Recent War Cases

or its becoming possible to perform the contract lawfully [vide Pollock on Contracts] 5th Ed., p. 306.]

Effect of

But the case would appear to have been recognised as good law by Bramwell B. an embargo Jackson v. Union Marine Insurance Co., L.R. 10 C. P. 125] and recently by the House of Lords, which points out that all that was decided in Hadley v. Clarke was the abstract point that a temporary interruption of a voyage by an embargo does not put an end to a contract of carriage. [Horlock v. Beal, 1916, 1 A.C. at pp. 505, 506.]

There is a prima facie right of abandonment where there is an apparent probability that the owner's loss of the free use and disposal of his ship may be of long continuance [Rotch v. Edie, 1795, 6 T.R. 413]. There is no right to abandon where the arrest creates only a temporary obstruction of the voyage without giving rise to any permanent loss of control over the ship | Forster v. Christic, 1809, 11 East, 205]. As regards wages of a crew during detention of the ship, see Da Costa v. Newnham, 11788, 2 T. R. 407.