COMMONS DEBATES

Order Paper Questions

ASSISTANCE TO NEWSPAPERS IN PROVINCES AND TERRITORIES

Question No. 2,323-Mr. Herbert:

For each of the past five years, by province (a) what amount was granted by the Department of the Secretary of State to assist English newspapers in Quebec and French newspapers in the other provinces and territories?

Mr. Peter Stollery (Parliamentary Secretary to Secretary of State and Minister of Communications):

Official Language Minority Groups Assistance to the Official Language Minority Press Fiscal years 1976-77 to 1980-81

(a) Amounts granted to associations representing the official language minority press for administration, coordination and training:

Fiscal year	Outside Quebec Association de la presse francophone hors Québec	In Quebec Association des media régionaux anglophones du Ouébec		
	(founded in 1976)	(founded in 1978)		
1976-1977	\$ 23,900			
1977-1978	30,000	\$ 4,805*		
1978-1979	52,508	8,790*		
1979-1980	87,100	35,305		
1980-1981	187,000	40,335		
1900-1901	187,000	40,333		

* To McDonald College for research into the official language minority press in Quebec

(1977-1978: \$4,805; 1978-1979: \$6,790).

(b) Amounts granted to official language minority newspapers through non-profit organizations for advertising and technical assistance:

			Fiscal Year			
Provinces	1976-1977	1977-1978	1978-1979	1979-1980	1980-1981	
Newfoundland Prince Edward Island Nova Scotia New Brunswick Quebec Ontario Manitoba Saskatchewan Alberta British Columbia	\$25,000	\$ 5,000 15,330 46,000 4,805 107,350 15,330 15,000 15,330 15,330	\$ 13,676 13,676 179,704 6,000 95,732 13,676 13,676 13,676 13,676	\$ 13,400 13,400 111,800 99,450 110,100 13,400 13,400 13,400 13,400	\$ 11,754 11,094 148,716 69,840 96,400 11,754 11,754 11,754	

LEGAL WORK WITHIN LEEDS-GRENVILLE CONSTITUENCY

Question No. 2,360-Mr. Cossitt:

What are the names of all lawyers and/or legal firms receiving or who have received payment from the government for legal work within the constituency of Leeds-Grenville since the government took office following the 1980 general election and, in each case, what was the amount?

Hon. Jean Chrétien (Minister of Justice and Minister of State for Social Development):

T		n	0	8.	1	0	8
J	u	11	C	0,	1	7	0

Names	Amount
Anderson, C. D. (Brockville)	\$ 5,280.50
Barr, R. A. (Brockville)	\$10,316.60
Childs, Philip (Kemptville)	\$ 1,404.93
Fraser, Duncan F. (Brockville)	\$ 545.04
Hart, Hugh R. (Brockville)	\$ 571.64
Laushway, Barry (Prescott)	\$ 3,387.75
O'Shaughnessy, Michael J. (Brockville)	\$ 217.10
Tobin, Richard M. (Prescott)	\$ 3,288.52
Warren, Edward (Kemptville)	\$ 210.00

MINERAL SELENIUM

Question No. 2,377-Mr. Cossitt:

1. Is the Department of National Health and Welfare aware of theories advanced by certain doctors, particularly in the United States, that the mineral selenium has shown evidence of (a) preventing heart attacks (b) building up body immunity to a number of forms of cancer?

2. Has the department knowledge as to whether or not there is validity to the theories that the mineral has such effects if given in dosages of 50 to 200 micrograms daily and what research was carried out in Canada?

Mr. Doug Frith (Parliamentary Secretary to Minister of National Health and Welfare):

1. (a) Yes.

(b) Yes.

2. Minimum daily requirement for Canadians has not been definitely established because selenium deficiency in humans is virtually nonexistent in this country, except in extreme pathological states or very bizarre diets. Almost any balanced Canadian diet would provide selenium in the range of 50 to 200 micrograms daily. Research in Canada has mainly been done in animals which have a higher selenium requirement and can develop deficiencies. Epidemiological studies have been done, attempting to correlate varying levels of selenium in foods and water supplies in different parts of the country with disease states of the local residents, with little success.

[English]

Mr. Beatty: Madam Speaker, for the fourth time I want to bring to the attention of the parliamentary secretary question No. 1,852, which was placed on the order paper by me six months ago as of tomorrow. That was on December 9, 1980. It is a very simple question which I have brought to the attention of the parliamentary secretary on three previous occasions. On each occasion I have received the assurance from the government that every conceivable action would be taken to get a speedy response.

In view of the fact that this question deals now with the former chairman of the Canadian Film Development Corporation, whose term in office has expired while I have been waiting for the government's answer, and in view of the fact that he is no longer in the government's employ, the government should have no cause for any further delay. Why has the parliamentary secretary been incapable of getting the Secretary of State to supply an answer to what is a very simple question?