## Privilege-Mr. Langdon

Mr. Langdon: Yes.

Mr. Speaker: What is the Hon. Member's question of privilege?

Mr. Keeper: He is stating it.

Mr. Langdon: The question of privilege I wish to raise has to do with the allegations made by the Prime Minister (Mr. Mulroney) today during Question Period with respect to my supposed misquotation of the Minister of Finance (Mr. Wilson).

Mr. Stevens: Amputation.

Mr. Langdon: Is this the time when such a question can be raised?

Mr. Speaker: Let me try and guide the Hon. Member. It could be raised now if it were a question of privilege. I take it there is some dispute about the facts of the matter and I have to advise the Hon. Member that cannot normally form the basis of a question of privilege. However, Members have the right, on a matter arising out of Question Period, to present a question of privilege immediately after Question Period or give me notice in writing on a question of privilege tomorrow or later, if that is the earliest opportunity after the Hon. Member has read the "blues".

Mr. Hnatyshyn: Or they can get expelled from the House.

Mr. Speaker: I would advise the Hon. Member that he is, I take it, making some claim with regard to the accuracy of statements made by another Member. It is difficult to form a question of privilege on that basis. I take it that is the advice the Hon. Member seeks. Is he now rising on a question of privilege?

Mr. Langdon: Yes, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker: What is the Hon. Member's question of privilege? Other than the one he has just mentioned, please.

Some Hon. Members: Oh, oh!

Mr. Langdon: Mr. Speaker, if I could just very briefly put what I see as the question of privilege and allow you to rule—

**Mr. Speaker:** Let me help the Member, if I may. Citation 19(1) of Beauchesne's says:

A dispute arising between two Members, as to allegations of facts, does not fulfil the conditions of parliamentary privilege.

Does the Hon. Member have another question of privilege?

Some Hon. Members: No!

Mr. Langdon: Mr. Speaker, the question is not with respect to the fact of the comments made but with respect to a point suggested by the Prime Minister, that I was directly quoting the Minister of Finance (Mr. Wilson) when in fact I was quite clearly, as recorded in *Hansard*, paraphrasing the key comments—

Some Hon. Members: Oh. oh!

Mr. Langdon: —that were made.

Mr. Epp (Provencher): Tell Ed.

Mr. Speaker: Order, please. I am in great difficulty. The Hon. Member is now telling me that something the Prime Minister (Mr. Mulroney) said happened did not in fact happen. I take it that is a dispute as to facts. The Hon. Member is saying that he did a certain thing and the Prime Minister said he did something else. That is clearly a dispute as to fact. Does the Hon. Member have a question of privilege?

Mr. Langdon: I will reserve my-

Mr. Speaker: No, on the point the Hon. Member has raised, he does not have a question of privilege. If he thinks he has one he has the right to submit a notice and we can consider it.

## **ROUTINE PROCEEDINGS**

[English]

## **PETITIONS**

WORLD PEACE AND HARMONY

Mr. Gus Mitges (Grey-Simcoe): Mr. Speaker, it is a pleasure for me to present a petition on behalf of the congregation of the Meaford United Church, Meaford, Ontario, in my riding of Grey-Simcoe, who participated in the Prayer for Peace Service and have now delivered their personal petition for peace to the House of Commons to ensure that all government levels continue to work for peace and harmony throughout the world.

Mr. Speaker: Introduction of Bills. Perhaps the Hon. Member for Scarborough West (Mr. Stackhouse) would do me the indulgence of allowing his Bill to stand.

## REGULATIONS AND OTHER STATUTORY INSTRUMENTS

CONCURRENCE IN FIRST REPORT OF STANDING JOINT COMMITTEE

Hon. Bob Kaplan (York Centre): Mr. Speaker, I seek unanimous consent to move concurrence in the first report of the Standing Joint Committee on Regulations and Other Statutory Instruments.

Mr. Speaker: The House has heard the motion. Is there unanimous consent?