

of wheat via Quebec, and of the letters of the Minister of Railways and Canals in answer thereto.

Mr. CASGRAIN:

For the production of copies of all letters telegrams, papers and correspondence exchanged between the Department of Agriculture and others in reference to the establishment of a Demonstration Farm at Baie St. Paul, in the county of Charlevoix.

Mr. CASGRAIN:

For the production of copies of all rules of court made by the different Superior Courts of Criminal Jurisdiction of Canada according to the provisions of section 576 of the Criminal Code.

On motion of Sir George Foster the House returned to the Orders of the Day.

REPORT NOT PRINTED AS ORDERED.

On the Orders of the Day:

Hon. H. S. BELAND (Beauce): Mr. Speaker, I desire to call your attention, and that of the Government, to an irregularity in the carrying out of the order of the House passed at the last session of Parliament. On the motion of the hon. the Minister of Immigration and Colonization (Mr. Calder), who was then acting as chairman of the special committee on Soldiers' Civil Re-Establishment, the House unanimously decided:

That the report of the special committee on Bill No. 10, an Act to amend the Department of Soldiers' Re-establishment Act, the minutes of their proceedings and the evidence taken by them and presented to the House on the 31st October, together with a suitable index to be prepared by the clerk of the committee, be printed in the appendix to the Journals of the present session.

That one thousand extra copies in English and 250 extra copies in French of the said report, minutes, proceedings and evidence—

be printed. It is apparent that no French copies are available or were available at any time. Upon inquiry by some hon. members of the secretary of the special committee sitting this year, and of the distribution office, it is found that no French copies are available and it appears that there never were any French copies available. I am now directing your attention to the subject because some hon. members of the House would like to have copies of this report printed in French.

Sir GEORGE FOSTER: The Order evidently was not carried out.

Mr. BELAND: Evidently.

Mr. SPEAKER: This matter is entirely new to me. It has not been before me in any official way. I shall have immediate inquiry made—although I have no doubt

[Mr. Lapointe.]

the facts are as stated by the hon. member—and find out the reason why the order was not obeyed.

PRIVILEGE—Mr. KAY.

On the Orders of the Day:

Mr. W. F. KAY (Missisquoi): Mr. Speaker I rise to a question of privilege. In the Ottawa Citizen of this morning I find a statement which is untrue, misleading and absolutely false. In mentioning a few remarks I made yesterday on the Oleomargarine Bill this paper speaks of "Fred. Kay, member for Mississippi." I wish to absolutely deny that I represent any part of the United States in this House whether it be Mississippi, or even Missouri. I am proud to say I was born and bred a Canadian, that I intend to stay one, and that I have the honour of representing in this House one of the oldest and best counties in the eastern townships, namely Missisquoi.

INSPECTION AND SALE ACT
AMENDMENT.

On the motion of Hon. S. F. Tolmie (Minister of Agriculture) Bill No. 104 to amend the Inspection and Sale Act was read a second time and the House went into committee on the Bill, Mr. Boivin in the Chair.

On section I—Section prescribing sizes of apple barrels, fruit boxes, etc; penalty section and section authorizing minister to appoint inspectors, etc., repealed:

Mr. BUREAU: We are repealing four sections of the Act of 1918. Will the minister tell us what these sections are? I see in the marginal note that they prescribe the size of apple barrels, and fruit boxes and provide for penalties in case of contravention. Will the minister read the sections we are repealing? I see by the other section that we are not substituting what we are enacting now for these sections. How will the matter stand when they are repealed?

Mr. TOLMIE: In 1918 a conference was held of fruit men, package manufacturers and representatives of the Government fruit branch with a view to arriving at some satisfactory arrangement, with regard to fruit packing—the nature and quality of the packages and the different fruits that will be held in these different packages. It was found that the provisions of the Act did not work out very well and it is proposed to repeal section 325, relating to