

financial institutions". If the Minister of Finance did not want to have particular institutions included he would not designate them, and if the financial institutions did not want to be designated they could say they did not want to take part in this enterprise. So I do not think this would affect the bill very much. It may widen the provisions with reference to the kind of institutions the minister can choose. I overheard an hon. member over here say this might discriminate against the province of Alberta. I am sure the Minister of Agriculture, in his wisdom, would appreciate he is discriminating against the government controlled treasury branches in the province of Alberta.

**An hon. Member:** Shame.

**Mr. Woolliams:** There may be other institutions in the province of Quebec because in that province there are many kinds of credit unions. In view of the fact that the minister can always designate the financial institutions, and surely that was intended in the resolution, I would ask Your Honour to give my point serious consideration and upset the chairman's ruling.

**Mr. Speaker** put the question as follows:

The question before the house is an appeal to Mr. Speaker from a decision given by the chairman of the committee of the whole pursuant to section 4 of standing order 59.

In the committee of the whole on Bill No. C-111 the hon. member for Crowfoot proposed an amendment to clause 1 of the bill as follows:

"To add after the word 'society', line 12, and before the word 'that' in line 13 the words 'and other financial institutions'".

The question is to determine whether the proposed words of the amendment go beyond the terms of the resolution. My view is that if the words proposed by the hon. member for Crowfoot by way of amendment do not enlarge the words "financial institutions" used in clause 1 of this bill, then the amendment is redundant and superfluous. If they seek to enlarge the terms of these words, then we have to determine whether the amendment is consistent with the detailed provisions of the resolution preceding the bill. In my view, when a resolution preceding a money bill sets out in detail the terms of the bill, as this one does, we have to be very cautious about amendments which might enlarge upon these terms.

I have to refer hon. members to citation 246 in the fourth edition of Beauchesne at page

*Farm Improvement Loans Act*  
207 subparagraph (3), which reads as follows in part:

The guiding principle in determining the effect of an amendment upon the financial initiative of the Crown is that the communication, to which the royal demand of recommendation is attached, must be treated as laying down once for all (unless withdrawn and replaced) not only the amount of a charge, but also its objects, purposes, conditions and qualifications.

I suggest to hon. members, with respect, that the amendment proposed by the hon. member for Crowfoot does affect the conditions and qualifications set out in detail in the resolution approved by the house and preceding the bill.

For this reason I feel I have to sustain the decision of the learned and wise chairman of the committee of the whole.

And the house having resumed in committee:

On clause 1—*Bank*.

• (6:00 p.m.)

**The Chairman:** Order. House in committee of the whole on Bill No. C-111. It being six o'clock I do now leave the chair.

## PROCEEDINGS ON ADJOURNMENT MOTION

SUBJECT MATTER OF QUESTIONS TO BE  
DEBATED

**Mr. Deputy Speaker:** It is my duty, pursuant to provisional standing order 39A, to inform the house that the questions to be raised at the time of adjournment tonight are as follows: the hon. member for Selkirk (Mr. Schreyer)—Agriculture, biological effects on chickens of microwave tower radiation; the hon. member for Hillsborough (Mr. Macquarrie)—Communications, co-operation with France in space and satellite research.

It being six o'clock the house will now proceed to the consideration of private members' business as listed on today's order paper, namely private bills, public bills. As there are no private bills on today's order paper the house will proceed to the consideration of public bills.

## CRIMINAL CODE

PROVISION FOR ACCESS TO PEOPLE LIVING  
ON COMPANY PROPERTY

**Mr. David Orlikow (Winnipeg North)** moved the second reading of Bill No. C-5, to amend the Criminal Code (company-censored housing).