

12. *Petition of Ada Florence Keatley ROBERTS—Divorce*

This petition was filed late as difficulty was experienced in locating the Respondent and securing the necessary evidence. The Petitioner wishes to remarry and move to the United States of America in the near future where she intends to make a home for her children. For these reasons, which were supported by an affidavit, Counsel asked that the petition be received and the consequent penalties waived.

13. *Petition of Johan Hendrick VAN HATTEM—Divorce*

Counsel claimed that the Petitioner recently separated from the Respondent and proceedings were immediately instituted. As there are three children concerned in this case, Counsel indicated the urgency of clarifying the situation; and he asked that the petition be received and the penalties waived.

14. *Petition of Helena Jadwiga Igiel Vel Frankel WODNICKI—Divorce*

Similar to number 5, above, confusion among the lawyers regarding the necessity of petitions to the House of Commons and the extension of time in the other place resulted in the late filing of this petition. Counsel requested that the petition be received and that the Petitioner be not penalized for matters over which she had no control.

15. *Petition of Mable Irene McCarragher COLLIN—Divorce*

Counsel represented that there is urgency to resolve the marital difficulties of the Petitioner because of the attendant mental stress. A request was made that the petition be received and the penalties waived.

16. *Petition of Constance LeBaron Dibblee LANK—Divorce*

17. *Petition of Pearl Brown ARRON—Divorce*

18. *Petition of Haia (Clara) Weissman FUCHSMAN—Divorce*

19. *Petition of Lucille Issenman GORESKY—Divorce*

20. *Petition of Ivy Elizabeth SHERRY—Divorce*

Having considered the petitions for Private Bills numbered above as 1 to 15, inclusive, your Committee recommends that in each instance Standing Orders 93, and 94(3)(a) and (c) be suspended, and that the petitions be received.

With respect to the petitions numbered above as 16 to 20, inclusive, your Committee recommends that the Petitioners be granted leave to withdraw the said petitions, as requested by their Counsel.

The petitions referred to above, together with the Reports of the Clerk of Petitions related thereto are returned herewith.

---

The Order being read for the second reading of Bill C-69, An Act respecting the Construction of a line of railway in the Province of Quebec by Canadian National Railway Company from a point at or near mile 72 of the Kiask Falls Subdivision in a northwesterly direction to a point in the vicinity of Mattagami Lake;