30; London, 34—40; Toronto, 34—41; Ottawa, 31—38; Montreal, 34—48; Quebec, 38—48; Chatham, N.B., 34—46; St. John, 38—50; Halifax, 44—52.

Probabilities—Lakers, Georgian Bay, Ottawa and Upper St. Lawrence—Light winds; snow not much change in temperature. Lower St. Lawrence and Gulf—Northwesterly winds; fair, with a little lower temperature.

Maritime—Fresh westerly and northwesterly winds; fair, with a little lower temperature. Gulf—Fair, much the same temperature. Manitoba and Saskatchewan—Fair, with a little higher temperature. Alberta—Fair and mild.

STEAMSHIP ARRIVALS

Dec. 13 At From
Arabia.....Liverpool.....Boston
Campania.....Liverpool.....New York
Mascot.....London.....Montreal
Adelaide.....London.....Montreal
Minnesota.....London.....New York

THE BAROMETER

Time	Ther.	Bar.	Wind
1 a.m.	41	29.6	S. N.
Noon	41	29.6	S. N.
2 p.m.	41	29.6	S. N.
3 p.m.	41	29.6	S. N.
4 p.m.	41	29.6	S. N.
5 p.m.	41	29.6	S. N.
6 p.m.	41	29.6	S. N.
7 p.m.	41	29.6	S. N.
8 p.m.	41	29.6	S. N.
9 p.m.	41	29.6	S. N.
10 p.m.	41	29.6	S. N.
11 p.m.	41	29.6	S. N.
Mean of day, 33; difference from average, 1 above; highest, 43; lowest, 24; rainfall, .2.			

TO-DAY IN TORONTO

December 14. Princess—The Girl in the Taxi, 8:15. The Girl in the Taxi, 8:15.

Princess—The Girl in the Taxi, 8:15. The Girl in the Taxi, 8:15.

Princess—The Girl in the Taxi, 8:15. The Girl in the Taxi, 8:15.

Princess—The Girl in the Taxi, 8:15. The Girl in the Taxi, 8:15.

Princess—The Girl in the Taxi, 8:15. The Girl in the Taxi, 8:15.

Princess—The Girl in the Taxi, 8:15. The Girl in the Taxi, 8:15.

Princess—The Girl in the Taxi, 8:15. The Girl in the Taxi, 8:15.

Princess—The Girl in the Taxi, 8:15. The Girl in the Taxi, 8:15.

Princess—The Girl in the Taxi, 8:15. The Girl in the Taxi, 8:15.

Princess—The Girl in the Taxi, 8:15. The Girl in the Taxi, 8:15.

Princess—The Girl in the Taxi, 8:15. The Girl in the Taxi, 8:15.

Princess—The Girl in the Taxi, 8:15. The Girl in the Taxi, 8:15.

Princess—The Girl in the Taxi, 8:15. The Girl in the Taxi, 8:15.

Princess—The Girl in the Taxi, 8:15. The Girl in the Taxi, 8:15.

Princess—The Girl in the Taxi, 8:15. The Girl in the Taxi, 8:15.

Princess—The Girl in the Taxi, 8:15. The Girl in the Taxi, 8:15.

Princess—The Girl in the Taxi, 8:15. The Girl in the Taxi, 8:15.

Princess—The Girl in the Taxi, 8:15. The Girl in the Taxi, 8:15.

Princess—The Girl in the Taxi, 8:15. The Girl in the Taxi, 8:15.

Princess—The Girl in the Taxi, 8:15. The Girl in the Taxi, 8:15.

Princess—The Girl in the Taxi, 8:15. The Girl in the Taxi, 8:15.

Princess—The Girl in the Taxi, 8:15. The Girl in the Taxi, 8:15.

Princess—The Girl in the Taxi, 8:15. The Girl in the Taxi, 8:15.

Princess—The Girl in the Taxi, 8:15. The Girl in the Taxi, 8:15.

Princess—The Girl in the Taxi, 8:15. The Girl in the Taxi, 8:15.

Princess—The Girl in the Taxi, 8:15. The Girl in the Taxi, 8:15.

Princess—The Girl in the Taxi, 8:15. The Girl in the Taxi, 8:15.

Princess—The Girl in the Taxi, 8:15. The Girl in the Taxi, 8:15.

Princess—The Girl in the Taxi, 8:15. The Girl in the Taxi, 8:15.

Princess—The Girl in the Taxi, 8:15. The Girl in the Taxi, 8:15.

Princess—The Girl in the Taxi, 8:15. The Girl in the Taxi, 8:15.

Princess—The Girl in the Taxi, 8:15. The Girl in the Taxi, 8:15.

Princess—The Girl in the Taxi, 8:15. The Girl in the Taxi, 8:15.

Princess—The Girl in the Taxi, 8:15. The Girl in the Taxi, 8:15.

Princess—The Girl in the Taxi, 8:15. The Girl in the Taxi, 8:15.

Princess—The Girl in the Taxi, 8:15. The Girl in the Taxi, 8:15.

Princess—The Girl in the Taxi, 8:15. The Girl in the Taxi, 8:15.

Princess—The Girl in the Taxi, 8:15. The Girl in the Taxi, 8:15.

Princess—The Girl in the Taxi, 8:15. The Girl in the Taxi, 8:15.

Princess—The Girl in the Taxi, 8:15. The Girl in the Taxi, 8:15.

Princess—The Girl in the Taxi, 8:15. The Girl in the Taxi, 8:15.

Princess—The Girl in the Taxi, 8:15. The Girl in the Taxi, 8:15.

Princess—The Girl in the Taxi, 8:15. The Girl in the Taxi, 8:15.

Princess—The Girl in the Taxi, 8:15. The Girl in the Taxi, 8:15.

Princess—The Girl in the Taxi, 8:15. The Girl in the Taxi, 8:15.

Princess—The Girl in the Taxi, 8:15. The Girl in the Taxi, 8:15.

Princess—The Girl in the Taxi, 8:15. The Girl in the Taxi, 8:15.

Princess—The Girl in the Taxi, 8:15. The Girl in the Taxi, 8:15.

Princess—The Girl in the Taxi, 8:15. The Girl in the Taxi, 8:15.

Princess—The Girl in the Taxi, 8:15. The Girl in the Taxi, 8:15.

Princess—The Girl in the Taxi, 8:15. The Girl in the Taxi, 8:15.

LAW IS IN ERROR

MRS. HEBERT'S PLEA

Continued From Page 1.

The Treaty of Paris were to be interpreted as privileges and not, in the sense that Catholics, as such, should be submitted to onerous penalties at the hands of their religious superiors.

"The present Hebert case is an instance," said Mr. Wainwright, "of two Catholics giving their mutual consent to a marriage. They secured a license from a duly qualified representative of public law—the lieutenant-governor. They went before one whom they had every reason to consider a duly qualified minister or officer empowered to celebrate marriages. They were married, lived together, and had a child. And now, simply because they are Catholics, enjoying free exercise of their religion, the church claims the right to step in and declare that they are not man and wife. I claim that such a state of affairs cannot be said to flow from the Treaty of Paris. The church has no right to reach a treaty to make such a declaration."

"The Hebert case is an instance," said Mr. Wainwright, "of two Catholics giving their mutual consent to a marriage. They secured a license from a duly qualified representative of public law—the lieutenant-governor. They went before one whom they had every reason to consider a duly qualified minister or officer empowered to celebrate marriages. They were married, lived together, and had a child. And now, simply because they are Catholics, enjoying free exercise of their religion, the church claims the right to step in and declare that they are not man and wife. I claim that such a state of affairs cannot be said to flow from the Treaty of Paris. The church has no right to reach a treaty to make such a declaration."

"The Hebert case is an instance," said Mr. Wainwright, "of two Catholics giving their mutual consent to a marriage. They secured a license from a duly qualified representative of public law—the lieutenant-governor. They went before one whom they had every reason to consider a duly qualified minister or officer empowered to celebrate marriages. They were married, lived together, and had a child. And now, simply because they are Catholics, enjoying free exercise of their religion, the church claims the right to step in and declare that they are not man and wife. I claim that such a state of affairs cannot be said to flow from the Treaty of Paris. The church has no right to reach a treaty to make such a declaration."

"The Hebert case is an instance," said Mr. Wainwright, "of two Catholics giving their mutual consent to a marriage. They secured a license from a duly qualified representative of public law—the lieutenant-governor. They went before one whom they had every reason to consider a duly qualified minister or officer empowered to celebrate marriages. They were married, lived together, and had a child. And now, simply because they are Catholics, enjoying free exercise of their religion, the church claims the right to step in and declare that they are not man and wife. I claim that such a state of affairs cannot be said to flow from the Treaty of Paris. The church has no right to reach a treaty to make such a declaration."

"The Hebert case is an instance," said Mr. Wainwright, "of two Catholics giving their mutual consent to a marriage. They secured a license from a duly qualified representative of public law—the lieutenant-governor. They went before one whom they had every reason to consider a duly qualified minister or officer empowered to celebrate marriages. They were married, lived together, and had a child. And now, simply because they are Catholics, enjoying free exercise of their religion, the church claims the right to step in and declare that they are not man and wife. I claim that such a state of affairs cannot be said to flow from the Treaty of Paris. The church has no right to reach a treaty to make such a declaration."

"The Hebert case is an instance," said Mr. Wainwright, "of two Catholics giving their mutual consent to a marriage. They secured a license from a duly qualified representative of public law—the lieutenant-governor. They went before one whom they had every reason to consider a duly qualified minister or officer empowered to celebrate marriages. They were married, lived together, and had a child. And now, simply because they are Catholics, enjoying free exercise of their religion, the church claims the right to step in and declare that they are not man and wife. I claim that such a state of affairs cannot be said to flow from the Treaty of Paris. The church has no right to reach a treaty to make such a declaration."

"The Hebert case is an instance," said Mr. Wainwright, "of two Catholics giving their mutual consent to a marriage. They secured a license from a duly qualified representative of public law—the lieutenant-governor. They went before one whom they had every reason to consider a duly qualified minister or officer empowered to celebrate marriages. They were married, lived together, and had a child. And now, simply because they are Catholics, enjoying free exercise of their religion, the church claims the right to step in and declare that they are not man and wife. I claim that such a state of affairs cannot be said to flow from the Treaty of Paris. The church has no right to reach a treaty to make such a declaration."

"The Hebert case is an instance," said Mr. Wainwright, "of two Catholics giving their mutual consent to a marriage. They secured a license from a duly qualified representative of public law—the lieutenant-governor. They went before one whom they had every reason to consider a duly qualified minister or officer empowered to celebrate marriages. They were married, lived together, and had a child. And now, simply because they are Catholics, enjoying free exercise of their religion, the church claims the right to step in and declare that they are not man and wife. I claim that such a state of affairs cannot be said to flow from the Treaty of Paris. The church has no right to reach a treaty to make such a declaration."

"The Hebert case is an instance," said Mr. Wainwright, "of two Catholics giving their mutual consent to a marriage. They secured a license from a duly qualified representative of public law—the lieutenant-governor. They went before one whom they had every reason to consider a duly qualified minister or officer empowered to celebrate marriages. They were married, lived together, and had a child. And now, simply because they are Catholics, enjoying free exercise of their religion, the church claims the right to step in and declare that they are not man and wife. I claim that such a state of affairs cannot be said to flow from the Treaty of Paris. The church has no right to reach a treaty to make such a declaration."

"The Hebert case is an instance," said Mr. Wainwright, "of two Catholics giving their mutual consent to a marriage. They secured a license from a duly qualified representative of public law—the lieutenant-governor. They went before one whom they had every reason to consider a duly qualified minister or officer empowered to celebrate marriages. They were married, lived together, and had a child. And now, simply because they are Catholics, enjoying free exercise of their religion, the church claims the right to step in and declare that they are not man and wife. I claim that such a state of affairs cannot be said to flow from the Treaty of Paris. The church has no right to reach a treaty to make such a declaration."

"The Hebert case is an instance," said Mr. Wainwright, "of two Catholics giving their mutual consent to a marriage. They secured a license from a duly qualified representative of public law—the lieutenant-governor. They went before one whom they had every reason to consider a duly qualified minister or officer empowered to celebrate marriages. They were married, lived together, and had a child. And now, simply because they are Catholics, enjoying free exercise of their religion, the church claims the right to step in and declare that they are not man and wife. I claim that such a state of affairs cannot be said to flow from the Treaty of Paris. The church has no right to reach a treaty to make such a declaration."

"The Hebert case is an instance," said Mr. Wainwright, "of two Catholics giving their mutual consent to a marriage. They secured a license from a duly qualified representative of public law—the lieutenant-governor. They went before one whom they had every reason to consider a duly qualified minister or officer empowered to celebrate marriages. They were married, lived together, and had a child. And now, simply because they are Catholics, enjoying free exercise of their religion, the church claims the right to step in and declare that they are not man and wife. I claim that such a state of affairs cannot be said to flow from the Treaty of Paris. The church has no right to reach a treaty to make such a declaration."

"The Hebert case is an instance," said Mr. Wainwright, "of two Catholics giving their mutual consent to a marriage. They secured a license from a duly qualified representative of public law—the lieutenant-governor. They went before one whom they had every reason to consider a duly qualified minister or officer empowered to celebrate marriages. They were married, lived together, and had a child. And now, simply because they are Catholics, enjoying free exercise of their religion, the church claims the right to step in and declare that they are not man and wife. I claim that such a state of affairs cannot be said to flow from the Treaty of Paris. The church has no right to reach a treaty to make such a declaration."

"The Hebert case is an instance," said Mr. Wainwright, "of two Catholics giving their mutual consent to a marriage. They secured a license from a duly qualified representative of public law—the lieutenant-governor. They went before one whom they had every reason to consider a duly qualified minister or officer empowered to celebrate marriages. They were married, lived together, and had a child. And now, simply because they are Catholics, enjoying free exercise of their religion, the church claims the right to step in and declare that they are not man and wife. I claim that such a state of affairs cannot be said to flow from the Treaty of Paris. The church has no right to reach a treaty to make such a declaration."

"The Hebert case is an instance," said Mr. Wainwright, "of two Catholics giving their mutual consent to a marriage. They secured a license from a duly qualified representative of public law—the lieutenant-governor. They went before one whom they had every reason to consider a duly qualified minister or officer empowered to celebrate marriages. They were married, lived together, and had a child. And now, simply because they are Catholics, enjoying free exercise of their religion, the church claims the right to step in and declare that they are not man and wife. I claim that such a state of affairs cannot be said to flow from the Treaty of Paris. The church has no right to reach a treaty to make such a declaration."

"The Hebert case is an instance," said Mr. Wainwright, "of two Catholics giving their mutual consent to a marriage. They secured a license from a duly qualified representative of public law—the lieutenant-governor. They went before one whom they had every reason to consider a duly qualified minister or officer empowered to celebrate marriages. They were married, lived together, and had a child. And now, simply because they are Catholics, enjoying free exercise of their religion, the church claims the right to step in and declare that they are not man and wife. I claim that such a state of affairs cannot be said to flow from the Treaty of Paris. The church has no right to reach a treaty to make such a declaration."

"The Hebert case is an instance," said Mr. Wainwright, "of two Catholics giving their mutual consent to a marriage. They secured a license from a duly qualified representative of public law—the lieutenant-governor. They went before one whom they had every reason to consider a duly qualified minister or officer empowered to celebrate marriages. They were married, lived together, and had a child. And now, simply because they are Catholics, enjoying free exercise of their religion, the church claims the right to step in and declare that they are not man and wife. I claim that such a state of affairs cannot be said to flow from the Treaty of Paris. The church has no right to reach a treaty to make such a declaration."

"The Hebert case is an instance," said Mr. Wainwright, "of two Catholics giving their mutual consent to a marriage. They secured a license from a duly qualified representative of public law—the lieutenant-governor. They went before one whom they had every reason to consider a duly qualified minister or officer empowered to celebrate marriages. They were married, lived together, and had a child. And now, simply because they are Catholics, enjoying free exercise of their religion, the church claims the right to step in and declare that they are not man and wife. I claim that such a state of affairs cannot be said to flow from the Treaty of Paris. The church has no right to reach a treaty to make such a declaration."

"The Hebert case is an instance," said Mr. Wainwright, "of two Catholics giving their mutual consent to a marriage. They secured a license from a duly qualified representative of public law—the lieutenant-governor. They went before one whom they had every reason to consider a duly qualified minister or officer empowered to celebrate marriages. They were married, lived together, and had a child. And now, simply because they are Catholics, enjoying free exercise of their religion, the church claims the right to step in and declare that they are not man and wife. I claim that such a state of affairs cannot be said to flow from the Treaty of Paris. The church has no right to reach a treaty to make such a declaration."

"The Hebert case is an instance," said Mr. Wainwright, "of two Catholics giving their mutual consent to a marriage. They secured a license from a duly qualified representative of public law—the lieutenant-governor. They went before one whom they had every reason to consider a duly qualified minister or officer empowered to celebrate marriages. They were married, lived together, and had a child. And now, simply because they are Catholics, enjoying free exercise of their religion, the church claims the right to step in and declare that they are not man and wife. I claim that such a state of affairs cannot be said to flow from the Treaty of Paris. The church has no right to reach a treaty to make such a declaration."

"The Hebert case is an instance," said Mr. Wainwright, "of two Catholics giving their mutual consent to a marriage. They secured a license from a duly qualified representative of public law—the lieutenant-governor. They went before one whom they had every reason to consider a duly qualified minister or officer empowered to celebrate marriages. They were married, lived together, and had a child. And now, simply because they are Catholics, enjoying free exercise of their religion, the church claims the right to step in and declare that they are not man and wife. I claim that such a state of affairs cannot be said to flow from the Treaty of Paris. The church has no right to reach a treaty to make such a declaration."